IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

STATE (OF OHIO,)	EXHIBIT	17
)		
	PLAINTIFF,) CASE NO. CRO	06-3339	
v.)		
)JUDGE BARBEF	₹	
ROBERT	WILSON)		
	DEFENDANT.)		

BE IT REMEMBERED, that in the trial of the aforementioned cause on September 5, 2008, before the Honorable James E. Barber, in the Lucas County Court of Common Pleas, the following proceedings were held, to-wit:

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Plaintiff: Assistant Lucas County Prosecutor, Michael Loisel, Esquire

On behalf of the Defendant, Robert Wilson: Ronnie L. Wingate, Esquire Neil S. McElroy, Esquire

Stacey L. McDevitt, RPR, Official Court Reporter
Lucas County Common Pleas Courthouse,
700 Adams Street, Toledo, Ohio 43624
(419) 213-4477

- - -

1	I N D E X
2	
3	COUDELS EVILLETES
4	COURT'S EXHIBITS
5	<pre>1, Question 2, Shredded Question 3, Handwritten Instruction</pre>
6	4, Jury Instructions
7	ETDOM CLOCING ADCUMENT DV MD LOIGEL 22
8	FIRST CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. LOISEL22 CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. WINGATE54 SECOND CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. LOISEL77
9	JURY CHARGE
10	VERDICI
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	SEPTEMBER 5, 2008
2	COURTROOM #3
3	9:21 A.M.
4	(WHEREUPON THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION WAS
5	HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)
6	THE COURT: Let's go on the record.
7	MR. LOISEL: Do you waive your client's
8	presence for this?
9	MR. WINGATE: Yes.
10	THE COURT: We're on the record. The
11	Defendant is not present. His presence is waived
12	by the attorneys. State is present going over
13	proposed instructions. There has been a draft
14	proposal made that all of the attorneys have
15	copies of and we're considering, and I understand
16	that you have a motion you would like to make
17	with respect to the proposed eyewitness testimony
18	appearing on Page 6; is that correct, Mr. Loisel?
19	MR. LOISEL: Yes, Judge. We had
20	discussed this yesterday and the Court indicated
21	that it was going to include this and at that
22	time the State agreed after having a chance to
23	look at it in conjunction with the rest of the

- jury instructions, this is the typical 1 2 instruction with respect to eyewitness testimony or identifying witnesses testimony and their 3 4 ability to recollect, et cetera, et cetera. I 5 mean, there's six factors. I think I'm bringing it to the Court's attention because I think it 6 7 may be confusing to the jurors. There really is only one witness that could be considered an 8 9 identifying witness. I think Defense Counsel 10 will probably argue that she probably didn't 11 identify anything, so I just wanted to point out 12 to the Court that I think it may be confusing and therefore would ask that it be removed and I 13 14 don't believe there's an objection from 15 Defense Counsel at this time. 16 MR. WINGATE: No, there isn't. 17 THE COURT: All right. You would propose then striking the fourth line beginning 18 "with some things" and all the way through six; 19 20 is that correct?
- MR. LOISEL: Yes, Judge.
- MR. WINGATE: Yes.
- MR. MCELROY: And I believe underneath

- 1 that.
- 2 THE COURT: And -- right. All the way
- 3 through "not quilty."
- 4 MR. LOISEL: Yes, through Page 6.
- 5 THE COURT: All right.
- 6 MR. LOISEL: And --
- 7 THE COURT: We'll strike all of that.
- 8 MR. LOISEL: There was a minor addition
- 9 with respect to the handwritten instruction that
- 10 you made and there's no objection to that being
- included on Page 5 by the Defense -- well, by the
- 12 State. I don't want to speak for the Defense.
- 13 THE COURT: All right. Everything
- else all right? Oh, I was going to make that
- minor change on Page 5, correct. "Phone call
- 16 made by Brenda Navarre" add "to Detective Seymour
- wherein" add "he testified she appeared to be
- 18 under stress. Could not be considered for the
- 19 truth of that matter." I'll make that addition.
- MR. LOISEL: No objection, Judge.
- MR. WINGATE: No objection.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Okay. We're
- ready for oral argument?

MR. WINGATE: No, we're not, Your Honor. 1 2 I was aware of the fact that there was some questions brought in. I would at least like to 3 4 see the questions. 5 THE COURT: They got ripped up. 6 Allison, do you have those questions that were 7 brought in? MS. FINN: Oh, I don't. I can get a 8 9 copy of. THE COURT: 10 You ripped them up? 11 MS. FINN: I ripped them up into 12 shreds. I literally shredded them. I shredded 13 them into a thousand pieces. Do you want me to 14 get her -- she has an original, I believe. 15 MR. WINGATE: She still has them? 16 MS. FINN: This is the copy. I told 17 her I wasn't giving her the copy back. Do you 18 want --19 THE COURT: Let's go on the record 20 here first. I should indicate that the Bailiff was given a document from juror number --21 22 MS. FINN: 12.

THE COURT:

23

12. Wherein she indicated

Τ	she had written up a number of questions that she
2	wanted to be from Ms. Adele Karwacki. She wanted
3	the Court to answer these questions and I believe
4	that would be during open session. I instructed
5	the Bailiff to advise her that she misunderstood
6	any notes that was referenced in the initial
7	instructions to the jury were to be notes that
8	may be generated during the course of their
9	deliberations and that those questions would be
10	reduced to writing and passed to the Bailiff and
11	answered by the Court and Counsel. And I
12	instructed the Bailiff to advise Ms. Karwacki of
13	that understanding. And do I understand, the
14	Bailiff being Ms. French, did you have further
15	conversation with her?
16	MS. FINN: Yes.
17	THE COURT: What was the nature of
18	that conversation?

MS. FINN: I explained exactly what
you told me, that she could not ask. She had to
request the questions in writing at a specific
time. When they're deliberating, they have to
knock on the door and the bailiff will have to

1	come get them, that they can't share those with
2	anyone in the jury room while she's sitting there
3	now and I was going to destroy that copy. I
4	believe she may have a copy with her.
5	THE COURT: And then you shredded this
6	document?

7 MS. FINN: Yes.

8 THE COURT: That brings you up.

MR. WINGATE: All right. That brings me up. But this is the question and concern that I have: I don't know if it was yesterday or the day before yesterday we had a question from Mr. Montague. I believe he's Juror Number 2 -- MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

MR. WINGATE: --- in the jury box and the question he phrased, and the way it was phrased was he was aware that the wife cannot testify against her husband and that he wanted to know why the Prosecutor did not ask the son-in-law -- the stepson about what was in the bag, their appearance when they arrived at his house. The concern that is raised is that he has now introduced into this matter a principal of

1 law that is not going to be instructed upon by 2 the Court, whether he is correct as to the assessment of spousal privilege or whether he's 3 4 wrong about it. The fact of the matter is he's 5 now inquiring about a principle that he will not 6 be instructed upon. And in all likelihood, the 7 question that is raised in my mind is, one, whether or not he had, before pending the 8 9 question and submitting it to the Court, had 10 discussed this matter with other jurors and 11 somehow tainted or either caused a taint to be 12 placed in that jury room relative to the other 13 jurors, and I think that at this juncture. 14 would ask that he be removed, one; or, two, at 15 least an inquiry be made of him as to whether or 16 not he has discussed his question with other 17 members of this panel; and at minimum, three, that some type of instruction be provided 18 19 indicating that the law as it applies to this 20 case will come from the Court and only the Court, and you're not to use your own interpretation of 21 22 other principles of law that you may be aware of 23 as it relates to a verdict that's going to be

1 rendered in this case.

That's a concern that I have. I've given it some thought when I initially read the letter but didn't vocalize it, but after thinking about it, I think that with this juror pending that kind of question, at minimum the Court should A -- well, the Court should get rid of him. We do have an alternate, but at the very least I would also have the Court inquire whether or not this question that he submitted was discussed with other members of the panel because the issue of spousal privilege will never come up as an instruction in this case.

MR. LOISEL: Judge, I don't think that there's any reason to, A, dismiss him; or B, voir dire him; or C add additional instructions. This case is fraught with issues with respect to the spousal privilege. Obviously the jurors could sense when Mrs. Wilson was testifying that there was something going on. We can't control what the jurors think. If they have some outside knowledge of the law, your instructions tell them that they have to deal with the evidence and the

1	definitions in the law that you give them. What
2	else can you tell them? Just because he wrote a
3	question and presented it earlier with that
4	mindset that he I don't remember the exact
5	wording of it, I think Mr. Wingate's rendition
6	was relatively close. Does that justification
7	dismiss him just because it is crossing his mind?
8	I don't believe it rises to that level. So, I
9	would ask that you deny that request to have him
10	stricken and I think we also need to address
11	Ms. Karwacki with respect to the issue of her
12	note-taking.

13 THE COURT: That first note from

14 Juror 2 has been marked as Court's Exhibit Number

15 1. These shredded notes from --

MR. MCELROY: Number 12, Ms. Karwacki.

THE COURT: I'm going to designate

this as Court's Exhibit 2. Give me a second and

I'll frame a little instruction to the jury where

I will emphasize they are to consider the law as

is given to them by the Court in arriving at

their decision. I also may put on the record in

their presence that we did receive one inquiry

- that I'm going to assume was not shared with

 that I'm going to assume was not shared with

 the court and that that's not to be -- they

 are to consider only the law as given to them by

 the Court. Let me -- give me a minute and I'll

 draw up an instruction and give you a chance to

 look at it, but I'm not going to dismiss this

 juror.
- 8 MR. WINGATE: Note our objection for the 9 record.
- 10 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. WINGATE: Because I don't think

 assuming that he did not discuss it with other

 members of the panel is at this juncture

 appropriate, inasmuch as the case is now on the

 verge of being presented to the jurors at the

 close of the arguments by Counsel.
- 17 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. LOISEL: Well, Judge, and I think

 Mr. Wingate is correct, you can't assume. I

 think Mr. Wingate is correct when he says you

 can't assume he discussed it with other people,

 however, I think what the Court is planning on

 doing in adding an additional instruction will

- remedy whatever -- if there is any taint. If
 they discuss it, they discuss it. When it is

 time for them to discuss this case, you're going
 to indicate to them that they can only consider
 the law as given to them by the Court. I don't
- know what else they can do. So I want to make that point to the record.
- MR. WINGATE: Your Honor, I don't think
 there's anything that would -- that there would
 be anything wrong with at least inquiring of this
 juror whether or not he discussed -- prior to
 submitting that question discuss the matter with
 any other panel members.
- MR. LOISEL: Judge, I don't think that
 there's a necessity for that, for what it's
 worth.
- MR. WINGATE: I think the State would
 agree there is a necessity for a fair trial for
 Mr. Robert Wilson.
- MR. LOISEL: I would agree that.
- 21 THE COURT: Let's assume the worst.
- Let's assume he comes in here and says, yeah, we
- had discussion about spousal immunity. At that

- 1 point what would be your suggestion?
- 2 MR. WINGATE: Well, it depends on what
- 3 was discussed. If they have at this juncture
- 4 discussed a principle of law and, this jury is
- 5 going back in their mind indicating that, okay,
- 6 well, she must have known something or she
- 7 couldn't testify against him, maybe she was with
- 8 him. All of that is nothing but speculation,
- 9 Your Honor, and that taints that juror panel. I
- 10 mean, it prevents him from getting a fair and
- impartial juror. If it comes to that point, I
- think we would perhaps have to consider more
- drastic means such as a mistrial, and I know the
- 14 Court may not want it, but I think the law would
- dictate that that would occur. We cannot have
- jurors with pre-dispositions as to what the law
- 17 is in a case, and this is clearly been indicated
- by the question submitted by Mr. Montague, Juror
- Number 2, and this was well before the completion
- 20 of the evidence in this case and certainly well
- 21 before this Court is going to give any
- 22 instructions.
- 23 MR. LOISEL: Judge, as the Court is

well aware, everyone comes into this process with preconceived notions. You instruct them to the law, you tell them that this is the law you are allowed to consider. Any -- I mean, I don't know how you want to frame it in the additional instruction you're going to give them, but there's no taint that has been attained due to the fact that this juror wrote a question down. You're going to make an instruction, I would like to obviously know what that instruction may be. But I believe that remedies any and all problems that Mr. Wingate is referring to.

MR. WINGATE: Mike, what you're missing is, and we won't belabor the point, we'll finish it up. What you're missing is when the Court asked them to set aside any preconceived notion of the law, that's the law applicable to the case. What you have is a juror expressing a principle of law that will not be instructed upon, has nothing whatsoever to do with this case, hasn't even been brought up. It is what he says. He says I know that a wife can't testify against her husband. That's what he said. And

- 1 he's talking about the principle of spousal
- 2 privilege, which has not come up from the State,
- 3 Defense, or the Court, and this is what he's
- 4 talking about.
- 5 MR. LOISEL: So, anyone in that room
- 6 that knows the wife can't testify against the
- 7 husband, so, therefore, none of them talk about
- 8 it? You know, it is an issue. It's been out
- 9 there. It is the pink elephant inside of the
- 10 room. They all know it, and just because he says
- it, he's not talking about a principle of law.
- 12 He's talking about a wife testifying against a
- husband.
- MR. WINGATE: That's the principle,
- Mike.
- MR. LOISEL: Well, it is a principle of
- 17 law when you want to talk about Ohio Revised Code
- 18 2945.42. He just knows as many people do that
- spouses can't testify against each other. Does
- that mean that he's tainted the entire jury
- 21 because of his knowledge? Everyone probably has
- 22 that knowledge. So, I disagree with you in that
- respect.

1	THE COURT: All right. Give me a few
2	minutes and see if I can draft an instruction
3	that we can all live with.
4	(RECESS TAKEN.)
5	THE COURT: All right. Let's go back
6	on the record. I did craft a handwritten
7	instruction that I believe addresses the two
8	different notes that we've received during the
9	course of this trial. Shared copies of the
10	proposed oral instruction that I'm going to be
11	giving them verbatim of what I've written out
12	here and we'll have that marked as Court's
13	Exhibit Number 3 as well. Are there any
14	objections?
15	MR. WINGATE: Your Honor, from
16	Defendant's standpoint, the only objection that I
17	have is on behalf of Mr. Wilson's request that
18	the language in the second paragraph would
19	include the following words: "I am instructing
20	you that Ohio law provides that the jury must be
21	advised by the Court of all of the law that is or
22	may be necessary for its deliberation."

THE COURT: That agreeable?

1 MR. LOISEL: That's fine, Judge. No

2 objection.

3 THE COURT: I can do that.

4 MR. WINGATE: All right.

5 THE COURT: All right. Let's go do

6 it.

7 Judge, I don't know. MR. LOISEL: think the one other issue, and I think that this 8 9 instruction probably takes care of it, but I just 10 want to make sure it is clear for the record, and 11 I don't know if we need to, as much as I hate to 12 even suggest it, talk to Juror Number 12. She --13 it appears, we had Court's Exhibit 2 that has 14 been previously marked of ripped up notes that 15 she had prepared at home. With that in mind, it 16 appears that she probably has been considering this evidence, whatever evidence she has received 17 up to this point without the other 11 jurors, and 18 19 I know that's part of the instruction that the 20 Court has given you, that you are not to consider this case unless -- well, I don't know if you've 21 22 given that specific instruction, but I know when 23 they retire to deliberate, you tell them they

- cannot consider this unless all 12 are present,
- 2 you know, if two go to lunch and 10 go somewhere
- 3 else, you have to all be together. I don't know
- 4 if you want to inquire from her or just go
- 5 forward with this curative instruction that we've
- 6 just talked about.
- 7 THE COURT: I believe that my
- 8 instructions to all of the jurors are -- is that
- 9 they are not to form nor express an opinion until
- 10 the case is finally submitted to them and I
- don't -- and I would think the nature of these
- 12 questions in and of themselves speak to the
- matter that she has not yet formed an opinion
- because she's still seeking further information.
- MR. LOISEL: Very well. Just wanted to
- make sure we're all clear.
- 17 MR. MCELROY: So has the -- or had the
- 18 Court reviewed those questions itself?
- 19 THE COURT: I had not.
- 20 MR. LOISEL: And for the record, I
- 21 would submit that the State of Ohio did not, and
- it is my understanding that the Defense did not
- review those questions either.

1	MR. MCELROY: That is correct.
2	THE COURT: All right. Okay. Let's
3	go do it.
4	(WHEREUPON THE PRECEDING DISCUSSION
5	OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY CONCLUDED AND
6	THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD.)
7	THE COURT: Please be seated. Good
8	morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
9	Before we get started, I do have a special
10	instruction that I need to read at this time.
11	The Court is somewhat concerned about two
12	developments in this case. The Court did receive
13	a note from a juror who asked about an
14	evidentiary matter as it relates to a principle
15	of Ohio law. The Court also received a number of
16	questions from a juror that he or she would like
17	to have asked in open court. I am instructing
18	you that Ohio law provides that the jury must be
19	advised by the Court of all the law that is or
20	may be necessary for its deliberations. There
21	may be other law that is applicable to the
22	pretrial and trial proceedings, but which may not

23 be introduced to the jury because it would relate

- to matters of privilege, irrelevance, or

 extraneous matters that for a number of reasons

 cannot go to the jury.
- I'm also instructing to you that when I mentioned you would later be allowed to present written questions, I should have further stated that those questions would be questions that arose during the course of your deliberations. All of the evidence that could legally be presented to you has been introduced into the case. Again, I must advise you that you are required to follow the instructions that are given to you by the Court.

At this time you will be hearing the final arguments of Counsel. This is an opportunity for the attorneys to comment upon the evidence that has been received in the case.

They will be putting their spin on it, putting it into some sort of package that they believe you can use in your deliberations in arriving at your verdict.

I, again, remind you that statements of Counsel are not evidence. Since the State does

have the burden of proof in this case, the 1 2 State's attorney will address you first, and he will also address you last. Mr. Loisel. 3 4 MR. LOISEL: Thank you, Judge. Good 5 morning, everyone. As I'm sure Mr. Wingate 6 appreciates, and we appreciate your patience. 7 What do we know in this case? It's quite simple. Brenda Navarre told on Robert Wilson. 8 snitched on him. So, what did he do? 9 He killed 10 her. It is as simple as that and keep in mind, 11 he didn't just kill her, he made an example of 12 Brenda Navarre. You snitch on me, this is what 13 happens to you. You cooperate with the police, get me in trouble, this is how you end up. 14 15 Didn't strangle her, didn't shoot her. He 16 dropped 110 pound rock on her head. Why do you think he did that? You heard from Alfonzo Davis 17 why he did it. Had to do what he had to do. You 18 19 don't do that to Robert Wilson and get away with 20 it, you die. Brenda Navarre learned that the hard way. Much will be made as to what Janet 21

So, I ask you for a moment, let's

Wilson did or did not do in this case.

consider other information that we know in this case, direct evidence that was told to you from the witness stand. I'm going to go through all of this, but let's just consider for a moment this little synopsis. Detective Seymour was using Brenda Navarre as a confidential informant, as a snitch, no question about that. Detective Seymour watched Brenda Navarre make three buys from that man in the middle part of She was a snitch. She was corroborating 1993. with police. He got in trouble as a result of

that cooperation.

frantic phone call that he received days before
the rock was dropped on Brenda Navarre's head by
the Defendant. The Judge is going to instruct
you on inferences, logical inferences that you
can make with respect to the evidence. Well, I
submit to you why would Brenda Navarre call a
Toledo Police detective, and I want to make sure
I get this testimony right, she appeared to be
frantic and crying and two days later, two or
three days later she ends up dead at the hands of

Robert Wilson. Why else would she have been 1 2 calling? The gig was up. She knew that Robert knew, that's why she was calling. She was scared 3

to death. Detective Seymour told you that.

4

9

- 5 What else do we know? Direct evidence, 6 December 1st, 1993, Brenda Navarre is found on a 7 sidewalk dying with blood rushing from her head from a serious injury. Giant rock laying next to 8 her on the sidewalk.
- 10 What else do we know? December 1st, 11 1993, Janet Wilson just so happens to pick up 12 Robert Wilson at his sister's house that very 13 night and he had two bags with him. Is that a 14 coincidence? No coincidence. You know why she 15 picked him up that night. The evidence is clear 16 as to why she picked him up that night with two 17 bags.

December 2nd, 1993, Brenda Navarre died. 18 19 What did the coroner tell you? Blunt force trauma to her head here and here. And is it 20 21 consistent with a rock being dropped on you? 22 Yes. She told you about the hinge fracture 23 through the entire shelf of her face. She told

- your about the depressed skull fracture

 consistent with a 100 pound rock being dropped on

 your head.
- 4 Finally, what other direct evidence do we have? Alfonzo Davis, don't we, the Defendant's 5 6 stepson. He kind of laughed when you said 7 stepfather, Yeah, I guess so. You heard his 8 testimony. This guy right here is not his 9 favorite quy, doesn't hate him but he's just not the right guy for his mom. No bias. What reason 10 11 would he have to lie about what Robert Wilson told him back in 1995 or so? Doesn't have a 12 13 reason to lie. He told you about the 14 conversation that he had with that man, and it is not as though he talked to him out of the blue. 15 16 It is not although he said, Hey, Alfonzo, come here, I need to tell you something. Alfonzo told 17 you that he and his brother was involved in 18 19 something where his brother was a snitch. Oh, 20 that rang some bells with this man, didn't it? 21 When he heard snitch, he said, Well, let me tell 22 you about snitches, you got to do what you got to 23 do. What's that? Alfonzo asks him. You kill

- snitch bitches. Well, how did you do it?
- 2 Dropped a brick on her head.
- Again, is that just a mere coincidence
 that he's talking about this, about what he did
 to Brenda Navarre and that's exactly how it
 happened, or is that the admission? I submit to
 you that's exactly what happened. He admitted to
- 8 Alfonzo Davis that night.

16

17

18

- Mr. Wingate is going to talk to you

 about, well, aren't you sure or are you not sure

 if you heard that from your mother about the

 brick being dropped on his head. He said, Well,

 maybe she told me, I don't know. But remember my

 question to him: What did Robert say to you.

 Dropped a brick on her head.
 - There's no question that he told him the other two parts of that conversation, is there?

 He did what he had to do. He had to kill the snitch bitch.
- Now, obviously there was a lot more

 testimony in this case, but I submit to you,

 ladies and gentlemen, with just the evidence that

 I just talked about, you have more than enough

- proof beyond a reasonable doubt to convict that

 man of murder, but it is not that simple, is it?

 There are other things that need to be discussed.

 Even when you look at the other things that need

 to be discussed, everything somehow points back

 to him.
- I know defense attorneys like to say no
 matter how many times you point at my client, he
 is not guilty. You know what? You are the sole
 judges of that. Where does everything point?

 Does it point off into space? Does it point to
 some third party? No. Amazingly it all points
 back to him.

Now, just as what I'm telling you the evidence has shown, Mr. Wingate will talk to you about what he feels the evidence has shown. And I can tell you what he's going to talk about.

He's going to talk about, well, Janet Wilson isn't telling the truth. She lied on Robert.

That's what this is all about. Alfonzo Davis, he's not telling the truth either. He's lying on Robert. Toledo Police, it is their fault. Their initial investigation, they should have found

- somebody and found that person, it is their

 fault. They didn't do an adequate job.
- Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you

 that he's going to try to make you believe that

 this is some elaborate scheme by Janet Wilson to

 frame Robert Wilson. He may not say it in those

 terms, but think about it, that's what he wants

 you to think. That's what he wants you to

 believe.

10 Is it feasible that Janet Wilson for 11 \$5,000 dollars after 15 years wanted to frame her 12 husband, that she waited 10 to 12 years and 13 that's when she decided, huh, okay, now it's 14 time. I'm going to start this whole process in 15 motion. I'm going to talk to detective --16 Sergeant Vasquez, Sergeant Forrester, and Bart 17 Beavers, and we're going to get this all started now, because, yeah, I've had enough of this. I 18 19 want my \$5,000 dollars. I'm going to frame my 20 husband. Or does it make sense that what she's telling you is true. All the evidence tells you 21 22 that what she says is true and we'll get back to 23 that.

1	Defendant's Exhibit A, the letter she
2	wrote to Robert Wilson while he was incarcerated.
3	He's going to waive it in front I don't have of
4	you and waive this letter and say it proves my
5	client's innocence. She says in that letter that
6	I lied to the grand jury. Janet Wilson, and as I
7	said, don't worry, we'll certainly get back to
8	that, but just remember when that letter
9	originated. Keep that in mind when he's saying
10	you must believe what's in this letter because it
11	exonerates my client.

12 The only problem that Mr. Wingate faces is that it ignores the evidence that we just 13 talked about, the fact that Brenda Navarre was a 14 15 snitch, the fact that she snitched on Robert 16 Wilson, the fact that Robert Wilson told his 17 stepson, I killed the snitch bitch by dropping a 18 brick on her head. It ignores all of that. He's 19 going to want you to focus on Janet Wilson's 20 letter and all these mystery suspects that the 21 Toledo Police had on these suspects in 1993. 22 Why? Because that pulls your focus away from the direct evidence that we just talked about. 23

pulls your focus away from the fact that the

evidence dictates that Robert Wilson murdered

Brenda Navarre. And, again, don't take my word

for all this.

Let's at this point go through what we heard. These are my notes from each and every witness. And I've explained it, and I don't want to be redundant, but it is important that you consider what each and every witness had to say. That's why you're here. Remember back in voir dire we talked about you are the judges of credibility with respect to what you hear from the witness stand? You had an opportunity to look at each and every witness, their demeanor, how that sat in that witness chair, how they talked to you, how clear they were with their voice, their inflection, their ability to tell you the truth. Keep that in mind.

Detective Bill Seymour, three direct buys between the deceased, Brenda Navarre, and the Defendant back in 1993 May, June, and I believe August. Pretty compelling when you think about it, especially as I said when you think about it

1	in conjunction with what the Defendant told
2	Alfonzo Davis, I had to do what I had to do.
3	What's that? Kill the snitch bitch. There's no
4	question Brenda Navarre was the snitch bitch Bill
5	Seymour told you. It is not as though that
6	mysteriously came forward. That is a fact that
7	can't be changed. She snitched for the TPD and
8	the fact that Alfonzo told you what the Defendant
9	said, He killed the snitch bitch. And it is not
10	as though Brenda Navarre died from, as I said,
11	strangulation, maybe a gunshot wound, being hit
12	by a car. It just so happens that she died in
13	the exact manner that Robert Wilson said she did
14	back in 1995 to Alfonzo Davis. She had a brick
15	dropped on her head, and you'll have a chance to
16	look at the pictures. It is much more than a
17	brick, ladies and gentlemen, it is a boulder over
18	a hundred pounds.

What else did Detective Seymour tell you?

As I said, days before Brenda was killed, frantic phone call, she was crying. You can make logical inferences. Days later she ends up dead. Why would she call Detective Seymour if it weren't

- for something to do about the case against
- 2 Robert Wilson? It doesn't make sense. Of course
- 3 she called him with respect to Robert Wilson.
- 4 Somehow he had found out that she was a snitch
- 5 and he took care of it.
- 6 You'll also hear an instruction with
- 7 respect to what Detective Seymour told you. It
- 8 is called other acts evidence, and I believe the
- 9 Judge has already instructed you after
- 10 testifying, you can't consider that with respect
- 11 to the guilt or innocence of this man, but you
- can consider it for motive. That's exactly what
- 13 it is. His motive to kill Brenda Navarre stemmed
- from when he learned that she snitched on him.
- And, finally, one other thing that's important
- 16 with respect to Detective Seymour. What did he
- 17 say? Mr. Wingate asked him about the
- 18 confidential informants, Do you typically like to
- have them, and pardon me if I don't say the quote
- 20 exactly, but he asked him about a question about
- 21 Do you like to have confidential informants
- testify in court. No. We like to try to keep
- 23 their identity hidden. Huh, okay. Remember his

- answer to me when I said, Well, why? What did he
- 2 say? Police try not to use confidential
- 3 informants at trial to protect their identity.
- 4 Why? To protect their life. Being a
- 5 confidential informant is dangerous, and
- 6 Brenda Navarre found that out the hard way.
- 7 Robert Wilson made sure of it.

that night.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

You have two scene witnesses, Odett Scott
and Roger Craig. What does Roger Craig tell you?

Not much. He tells you he came upon a scene and
there was a dying or dead white female, petite on
the sidewalk with a giant rock next to her head,
blood on the sidewalk. Confirms what happened

Odett Scott you could barely hear what she had to say, but what is important from her testimony? Remember what she said about the voices that she heard, black male arguing with a white female, Robert Wilson is a black male,

Brenda Navarre was a white female. You may not think that's important, but she was there. She was there that night just before Brenda Navarre was killed and heard an argument between a black

male and a white female. Granted, she didn't see 1 2 who did this, but you saw I kept trying to ask her, Are you sure you didn't see. What did you 3 4 see when you looked over there. What did you 5 She wouldn't say. She just said a black 6 male and a white female arguing. So, I'm not 7 trying to tell you that she identifies Robert Wilson, but it eliminates everyone else 8

but black males.

9

23

10 Officer Malone, Sergeant Niemiec. Again, 11 two responding officers. What do they do? 12 set the scene. They tell you that they responded 13 to Paxton and E Street which here in Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio. Remember when we talked 14 15 about elements? I said, you know, the State of 16 Ohio have to prove A, B, and C. That's one of 17 the elements, that this took place here in Lucas County, Ohio, on or about December 1st through 18 19 December 3rd of 1993? Remember my question: Did 20 you respond to Paxton and E Street on or about December 1st, 1993. Officer Malone said Yes. 21 22 Officer Niemiec -- Sergeant Niemiec now said yes.

Those are two very important elements,

- venue -- well, just one, venue. Here in Toledo,
- Lucas County, Ohio and, I'm sorry -- two -- date,
- 3 time frame, December 1st through December 3rd,
- 4 1993.
- They didn't see who was there. I'm not
- 6 pretending to say they have any idea who did
- 7 this, but that's where they responded to find
- 8 Brenda Navarre laying in this puddle of blood
- 9 with a rock next to her, dying. You heard their
- 10 testimony that they got her out of there as fast
- as possible to try to get her medical attention.
- 12 One of them recalls, I believe it was
- Sergeant Niemiec, Do you know what happened to
- her? Yeah, she died. No question about that, is
- 15 there?
- 16 I've talked about a lot about Alfonzo
- 17 already, but next in line Alfonzo Davis. As I
- said, he was candid, he said he loves his mom, is
- that a bias? That's for you to decide. Is his
- love for his mother so strong that he came in
- 21 here and lied to you ladies and gentlemen? Did
- you get that from his testimony? Did you feel
- that from the way he was talking to you? No

- You could see he didn't really want to be here in 2 the first place. Not a pleasant thing to testify against Robert Wilson. He was very reluctant to 3 4 even tell about the conversation he had with 5 Robert Wilson. Do you remember having a 6 conversation with Robert Wilson around back about 7 1995. Kind of. Not really. Something about snitches. Well, if I showed you this statement 8 9 that you made to police back in 2006, would it 10 refresh your recollection? I suppose. I showed 11 it to him. Does that refresh your recollection, 12 Mr. Davis. Yes. What did you and Mr. Wilson 13 talk about. He said he had to do what he had to
- 16 snitch bitch. If you know, Mr. Davis, how did he 17 do that. Again, dropped a brick on her head. You heard him. You saw him. He's telling you 18 19 the truth, ladies and gentlemen, he has no reason

do. Mr. Davis, what do you mean by that. Do you

know what he meant. That he had to kill the

20 not to tell you the truth.

1

14

- And I will object. 21 MR. WINGATE:
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 MR. LOISEL: You judge his credibility.

- 1 Remember what I said. You saw him testify. Did
- 2 it appear that he was telling you anything but
- 3 the truth?
- 4 MR. WINGATE: Again, I will object.
- 5 THE COURT: Issue of truth is for
- 6 the -- and the credibility is to the preface of
- 7 the jury. Sustained.
- 8 MR. LOISEL: Also one other thing you
- 9 need to consider is he told this to the police
- 10 years ago. Information didn't come forward until
- 11 19 -- or 2006 when the police after having an
- opportunity to talk to him said, Hey, let's get
- 13 together and we'll have a conversation. So, what
- 14 did they do? They got together. Alfonzo Davis
- 15 told him what -- told them what he told you. Was
- 16 he offered any money? Did he get 50 crisp \$100
- dollar bills? No. He just told you what
- 18 Robert Wilson told him.
- 19 That brings us to the thick file
- 20 Brenda Navarre -- I'm sorry -- Janet Wilson. As
- I said, of all nights in all the years that she
- was with this man, she remembers picking him up
- on December 1st of 1993 at his sister's house

- with two bags. And as I mentioned before, is
- 2 that some cosmic coincidence that on the night
- 3 Brenda Navarre was brutally murdered,
- 4 Janet Wilson, the Defendant's wife, goes and
- 5 picks him up? A couple of bags. They ultimately
- 6 end up at Alfonzo Davis's house, spend the night.
- 7 Why is that memorable? Because it had never
- 8 happened before. I submit to you that the
- 9 evidence suggests that the Defendant didn't want
- 10 to go home that night. He was hiding out. Where
- did he go? Alfonzo Davis's house. The one time
- in his life he spent the night just so happens to
- be on December 1st, 1993.
- 14 There's no getting around the fact that
- she wrote a letter to Robert Wilson in 2006,
- 16 indicating that she lied to the grand jury, but
- 17 she told you why she did this. She was scared.
- 18 She didn't know what was going to happen, but you
- 19 heard her say that letter was not truthful. You
- 20 heard her talk to Attorney Wingate, that letter
- 21 is a lie. Attorney Wingate and myself both went
- 22 through the letter and the affidavit, some of it
- is true, some of it is not.

When she met with him years ago, she told
Defense Attorney that that letter was a lie. She
told you, ladies and gentlemen, that that letter
was not truthful. Sure, certain parts of it were
based in truth, but the gist of the letter was
not true, the gist that she lied to the grand
jury to set up her husband. I'll admit, she
said, Yeah, I was having some tough times, bar,
financial situation, yeah, I needed money. Who
of us -- strike that.

Who doesn't need money? She was honest.

She told you, Yeah, I needed money. Does that

mean she lied about it? She also was very

candid. She told you, yeah, I was charged. I

wasn't going to cooperate. The State of Ohio

charged me with obstructing justice. Charge gets

dropped if she cooperates.

Attorney Wingate is going to say, well, of course she's going to cooperate if not she's going to go to prison. She doesn't want to go to jail because of this man. Before you heard Attorney Wingate say one, two, three, four, five years is the penalty for obstructing justice.

She doesn't want to do that. Hasn't the

Defendant put her through enough already? Of

course she's going to cooperate. She doesn't

want to get a felony for this man.

4

22

- 5 And finally, she admitted that that 6 affidavit was, again, partially true, partially 7 false, but you got to consider this: prepared this affidavit? Robert Wilson's 8 9 attorney prepared it. Robert Wilson's attorney, his job is to defend his client. So, what does 10 11 he do? He knows that his client got a letter 12 from Janet Wilson saying that she lied to the 13 grand jury. What does his attorney do? Like any 14 good attorney, prepares an affidavit that says, 15 Janet, sign this if it is true, because if this 16 is true, Robert Wilson couldn't have done what 17 you say he did. Notice the signature line. It is blank. Janet Wilson wouldn't sign it. You 18 heard her say why not, because it is not true. 19 20 Attorney Wingate attempted to have her sign that on two separate occasions she testified to. 21
 - MR. WINGATE: I will object. She said once at the rehab.

- MR. LOISEL: He prepared the affidavit 1 2 at his office, showed it to her, wouldn't sign it, and then at the rehab center she wouldn't 3 4 sign it, two times. 5 MR. WINGATE: Objection. 6 THE COURT: Jury will have to rely on 7 its collective memory. MR. LOISEL: Again, you have to judge 8 her truthfulness, her candor on the stand. Did 9 10 she appear honest to you? What were her 11 responses? Did they seem rehearsed, or did they 12 seem candid? 13 Finally she said something that was very 14 compelling. Why after 10 years did you go talk 15 to Sergeant Forrester? She had to get it off her 16 chest. She couldn't live with it any longer.
- She was down at Toledo Police, saw an unsolved
 murder board. It obviously evoked very emotional
 memories. She had to talk to someone.
- And finally, yeah, she got \$5,000

 dollars, as I said, but what did

 Detective Beavers tell you with respect to Crime

 Stopper money? It is not as though road

- policeman can go out and say, huh, let's offer 1 2 this person \$5,000 dollars to see if we can get them to say what we want them to say, see if they 3 4 adopt a story we like so then we can arrest 5 someone. No, it is not like that. It has to go 6 in front of a board and be approved before you can even offer it to somebody. And when do you 7 get Crime Stopper money? When it leads to an 8 9 arrest of the suspect. That's exactly what 10 happened in this case.
- 11 You heard from Detective Vasquez, he told 12 you that he had talked to Janet Wilson back in 13 2005. He had talked to her on a number of 14 occasions by phone at the bar, in person when her 15 grandson was robbed. He talked to him -- I'm 16 sorry, he talked to Janet Wilson at that point. Did she ever talk to you about the murder of 17 Brenda Navarre. Yeah, many occasions. Did she 18 19 ever give you an official statement. Yep, June 20 of 2005. Approximately how many times did you talk to her? He couldn't even give me a number. 21 22 But remember what he said at the end of his 23 testimony? Every time he talked to

- 1 Brenda Navarre -- strike that, I apologize.
- 2 -- Janet Wilson about the murder of
- 3 Brenda Navarre, her story was consistent. It
- 4 never changed. Now Attorney Wingate will tell
- 5 you her story changed, he's got the letter. Keep
- in mind, we'll talk about that again, when that
- 7 letter was written.
- 8 Dr. Barnett had to show us some very
- 9 tough pictures to look at, but as I already told
- 10 you, what's the importance of her testimony? A,
- 11 Brenda Navarre died. Manner of death was
- homicide, blunt force injury to the head. But
- the most compelling part of her testimony is she
- explained to you all the injuries, talked about
- 15 the fractures. Is it consistent with a rock
- 16 being dropped on your head? Yes. Look at that
- in totality of the circumstances. Look at that
- in conjunction with all of the other information
- that's been pointed out to you, all of the other
- 20 evidence that you are to consider. Makes sense,
- she died by a rock being dropped on her head by
- this man.
- 23 Finally, we have Detective Beavers

- 1 testify. Yes, there was evidence destroyed in
- 2 this particular case. The rock is gone, clothes,
- 3 any physical evidence that may be at the scene
- 4 has been gone -- has been destroyed. You've
- 5 heard from Detective Beavers that
- 6 Detective Culpert still thought this was a
- 7 felonious assault case.
- 8 MR. WINGATE: We'll object. That was
- 9 testified to and objected to and was sustained.
- 10 THE COURT: I'm going to have the jury
- 11 rely on its collective memory on that point.
- MR. LOISEL: Regardless, it was
- destroyed. And my question to Detective Beavers
- 14 was, wouldn't you like to have that evidence
- still. Of course he would. Why? Because you
- 16 can still get trace evidence. Maybe you can get
- 17 DNA off her clothes, off the rock, or off
- something from the scene. But you know what?
- 19 They don't have it. It is unfortunate. Would he
- 20 like to have it? Sure. Would the State of Ohio
- 21 like to have it? Yes. I'm sure the Defense
- 22 would like to have it, too, because that physical
- 23 evidence could have given us something, maybe

- something to exonerate the Defendant, but also something more, to prove his quilt.
- I submit to you, you already have enough,

 more than enough that proves beyond a reasonable

 doubt that he's quilty of this crime.
- So, yes, Detective Beavers does admit
 that that evidence was destroyed. DNA. Yes,

 even though DNA was not even really used in 1993,
 they still collected evidence from her anus, from
 her vagina, from her blood for comparison

 purposes back then -- strike that.

12 It was used for other things than DNA but 13 now today, 2008, you can compare those things. 14 And what do they do? They compared that DNA 15 taken from her vagina to that of Robert Wilson. 16 You can look at State's Exhibit, I believe 24 and 17 25, yeah, the DNA from her vagina does not match Robert Wilson's DNA. Has absolutely no 18 19 evidentiary value. The only thing I tell you is 20 that Robert Wilson's DNA wasn't in her vagina. That's what it tells you. So the DNA matters 21 22 not.

23

There was testimony about the tip --

1	tips, importance of them. This Detective did not
2	receive the tips. He could not gauge their
3	importance. He also told you that he had a
4	chance to talk to Janet Wilson on a number of
5	occasions and her statement to him was consistent
6	time and time again.

7 A thorough investigate was done in 1993. He told you and no viable suspects were 8 developed. Sure, he's willing to admit, and the 9 10 State of Ohio versus submits to you, yes, that a 11 number of tips were given back in 1993, six, 12 seven, eight different names, but I submit to 13 you, ladies and gentlemen, that the Toledo Police 14 Department did their job back in 1993. 15 investigated those tips to the best of their ability and no one surfaced as a legitimate 16 17 suspect. Therefore, what happens? The case goes 18 cold. When did the case revive? Janet Wilson 19 came forward. Detective Beavers even told you 20 Robert Wilson's name was not even considered back in 1993. It was not listed in any of those tips, 21 22 was it. Detective Beavers, No. Doesn't it make 23 sense that they didn't charge anyone back in 1993

1	with this crime because they didn't have the
2	information about the real killer back in 1993?
3	When did they get that evidence? In 2003 when
4	Janet Wilson came forward. His name never came
5	to light until 2003. That's when the evidence
6	surfaced. That's when the case was reopened,
7	that's when you develop the true suspect,
8	Robert Wilson. And don't, again, take my word
9	for it. Take that in consideration with the drug
10	investigation, the statements that Alfonzo made,
11	Janet Wilson's continuing statement in
12	implicating Robert Wilson. The Toledo Police
13	finally got the evidence that they needed and
14	charged the real killer. Ideally would it have
15	been nice to have that information from
16	Janet Wilson back in 1993? Of course it would
17	have been. It would have been great. Wouldn't
18	have had to deal with this case for the next 15
19	years. But they didn't, so they didn't charge
20	anybody until they got the evidence, and that's
21	what policemen do. That's what detectives do.
22	They put cases together when they get a
23	sufficient amount of evidence and they charge

- 1 someone. Unfortunately in this case it didn't
- 2 happen for 15 years, but it happened. You ladies
- and gentlemen have the evidence, and, as I said,
- 4 it all points right there.
- 5 One last thing before I finish up.
- 6 Defense Counsel is going to talk to you. I'm
- 7 assuming he's going to talk to you about
- Janet Wilson. I've talked to you a lot about
- 9 Janet Wilson. She's an important witness in this
- 10 case, but I want you to keep in mind, as I said,
- 11 he's going to wave the letter that she wrote to
- Robert Wilson around. He may read from the
- letter. I don't know what he's going to do from
- 14 the letter, but you can read the letter. It's
- been made part of evidence for your
- 16 consideration. When you go back and deliberate,
- 17 you can look at that letter. What does the
- 18 letter say? Janet Wilson says to Robert in this
- letter that I lied to the grand jury, I'm having
- 20 crazy feelings and thoughts. Defense Attorney
- 21 Wingate says, well, you have to believe this
- 22 letter. That's true. Well, if that's the case
- and you can only believe the -- why can you only

- 1 believe part of the letter? He's going to say
- 2 she's truthful here when she writes the letter.
- 3 What about all the other information that
- Janet Wilson is given, why can't that be true?
- 5 The reason that all the other stuff can't be true
- is because it points right at Robert Wilson.
- 7 So, I don't want you to believe the stuff
- 8 that points at Robert Wilson, but I want you to
- 9 believe the stuff that doesn't point at
- 10 Robert Wilson. You can't have it both ways.
- 11 You've got to consider it in its entirety, why
- she wrote the letter. She told you she was
- scared. Like I said, I'll get back to that.
- 14 Finally, ladies and gentlemen, as I said,
- the State of Ohio has to prove beyond a
- 16 reasonable doubt each and every element of
- 17 murder. We talked about it in opening. Remember
- I said A, B, C. If we don't prove A or B or one
- 19 of the three, then you got to find not guilty,
- 20 but if you find that the State has proven all of
- 21 these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, it is
- 22 your duty to find the Defendant quilty. What are
- the elements? That Robert Wilson on or between

1	December 1st and December 3rd, 1993, here in
2	Lucas County, Ohio, purposely caused the death of
3	another. Four things. The Judge is going to
4	give you definitions with respect to what
5	purposely means and causation. But let's just
6	look at it in layman's terms. Robert Wilson
7	caused the death, purposely caused the death of
8	another. Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you
9	that when you pick up a hundred pound rock and
10	drop it on someone's head, you're purposely
11	trying to cause their death. There is no other
12	explanation as to why you would drop a hundred
13	pound rock on someone's head. On or between
14	December 1st to December 3rd, 1993, there is no
15	question that that is when this took place. The
16	Toledo Police told you that's when they
17	responded. Deputy Coroner Scala-Barnett.
18	Told you that's when she conducted the
19	autopsy on December 3rd. She told you that
20	Janet or Brenda Navarre passed on December

I said before, Paxton and E Street. Everyone testified that that is here in Toledo Ohio, Lucas

2nd. No question here in Lucas County, Ohio. As

- 1 County, Ohio.
- 2 So, that leaves us with Robert Wilson.
- 3 I've just spent the last 45 minutes or whatever
- 4 it may have been telling you the evidence points
- 5 directly at Robert Wilson. It points at no one
- 6 else. But, wait, wait. What about the
- 7 tips? What about all these other names? They
- 8 were investigated, and nothing came of them.
- 9 Everything in this case points to Robert Wilson
- 10 and that he on or between December 1st and
- December 3rd, 1993, here in Lucas County, Ohio
- 12 purposely caused the death of Brenda Navarre.
- 13 The State of Ohio has proven that beyond a
- 14 reasonable doubt and it is your duty to find the
- 15 Defendant quilty.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. We'll take a
- 17 15 minute recess before you commence with further
- final arguments here. Again, do not discuss this
- 19 case among yourselves. Do not allow anyone to
- 20 discuss this case in your presence. Neither form
- 21 nor express an opinion about the case until the
- case is finally submitted to you. We'll recess
- for 15 minutes.

1	(RECESS TAKEN.)
2	MR. WINGATE: Judge could we approach
3	before you bring the jury out?
4	(WHEREUPON THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION WAS
5	HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY AT THE
6	BENCH.)
7	MR. MCELROY: Judge, with reference to
8	the affidavit or statement that is Mrs. Wilson's
9	statement that Ronnie wrote and was not admitted
10	yesterday.
11	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12	MR. MCELROY: That was
13	MR. LOISEL: That is Defendant's
14	Exhibit B.
15	MR. MCELROY: Correct, Defendant's
16	Exhibit B.
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	MR. MCELROY: It was mentioned several
19	times during closing. Again, it was acknowledged
20	by the State that she testified it is both true
21	and some of it is false.
22	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
23	MR. MCELROY: It is the Defendant's

1	position it is not being offered to prove the
2	truth of any of the statements in it. It's being
3	supplied just to show that they were saved. And
4	to give the jury some sort of reference about a
5	reference point to make sense of the testimony
6	she gave about, well, some of this is true and
7	some of this is false, therefore, it would be
8	outside of any hearsay and should be admitted.
9	MR. LOISEL: Judge, I think the Court
LO	has made its ruling, but I'll leave it I would
11	ask you just to refer to your right, but I'll
L2	leave it up to the Court at this point.
13	THE COURT: If I remember correctly, I
L 4	ruled that you can read anything, make full
L5	reference to it, but since it was a hearsay
L 6	document it was not to go to the jury. I better
L7	reaffirm that at this point, but you can
L8	certainly
L9	MR. MCELROY: But on the basis that it
20	is offered for the truth
71	THE COURT. On the basis that it is

MR. MCELROY: Okay.

hearsay.

- 1 THE COURT: Bring in the jury.
- 2 (WHEREUPON THE PRECEDING DISCUSSION AT
- 3 THE BENCH CONCLUDED AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
- 4 WERE HELD.)
- 5 THE COURT: Ready to proceed.
- 6 MR. WINGATE: Good morning, ladies and
- 7 gentlemen. I know you're getting tired of
- 8 hearing us speak, and, of course, this is our
- 9 last opportunity. When I say us, me
- specifically, this will be the last opportunity
- 11 that I will have to address you. To all intents
- and purposes, when I sit down, nothing else I
- will have to say.
- Now, in voir dire the Prosecutor talked
- about 50 objections from Mr. Wingate and maybe 10
- from the State, and I know I may have exceeded my
- 17 quota, but you know, again, as I told you in voir
- dire, I'm an advocate. It is my responsibility
- when I feel that the rules and regulations by
- 20 which we must abide have been violated, I object.
- 21 I apologize on my behalf. Hold it against me,
- fine, but just don't do it to Mr. Wilson in this
- case, and I don't believe that you will.

1	Now, I want to start out by telling you
2	that one of the cornerstones of our criminal
3	justice system upon which it is built is the
4	principle that every individual charged with a
5	crime is presumed innocent. That's first.
6	Another cornerstone is that in order to
7	remove this presumption of innocence, you, the
8	jury, the triers of fact, must be convinced
9	beyond a reasonable doubt as to each and every
L 0	element of the charge against that individual.
L1	Now, these two principles are
L2	interrelated and they are the pillars upon which
L3	your verdict must be based. I want you to keep
L 4	that in mind. I'll also say to you that it is
L 5	unfortunate that we are here today. It was
L 6	tragic what occurred in 1993, December the 1st.
L7	It was tragic what happened to Brenda Navarre.
L 8	Now, my sympathy will go out to her family, and
L 9	will say to you
20	MR. LOISEL: Objection, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: What basis?
22	MR. LOISEL: Attorney Wingate's

sympathy has nothing to do with the facts in this

- 1 case.
- THE COURT: The jury will be
- instructed that sympathy, they are not to
- 4 consider sympathy as a prejudice.
- 5 MR. WINGATE: However, this Court will
- 6 tell you that you and your decision cannot be
- 7 based upon sympathy, bias, or prejudice. The
- 8 Prosecutor has a habit of anticipating what I
- 9 will or will not say, but I'm going to tell you
- 10 now. This case is not about me. It is not about
- me. It is about the State of Ohio meeting its
- burden of proof. So, don't let the Prosecutor
- sort of sway you to start looking at about what
- Mr. Wingate said, Mr. Mr. Wingate didn't say.
- 15 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, that's what he
- 16 has to do. That's where that burden is. It will
- 17 never shift to me. It is not about me.
- In voir dire the Prosecutor told you we
- don't pick and choose our witnesses, and he's
- 20 right about that. He also told you that memories
- 21 fade with the passage of time and, again, he's
- 22 right. But despite these shortcomings that the
- 23 Prosecutor brought to your attention at the first

- opportunity that he had to speak to you, I don't 1 2 want you to be fooled, because it doesn't matter if memories are fresh. It doesn't matter if 3 4 memories are faded. It doesn't matter if the 5 witnesses are the pick of the litter, Saint 6 Benda -- sister, not a saint -- Sister Benda, the 7 juror that left. It doesn't matter who, it doesn't matter the burden, the standard in this 8 9 case is that the State must convince you beyond a 10 reasonable doubt in order to remove Mr. Wilson's 11 presumption of innocence. That burden is the 12 same despite the nature of the witnesses, good or 13 bad; memories, good or bad. It is the same. Now, this is it is standard and that is the level 14 15 of proof that you said you would have the State
 - Now, you are allowed to take notes during this trial. You saw and you heard the witnesses, and I would like at this time to review with you what I think the evidence has shown in this case and what the State of Ohio has presented.

Now, as I told you in voir dire, there is no physical evidence which indicate that

16

17

18

19

20

21

of Ohio meet.

Mr. Wilson had anything to do with the death of
Brenda Navarre. There is no trace evidence,
there is no fiber evidence, there is nothing.

Detective Culpert, Chad Culpert, collected the
evidence and later signed the paperwork to have
it destroyed.

Now, the Prosecutor wants you to believe that it was a mistake. It may have been, but the fact that paperwork says felonious assault and you're standing at an autopsy of an individual watching it being performed, taking pictures and are given the evidence at the close of the autopsy, would clearly indicate that it is no longer a felonious assault. So, now the evidence is destroyed.

Detective Beavers, as the Prosecutor told you, said we would love to have physical evidence with the advances in technology, body fluids, trace evidence, fibers, it would be a great help, and that's what the lead investigator said. And I will say to you, but physical evidence doesn't always incriminate because, yes, anticipating correctly, we would have loved to have had it,

too. Because just like it could incriminate, it can exonerate, but we don't have that, so we're disadvantaged, but, again, what do we have? The presumption of innocence because we don't have to prove our innocence to you, keep that in mind.

Now, as far as any test being conducted on the evidence, don't know what it would reveal without physical evidence. Now, keep this in mind, without any physical evidence, the State sought to give you a motive as to why it believes Robert Wilson perpetrated this crime. It goes back, and I'm only going to touch upon the witnesses that I consider percipient in this case.

Let's go back to Detective Seymour. He testified three drug sales involving

Robert Wilson and Brenda Navarre as the confidential informant occurring in June and August of 1993, but you heard Detective Seymour also testify to the fact that Brenda Navarre was involved in five to ten drug cases with him. He said he became involved with the Vice Drug Unit in 1991, and Brenda Navarre had been a

- confidential informant a couple of years prior to
 that. He couldn't tell you if she was, in fact,
 working with other detectives. Couldn't tell you
 what other cases she may have been involved in,
 but he did say this: He said being a
 confidential informant is a very dangerous
 business.
- Now, motive for Robert Wilson. Motive

 for anyone that would have sold drugs to

 Brenda Navarre during this time frame, or at any

 time frame. Why? Because it is a very dangerous

 business.

And just like the Prosecutor can say to you that, well, heard a black voice, heard a white voice, so we know that it was a black male.

Then I guess any drug dealer doing a sale could also be included as a suspect. But, again, this is for your thought because, again, the burden is here. It has to be beyond a reasonable doubt.

Detective Seymour, he testified that

Brenda Navarre called him near the end of

November of 1993 and she was frantic and crying.

Wasn't put in the report. The significance of

this is he said it was passed on by word of mouth and, again, common sense and reason, which you didn't leave when you came into this jury room --or into this courtroom would indicate that if Detective Seymour in November of 1993 passed it on by word of mouth that Brenda Navarre had concerns for her safety because of Robert Wilson, then in 1993, we have a suspect, but you don't have that. You don't have that.

what you have to listen to, it is all in phraseology. The State wants to put it one way. Detective Beavers told you that from the witness stand over here from 1993 until 2004 -- now, keep that in mind, 2004. 2003 is when Janet surfaced or came forward. But from 1993 until 2004 there was only one Crime Stopper report that mentioned the name of Robert Wilson and what did he tell you about the report? He says that report was inconsistent with the facts of this case. No credibility was given to it. That's what he testified to. This is the detective. This is the detective.

L	So, now, Detective Beavers testified from
2	1993 again, now keep in mind until 2003 when
3	Janet Wilson surfaced, he had reviewed Crime
1	Stopper reports, some rated, because there are
5	ratings on those, some rated as high as five on a
õ	scale of one to ten as to the helpfulness of the
7	information.

The reports wherein there were names of certain individuals that had been reported numerous times as a suspect, multiple occasions that same name resurfaced as being involved with the homicide of Brenda Navarre. Not Robert Wilson. Not his name. And he couldn't tell you why they weren't followed up on.

At this point, the State tells you they were followed up on. You don't know that. That has never been proven to you. No one has come in here and said these high rated Crime Stopper reports mentioning one individual on more than one occasion was ever followed up on. This detective here told you I don't know. I don't know, so --

MR. LOISEL: Objection, Your Honor.

- 1 He's misstating the evidence.
- 2 THE COURT: Jury will have to recall
- 3 that from its collective memory.
- 4 MR. WINGATE: Detective Beavers, and I
- 5 do know, testified that there were police reports
- from eyewitnesses. Eyewitnesses which he
- 7 reviewed when he got involved in this case, which
- 8 describe the person assaulting the woman as 6'1,
- 9 6'2. Now, he tried to qualify it and tell you
- oh, yeah, we got a report that it was somebody
- less than that, but that was when Janet came
- forward. So when you excise Janet, the reports
- that they're getting from eyewitnesses says 6'1
- 14 to 6'2 tall.
- 15 He told you there was a report stating
- 16 that a witness recognized the voice of the
- 17 assailant, the voice of the perpetrator, and she
- 18 gave a name to that voice. Wasn't Robert Wilson.
- 19 Did you hear this detective tell you that that
- 20 was followed up on? Was there DNA evidence taken
- from that evidence to compare with that of
- 22 Brenda Navarre? It wasn't done. It wasn't done.
- 23 The significance, because the Prosecutor has sat

- 1 here and told you DNA, that's not important.
- 2 That's not important. If it wasn't important --
- 3 if it was not important, you have DNA from 1993
- 4 to 2006. You then go out and take a blood sample
- from Mr. Robert Wilson and you test it. Why?
- 6 Because the significance is if I can tie him into
- 7 it, we can put him at the scene, we know that he
- 8 had some contact with her. That's the
- 9 significance of it. But since it didn't show
- that, the relevance of the DNA is insignificant.
- 11 It is insignificant. All right. Insignificant
- for him. Very important for us, because it shows
- that Mr. Wilson had had no contact with her.
- 14 Again, DNA, physical evidence, nothing to show
- 15 that Mr. Wilson was involved in this incident.
- More importantly -- this is what I think
- is very important because Detective Beavers
- 18 testified from that witness stand, and he told
- 19 you from 1993 until 2003 when Janet talked to her
- 20 police friends, he had reviewed Crime Stopper
- 21 reports. Now, keep this in mind, he had reviewed
- 22 Crime Stopper reports. I don't want you to talk
- about 2003. I'll just maybe take it up to 2002.

1	From 1993 until 2002, he had reviewed Crime
2	Stopper reports indicating that the girl,
3	Brenda Navarre, was murdered because she was
4	snitching. Detective Beavers under oath told you
5	the reports he reviewed said that she was
6	snitching and the individual, not Robert Wilson

7 named in the report, dropped a brick or rock on

8 her head.

Now, it wasn't Robert Wilson. What's the significance of that? The word in the street from 1993 until 2003 was that Brenda Navarre had been murdered because she was snitching and a brick or a rock had been dropped on her head.

This is the word out in the community. I stopped at 2002 because that next year that's when Janet came forward and Janet testified. She came forward because she couldn't live with it any longer and Mike Loisel asked her, With what. And she said Knowledge of a murder.

Now, in 2003, Janet told you that she can't live with it anymore. The burden has become too heavy to bear. Now, did she cooperate with the police? She said I heard, and I'm going

- to use this statement, Prosecutor -- and of

 course it's already -- it is not true, but keep

 this in mind: "I use some of the information I

 heard in the streets and made up some details to

 convince Lou" -- that's Vasquez -- "I was telling

 the truth."
- Didn't sign it but when asked on the witness stand, Did you tell me that. Yes, I did. So, my statement that -- notes that I took and memorialized in a typewritten statement, she can go through it and say some is true, some is false, some is true, some is false. The entire statement is not truly false, nor is it completely true.

But, ladies and gentlemen, she can vacillate and manipulate facts from the witness stand. How do you know she's telling the truth? And we're going to get to what she actually said because that's significant, too, but how do you know if anything she said was the truth?

As it relates to that -- and I'm going to back up. As it relates to that statement, did she cooperate with the police when this burden

- 1 had become so great that she couldn't bear it?
- 2 This is what she said. I had heard about the
- 3 murder of Brenda Navarre on the streets, I made
- 4 up some things so that the police would believe
- 5 me.
- All right. If that's not true, let's go
- on the assumption that that's just a lie. Okay?
- 8 Let's just talk about the burden being so great
- 9 that she couldn't bear it. Was there an
- opportunity in 2003 to go before the grand jury?
- 11 Yes. In 2003 did she go to the grand jury? No.
- MR. LOISEL: Objection, Your Honor.
- 13 Assumes facts not in evidence.
- 14 THE COURT: It's in evidence.
- MR. WINGATE: That's right.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- 17 MR. LOISEL: Judge, there is no
- 18 evidence as to whether or not she did or did not
- 19 go to the grand jury in 2003.
- 20 THE COURT: I believe -- my
- 21 recollection --
- MR. WINGATE: Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: It is in the record, so

- 1 it is overruled.
- 2 MR. WINGATE: Was there an opportunity
- 3 to go before the grand jury in 2003? Yes. Did
- 4 she go? No. What did she say? No. I played up
- 5 the fear factor with the police, telling them I
- 6 was afraid. I played up the fear factor with the
- 7 police, telling them I was afraid. The
- 8 Prosecutor stood here and told you, Oh, you see
- 9 this she wrote that letter because she was
- 10 afraid. What did she tell you? It couldn't have
- been because of Robert Wilson, because she told
- 12 you from his arrest on this offense up until
- August the 11th of 2008, she had been going over
- 14 to the jail every week to visit him. That's what
- she said. But the Prosecutor wants you to
- 16 believe that Oh, she's afraid. Of what? I
- 17 played up the fear factor with the police,
- 18 telling them I was afraid.
- 19 Did she go in 2004 to relieve herself of
- 20 this heavy burden because the weight was so
- 21 great? Did she go to give any time -- any type
- of comfort to a hurting mother? No. In 2005,
- 23 did she qo? No. Because what the Prosecutor

- wants you to believe, she came forward because 1 2 the burden is so great, 2003. Yet, in 2004, 2005, nothing. 2006 with a financially stressed 3 4 failing business, Brewski's, and the promise of 5 50 crisp \$100 dollar bills, Janet Wilson appeared 6 before the grand jury. I couldn't live with it 7 anymore. Look at the letter she wrote, and I'm not going to wave it in front of you. All I'm 8 9 going to say is look at the letter she wrote. 10 You'll have it in evidence. November 13th, 2006. 11 Read it. She calls it a lie. Look at it. 12 Crissy, the woman that's mentioned in the letter. 13 Prosecutor asked the question, Did she exist? 14 Yeah, she exists. Was Robert involved with her, 15 Prosecutor's question; her answer, yes. Read the 16 letter.
- This woman who couldn't live with it

 anymore. In 2007 was arrested at her job after

 leaving this court because she refused to testify

 and cooperate with the State of Ohio. She was

 charged with a felony of the third degree up to

 five years in prison, but she wants you to

 believe I'm here today. I came forward in '03

- because I couldn't live with it anymore. Guess

 what? If you testify, we'll dismiss the charges

 against you. You can keep the \$5,000 dollars

 that you probably already spent, so she
- testified. She testified before you because she couldn't live with it anymore.

7 Now, this is what is crucial. Let's look at what she had to say. That's very crucial. 8 9 You all said that I will decide this case based 10 upon the evidence adduced from that witness 11 stand. And I remind you of this only because 12 what Janet Wilson testified to from that witness 13 stand did not beyond a reasonable doubt establish 14 that Robert Wilson did anything. Look at your 15 notes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, this is what's important. It wasn't what she didn't say, because common sense and reason is going to tell you that you all focused on, well, I'm concerned about what didn't she say. What does she know? Was she pre-seasoned saying stuff that she knew? What did she see? What did Robert tell her? These may be questions in your mind, but, ladies and gentlemen, although

- the State of Ohio may want you to utilize

 speculation, innuendo, hypothesis, assumptions,

 that's not the standard. It is proof beyond a

 reasonable doubt. That is not within your

 province. The evidence, if any, which convinces

 you beyond a reasonable doubt must come from that

 witness stand. You cannot supply the facts to
- fill in the gaps, the void that's in the State's case.

10 So, you may come to the conclusion that 11 Janet had more to say, and you may be right, or 12 you may be wrong, but it is not up to 13 speculation. It is what she said from that 14 witness stand. The Court will give you the 15 instructions that you have to follow, and that is 16 the law that is to be applied in this case, not 17 what you think, not what you feel. That is the law. Not speculation. You cannot -- you cannot 18 19 fill in that void with your facts. You cannot 20 supply the Prosecutor's case with facts that will be consistent with his theory. If he hadn't 21 22 given it to you, you cannot give it to him. 23 That's how our criminal justice system works.

- You wouldn't want it to work that way for you,

 and you cannot allow it to work that way against

 Robert Wilson. It is proof beyond a reasonable

 doubt.
- 5 So, what did Janet know, if anything? 6 You don't know. You must look at what she said 7 under oath from that witness stand, and none of you are mind readers. So, what did she say? 8 9 can take the truth and some false facts and I can 10 create a convincing statement. She said that. 11 Robert was indicted, but the Court will tell you 12 that indictment is nothing more than a piece of 13 paper which apprises him of the charge against 14 That's all that it is. His plea of not 15 quilty puts into issue each and every allegation 16 contained in that complaint, and that's where you come in as the trier of facts, from that witness 17 stand evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. 18 19 Because if you're going to say, well, he was 20 indicted, so she must have said something good, then that's no better than saying he's siting 21 22 here between Mr. Neil McElroy and myself and he 23 must be guilty and you all said, no, I will give

him his presumption of innocence. This is what
we're talking about, have you been convinced
beyond a reasonable doubt.

The only other percipient witness in this case is Mr. Alfonzo Davis and that's the son of Janet Wilson, and he told you, and keep in mind after the Prosecutor gave him a police report, asked him to look at it to see if that refreshed his recollection, he said, Oh, Robert told me that um, he killed Brenda Navarre, he dropped a rock -- a brick on her head. That's what he said.

But, ladies and gentlemen, keep in mind the word in the streets. But more importantly, it wasn't the word in the street that he was repeating, because when I took the paperwork and pointed out at the bottom of his report that Janet Wilson had told him that Robert had done this. Janet had said this. Janet. And after being shown that, Alfonzo told you, I don't know who told me. It could have been my mom. It could have been Robert. But, ladies and gentlemen, you have to be convinced beyond a

1	reasonable doubt that it was Robert because
2	that's what the State wants you to believe, that
3	it was Robert. Have you been convinced beyond a
4	reasonable doubt? I was smoking a lot of
5	marijuana back then. I don't know when the
6	statement was made. Prosecutor wants to lock you
7	into 1995. I don't know when the statement was
8	made. I love my mom and Robert is okay as a
9	person, but I don't care for him being with my
10	mom. 1995. I don't know. I don't know.

If you can't trust the messenger, then how can you trust the message? You're talking proof beyond a reasonable doubt. The only given in this trial that we've talked about from voir dire until this point is Mr. Robert Wilson's presumption of innocence. It remains with him throughout this entire trial. It goes with him back in that jury deliberation room and it cannot be removed unless and until you have been convinced beyond a reasonable doubt.

And what is proof beyond a reasonable doubt? Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such a nature that you, your ordinary person,

- you would be willing to rely and act upon it in
 the most important of your own affairs. Would
 you rely and act upon Janet Wilson? Would you
 rely and act upon Alfonzo Davis in the most
 important -- the most important of your own
 affairs?
- So, now, no physical evidence. DNA not
 there. Just the word of Janet Wilson to get an
 indictment, and the State has given you even less
 to try and convict this man.
- 11 Now, when I sit down and before I get 12 there, let me just say this because you all talked about it in voir dire. Robert Wilson 13 14 didn't testify. Oh, we would have loved to hear 15 what he had to say. Didn't testify. The Court 16 told you and the Court will again tell you that Robert has a constitutional right not to testify, 17 and if he chooses to exercise that right, you 18 19 cannot hold that against him in any form or 20 fashion. He doesn't have to prove his innocence to you. Burden never shifts. 21
 - So, when I sit down, the Prosecutor is going to tell you that Mr. Wingate said this,

Mr. Wingate said that, Mr. Wingate did this and did that. And after he's sufficiently torn down the things I've said to you, I want each and every one of you to go back and say based upon the evidence that you have presented in this trial, Mr. Prosecutor, where is the evidence that rises to the level of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Where is it? Now, and since I don't see it, I can't fill in the gaps. My gut, intuition, my gut instinct may tell me maybe he had something to do with it. My woman's intuition, I think he did it. Those are not the standards. It is proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, in voir dire, I asked each and every one of you by name, are you the type of juror that you would want seated on your case if you were over there in the position of Mr. Wilson and you all said that you were, and my final question to you is this: Would you let this type of evidence convict you? I think not. You may not like it, but we all said that a verdict of not guilty is just as consistent with justice as a verdict of guilty.

The State has not met its burden of

proof. You may not like it, but it is your duty

and your responsibility to return a verdict of

not guilty. And on behalf of Mr. McElroy and on

THE COURT: Mr. Loisel.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7 MR. LOISEL: Thank you, Judge.

behalf of Mr. Wilson, I thank you.

Mr. Wingate is correct, this is my opportunity not to tear down what he just told you, but this is my opportunity to respond to what he just told you. And I think the most important thing that you must remember, and it is what he began his argument with, this case is not about me, this case is not about Ronnie Wingate, it is not about this affidavit that I made. This case is about the evidence, whether the State of Ohio proved this evidence beyond a reasonable doubt is absolutely correct. This case is not about Ron Wingate, it is not about Mike Loisel. case is about the evidence that you heard from the stand. But what did he spend the majority of his argument doing? He's telling you this case is about Janet Wilson versus Robert Wilson.

- can't believe Janet Wilson versus Robert Wilson,

 so, therefore, you cannot believe beyond a

 reasonable doubt the evidence. Well, ladies and

 gentlemen, that's not the case. The case is the

 State of Ohio versus Robert Wilson. You heard

 the evidence. It's not one person versus
- 8 circumstances. Look at all of the evidence.

Robert Wilson, it's the totality of the

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Would I like Janet Wilson to come here and tell you A, B, C, D, E, F, G? Of course I would, and like all of that to point directly to Robert Wilson. She didn't do that. But the case isn't solely about Janet Wilson, is it? You have to remember, you've got Alfonzo Davis, you've got Bill Seymour -- Detective Bill Seymour, you've got Diane Barnett. I don't want to repeat what I told you in my first close, but that is the evidence you consider. You don't just consider Janet Wilson versus Robert Wilson. You don't just consider Alfonzo Davis versus Robert Wilson. You consider all of the evidence. And all of the evidence is convincing beyond a reasonable doubt of this man's quilt, not because I'm telling you,

- 1 because the evidence tells you.
- 2 A couple of things I want to correct --
- 3 well, I want you to consider. Mr. Wingate
- 4 indicated that the State of Ohio said the DNA is
- 5 insignificant. No, the State of Ohio did not
- 6 indicate that the DNA was insignificant. It is
- 7 very insignificant.
- 8 The State of Ohio submits to you that it
- 9 has no evidentiary value. The only thing that
- 10 the DNA tells you is that Robert Wilson's DNA is
- 11 not -- was not in Brenda Navarre's vagina.
- 12 That's where the swab came from. So, that is the
- only thing you can consider. That has nothing to
- do with whether or not he dropped a rock on her
- head.
- Mr. Wingate made mention of motive. He
- 17 talked about Bill Seymour indicating that
- 18 Brenda Navarre worked for him on other cases
- aside from the case where she snitched, where she
- 20 bought drugs from Robert Wilson, thus getting an
- indictment on drug charges. There are other
- 22 people. Well, you know what? That very well may
- 23 be true that there are other people that she was

- 1 involved with, but you have to consider
- 2 everything within the evidence, consider it in
- 3 conjunction with all of the other evidence.
- 4 Brenda Navarre, her drug dealing days with the
- 5 Toledo Police is one thing to consider, but
- 6 consider that with the fact that the Defendant
- 7 told Alfonzo Davis he had to do what he had to
- 8 do. You can't just put these on separate
- 9 islands. You've got to think of everything
- 10 together. So, she snitched on him. She calls
- 11 Detective Seymour days before she's killed,
- 12 crying, hysterical.
- The Defendant told Alfonzo Davis, yeah, I
- don't know if it is 1995. Alfonzo Davis didn't
- know whether it was 1995, but he was sure that
- the Defendant told him, I did what I had to do.
- 17 I killed the snitch bitch.
- So, put that all together with the fact
- 19 that who is the snitch bitch. Brenda Navarre.
- 20 Who got killed by a brick being dropped on her
- 21 head? Brenda Navarre. Who told Alfonzo Davis
- that? The Defendant did. Now, Mr. Wingate says
- 23 remember I showed him his statement and he said,

- 1 Well, yeah, my mom told me that, too.
- 2 MR. WINGATE: Objection.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3 MR. LOISEL: I may have learned it from 4 my mother, the fact about the brick. Mr. Wingate 5 didn't ask him, well, what about the other two 6 parts of that statement, because that doesn't 7 support his contention that Janet Wilson told him this stuff, because remember I showed him the 8 9 report? Does this refresh your recollection? 10 Yes. What did you -- what did the Defendant say 11 to you? I had to do what I had to do, kill the 12 snitch bitch, dropped a brick on her head. 13 That's the testimony that you received from 14 Alfonzo Davis. That is the testimony he said the

Defendant told him those three things.

Mr. Wingate then said, Well, what about this part that says you learned that from your mother? Well, I don't know if I learned that from my mother. I may have, I may not have. It doesn't matter. He talked to the Defendant and the Defendant told him what he did.

I could respond to each and every thing that the Defense Attorney said, but I want to

- focus on just a couple of other things. Well, a

 couple of things. I don't want to go into each

 and every item because we would be here for an

 hour and you would be tired of hearing me talk.
- 5 Maybe you already are.

- Crime Stopper money, he wants to make a big deal, Brewski's, financial trouble. Well, is this, as I said in my first close, a scheme that Janet Wilson concocted to extort money to get money from the Toledo Police Department to frame her husband? Do you think that's what she's doing, ladies and gentlemen? Do you think that's her motive, to frame him? Of course it is not. Crime Stopper money is given for information that leads to an arrest.
 - Well, Mr. Wingate wants you to believe that she testified for the State of Ohio because she got this money, therefore, yeah, of course she's going to say what the State wants her to say.
- Well, think about it. What if they gave them Crime Stopper money after they testified?

 Then the argument is, well, they are withholding

- that money until they testify in favor of the

 State of Ohio. It doesn't work that way. It's

 always before trial because it leads to the

 arrest of a suspect. Can't change that. She's

 not trying to frame her husband. She's telling

 you what she knows.
- 7 We already talked about the unsigned affidavit. Mr. Wingate mentioned it in his 8 9 close. Janet Wilson is capable of putting things 10 together to convince Toledo Police. But you know 11 what? She said that wasn't true. She refused to 12 sign that affidavit verifying its accuracy. You 13 can't put any stock in that affidavit. It was prepared by the Defendant's attorney. Of course 14 15 it is going to be favorable for his client. 16 about bias.

We look at the burden of proof. The

State of Ohio -- it is the State of Ohio's burden

to show you -- to prove to you beyond a

reasonable doubt each and every element of this

crime. We went over those. The only question in

this case is the identity. There's no question

Brenda Navarre was brutally murdered on December

- 1 1st of 1993 between the days of December 1st and
- 2 December 3rd. There's no question that this
- 3 happened in Ohio -- Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio.
- 4 I'm sorry.
- 5 The only question revolves around the
- 6 identity of Robert Wilson. If there were any
- 7 other viable suspects, don't you think Toledo
- 8 Police -- there were a number of detectives that
- 9 testified -- don't you think that Defense Counsel
- 10 would have asked those --
- 11 MR. WINGATE: I will object.
- 12 THE COURT: That's beyond the scope of
- 13 the evidence in this case.
- 14 MR. WINGATE: Your Honor, could we
- approach for a second -- just for a second?
- 16 (WHEREUPON THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION WAS
- 17 HELD AT THE BENCH.)
- 18 MR. WINGATE: Judge, in addition to
- being outside of the scope of the evidence, it is
- 20 also shifting the burden over to the side of the
- Defense and when he's asking, well, don't you
- think Mr. Wingate would have. That's shifting
- 23 the burden and I think it is improper.

1	THE COURT: Objection is noted.
2	(WHEREUPON THE PRECEDING DISCUSSION AT
3	THE BENCH CONCLUDED AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
4	WERE HELD.)
5	MR. LOISEL: No matter how many times I
6	tell you we've proven this case beyond a
7	reasonable doubt, it is not up to me. It is up
8	to you. The evidence tells you so. When you
9	look at all of the evidence together that the
10	State of Ohio presented to you, it points to no
11	one else but Robert Wilson. It doesn't point, as
12	I said, over there or over there. It points to
13	Robert Wilson and no one else.
14	As we said, Toledo Police, they did their
15	due diligence. They investigated back in 1993.
16	There were other people that were mentioned in
17	these tips, yes. You can't hide behind that
18	fact. You can't say oh, no, no, no, that didn't
19	happen. It happened. That's how police

23 And finally, with respect to beyond a

Janet Wilson came forward.

work the case. No viable suspect until

20

21

22

investigations work. They get information, they

reasonable doubt, the Defense Attorney only gives you part of that definition when he says you must rely upon it in the most important of your own affairs. Listen to the entire definition that the Judge gives you. That is your sworn duty to listen to those definitions and apply them to the facts in this case. And when you listen to that whole definition, you'll have no doubt in your mind that the Defendant is guilty.

The last thing I'm going to talk to you about, as I said I would, is the letter. Because although Mr. Wingate says it is not about the State of Ohio versus Ronnie Wingate, he wants you to believe that this case is all about Janet Wilson and, yes, she is important, but it is not, as I said, Janet Wilson versus Robert Wilson. It is the State of Ohio and all of the evidence, including Janet Wilson versus Robert Wilson.

Think about this letter. You'll have a chance to read it. This is the one time her story changed from 2003 to September 3rd of 2008 when she testified when she talked to you about

- 1 what she knew about the murder of Brenda Navarre.
- 2 She told you the truth. She admitted that she
- 3 lied. Does that mean you can't believe anything
- 4 else she says? Yeah, I lied. What if she got up
- on that stand and vehemently denied lying? You
- 6 have to judge her credibility for yourselves, and
- 7 I submit to you what did she tell you? What does
- 8 the evidence tell you? She told
- 9 Detective Forrester, Detective Ross,
- 10 Detective Beavers, Detective Vasquez time and
- 11 time again consistent information that led to the
- 12 arrest of the Defendant. She told you what she
- did that night, December 1st, 1993. She went to
- 14 the Defendant's sister's house and picked him up.
- Notice Defense Attorney didn't talk to you about
- 16 that, did he? Because that's very compelling
- 17 evidence. More importantly, consider when her
- 18 story changed. The only time it changed is when
- 19 she knew that the Defendant would find out.
- MR. WINGATE: I will object.
- THE COURT: This is argument.
- 22 MR. LOISEL: I submit to you that the
- 23 evidence suggests that the only time she changed

1	her story is when the Defendant found out that
2	Janet Wilson was the one that was cooperating
3	with police, that she was now the informant
4	against her husband. The evidence tells you that
5	the only time her story changed was when she
6	realized there was no turning back. She is now
7	in Brenda Navarre's shoes. We all know what
8	happened to Brenda Navarre. You all know what
9	happened when she snitched on Robert Wilson,

don't we?

Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence tells you beyond a reasonable doubt that Robert Wilson killed Brenda Navarre. You know it. The evidence tells you. The only time Janet Wilson's story ever changed is when she realized she was now Brenda Navarre. And as I said, we know what happened to Brenda Navarre. Find this man guilty, ladies and gentlemen. Hold him to this standard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt and find him guilty of murdering Brenda Navarre.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to recess for noon. Reconvene these proceedings at

1	1:15. For the last time, you are not to discuss
2	this case among yourselves, nor with anyone else.
3	Do not allow anyone to discuss this case in your
4	presence, neither form or express an opinion
5	about this case until the case is submitted to
6	you. We will be back here at 1:15 at which time
7	you will hear the charge and you will commence

(LUNCH RECESS TAKEN.)

with your deliberations. We'll be in recess.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, you have heard the evidence and the arguments of Counsel. It is now the responsibility of the Court to advise you of the law that is to be applicable to this case.

The Court and jury have separate functions. You decide the disputed facts, and the Court provides the instructions of law. It is your sworn duty to accept these instructions and to apply the law as is given to you by the Court. You are not permitted to change the law, nor to apply your own conception of what you think the law is or should be. A criminal case begins with the filing of an indictment. Let me

- just make a parenthetical here. I will be giving
 you a written copy of these instructions, so you
 can take notes if you wish, but you will have a
 copy of these instructions with you in the jury
 room.
- The criminal case begins with the filing of an indictment. The indictment informs the Defendant that he has been charged with an offense. The fact that it was filed may not be considered for any other purpose. The plea of not guilty is a denial of the charge and puts in issue all of the essential elements of each offense charged.

- Now, there's been a lot of discussion in this case about burden of proof. The Defendant is presumed innocent unless his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant must be acquitted unless the State produces evidence which convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of every essential element of the offense charged in the indictment.
 - What is reasonable doubt? Reasonable doubt is defined by statute and is as follows:

1	Reasonable doubt is present when, after you have
2	carefully considered and compared all of the
3	evidence, you cannot say you are firmly convinced
4	of the truth of the charge. Reasonable doubt is
5	a doubt based on reason and common sense.
6	Reasonable doubt is not mere possible doubt,
7	because everything relating to human affairs or
8	depending on moral evidence is open to some
9	possible or imaginary doubt. Proof beyond a
10	reasonable doubt is proof of such character that
11	an ordinary person would be willing to rely and
12	act upon it in the most important of his or her
13	own affairs. Is evidence?
14	Evidence is all of the testimony received

Evidence is all of the testimony received from the witnesses, any exhibits admitted during the trial, and any facts stipulated to by Counsel.

Evidence may be of two kinds, either direct or circumstantial, or both. Direct evidence is the testimony given by a witness who has seen or heard the facts to which he or she testifies. It includes exhibits admitted into evidence during the trial. Circumstantial

1	evidence is the proof of facts or circumstances
2	by direct evidence from which you may reasonably
3	infer other related or connected facts which
4	naturally and logically follow according to the
5	common experiences of mankind.

To infer, or to make an inference, is to reach a reasonable conclusion or deduction of fact which you may, but you are not required to make, from other facts which you find have been established by direct evidence. Whether an inference is made rests entirely with you.

Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence are of equal weight or probative value.

The evidence does not include the indictment, opening statements, or closing arguments of Counsel.

The opening statements and closing arguments of Counsel are designed to assist you and they are not evidence.

Statements or answers that were stricken by the Court or which you were instructed to disregard are not evidence, and must be treated as though you never heard them. You must not

speculate as to why the Court sustained the

objection to any question that was not answered

or what the answer to such a question might have

been. You must not draw any inference or

speculate on the truth of any suggestion included

in a question that was not answered.

Now, the Court made certain rulings

regarding hearsay testimony and various

exceptions allowed by the law of evidence. The

Court did instruct you that the phone call made

by Brenda Navarre to Detective Seymour, wherein

he testified she appeared to be under stress,

could not be considered for the truth of that

matter. I believe I was in error in that ruling,

and you can give it such weight as you deem

appropriate.

You are the sole judges of the facts, the credibility of the witnesses, and the weight of the evidence. To weigh the evidence, you must consider the credibility of the witnesses. To do this, you will apply the tests of truthfulness which you apply in your daily lives. These tests include the appearance of each witness upon the

witness stand; his or her manner of testifying; 1 2 the reasonableness of the testimony; the opportunity he or she had to see, hear and know 3 4 the things concerning which he or she testified; 5 his or her accuracy of memory; frankness or lack 6 of it; intelligence; interest and bias, if any; 7 together with all of the facts and circumstances 8 surrounding the testimony. Applying these tests, 9 you will assign to the testimony of each witness 10 such weight as you deem proper. You are not 11 required to believe the testimony of any witness 12 simply because he or she was under oath. You may 13 believe or disbelieve all or any part of the 14 testimony of any witness. It is your province to 15 determine what testimony is worthy of belief and 16 what testimony is not worthy of belief. 17

In this case, the Defendant did not testify. It is not necessary that the Defendant take the witness stand in his own defense. He has a constitutional right not to testify, if that is his decision. The fact that the Defendant in this case did not testify must not be considered for any purpose.

18

19

20

21

22

1	Generally a witness may not express an
2	opinion. However, one who follows a profession
3	or special line of work may express his or her
4	opinion because of his or her education,
5	knowledge and experience. Such testimony is
6	admitted for whatever assistance it may provide
7	to help you arrive at a just verdict.

However, as with other witnesses, upon you alone rests the duty of what weight should be given to the expert witness. In determining its weight, you must take or you may take into consideration her skill, experience, knowledge, veracity, familiarity with the facts of the case, and the usual rules for testing credibility and determining the weight to be given to the testimony.

Now, evidence was received in this case about the commission of certain crimes or wrongs or other acts other than the offense of which the Defendant is charged in this trial. That evidence was received only for a limited purpose. It was not received, and you may not consider it, to prove the character of the Defendant in order

1 to show that he acted in conformity or accordance
2 with that character.

If you find that the evidence of other crimes, or wrongs, or acts is true and that the Defendant committed them, you may consider that evidence only for the purpose of deciding whether it proves or provides proof on the issue of the Defendant's motive to commit the offense charged in the trial.

A number of exhibits and the testimony relating to them have been introduced. You may consider whether the exhibits are the same objects and in the same condition as originally taken by the police officers. And you will determine what weight, if any, the exhibits should receive in light of all of the evidence.

I will now discuss with you the issues in this case. The Defendant is charged with a crime of murder. Before you can find the Defendant guilty of this charge, you must find beyond a reasonable doubt that on or between the 1st day of December, 1993 through the 3rd day of December 1993, in Lucas County, Ohio, the Defendant

- 1 purposely caused the death of another, to wit:
- 2 Brenda Navarre.

There are several elements to the offense

of murder, each of which must be proved beyond a

reasonable doubt before you can find the

Defendant guilty of this offense and they are as

follows:

It must be proved beyond a reasonable

doubt that the Defendant one, purposely; two,

caused another's death, to wit: Brenda Navarre;

three, on or between the 1st day of December,

1993 through the 3rd day of December, 1993; and,

four, in Lucas County, Ohio.

The Court will now define the terms used in this charge, first with respect to purposely. Purpose is an essential element of the offense of murder. A person acts purposely when it is his or her specific intention to cause a certain result. It must be established in this case that at the time in question there was present in the mind of the Defendant a specific intention to cause the death of Brenda Navarre.

23 Purpose is a decision of the mind to do

an act with a conscious objective of producing a specific result. To do an act purposely is to do it intentionally and not accidentally. Purpose and intent mean the same thing. The purpose with which a person does an act is known only to himself or herself, unless he or she expresses it to others or indicates it by his or her conduct.

The purpose with which a person does an act is determined from the manner in which it is done, the means or weapons used and all of the other facts and circumstances in evidence.

Proof of motive is not required. The presence or absence of motive is one of the circumstances bearing upon purpose.

Two, causation. Cause is an essential element of the offense of murder. Cause is an act which directly produces the death of another and without which it would not have occurred.

Three, time. That the offense charged took place on or between the 1st day of December, 1993 through the 3rd day of December, 1993. It is not necessary that the State prove that the offense was committed on the exact day as charged

in the indictment. It is sufficient to prove
that the offense took place on a date reasonably
near the date claimed.

- Lastly, four, venue. That the offense charged took place in Lucas County, Ohio. The right of this Court to try the Defendant depends upon proof that the offense was committed in Lucas County.
- If you find that the State proved beyond
 a reasonable doubt all of the essential elements
 of the offense of murder, then your verdict must
 be guilty.
 - If you find that the State failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt any one of the essential elements of the offense of murder, then your verdict must be not guilty.
- You may not discuss or consider the

 subject of punishment. Your duty is confined to

 the determination of the guilt or innocence of

 the Defendant. In the event you find the

 Defendant guilty, then the duty to determine

 proper punishment is placed, by law, upon the

 Court.

1	You must not be influenced by any
2	consideration of sympathy or prejudice. It is
3	your duty to carefully weigh the evidence, to
4	decide all disputed questions of fact, to apply
5	the instructions of the Court to your findings,
6	and to render your verdict accordingly. In
7	fulfilling your duty, your efforts must be to
8	arrive at a just verdict. Consider all of the
9	evidence and make your findings with intelligence
10	and impartiality, and without bias, sympathy or
11	prejudice, so that the State of Ohio and the
12	Defendant will feel that their case was fairly
13	and impartially tried. If, during the course of
14	the trial, the Court has said or done anything
15	that you consider an indication of the Court's
16	view on the facts, you are instructed to
17	disregard it.
18	If during your deliberations you have a

If during your deliberations you have a question, the foreperson, and that is a person that you will select, will put that question in writing indicating specifically what is requested. Such question will then be delivered to the Bailiff who will deliver it to the Court

and the attorneys. After discussion, the answer
to that question will be placed on that note and
it will be returned to you.

Your initial conduct upon entering the jury room is a matter of importance. It is not wise to immediately express a determination to insist upon a certain verdict because if your sense of pride is aroused, you may later hesitate to change your position, even though you later decide that it is wrong.

Deliberate with the objective of reaching an agreement, if you can do so without disturbing your own individual judgment. Each of you must decide this case for yourself, but you should do so on after a discussion and consideration of the case with your fellow jurors. Do not hesitate to change an opinion if convinced that it is wrong, however, you should not surrender honest convictions in order to be congenial or to reach a verdict solely because of the opinions of the other jurors. After your verdict is announced and made in open court, you may discuss this case with anyone, but you are not required to do so.

Whether you decide to discuss this case 2 with Counsel or press or with anyone else after you are discharged is a matter of your own free choice. The Court will place in your possession the exhibits and the verdict form.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The foreman or forelady, whomever you select, will retain possession of these records and also any notes that you may have sent out and have returned to you, including the verdict and return them to the courtroom. The foreperson will see to it that your deliberations are orderly and that each juror has the opportunity to discuss the case and to cast his or her vote; otherwise, the authority of the foreperson is the same as that of any other juror. Until your verdict is announced in open court, you should not disclose to anyone else the status of your deliberations or the nature of your verdict.

After you retire, you should select a foreman or a forewoman and this person will then preside over your deliberations. And whenever all 12 -- I repeat, all 12 -- jurors agree upon a verdict, you will then sign the verdict form in

- ink and advise the Bailiff of your verdict by
 knocking on the door. You will then be returned
 to the courtroom at which time your verdict will
- 4 be read in open court.

Now, let me discuss the verdict form with you. You will have this form with you in the jury room. It does have a caption, State of Ohio versus Robert Wilson. Case number CR-2006-3339.

And it states as follows: We, the jury, empaneled in the above entitled action having

10 empaneled in the above entitled action having

11 been sworn well and truly to try and true

deliverance to make between the State of Ohio and

13 the Defendant Robert Wilson, and having been

14 fully advised of the premises for verdict, find

and say that we find the Defendant, and there's a

line there with an asterisk and the foreperson,

17 whomever you select, will insert either the word

guilty or the words not guilty as to all -- to

19 the verdict of all 12 of you of murder, in

20 purposely causing the death of Brenda Navarre, in

violation of Revised Code Section 2903.02(A) and

22 2929.02 as charged in the indictment.

23

And then there's a place for 12

- signatures. The foreperson will not insert the words either either quilty or not quilty until all 12 of you agree on what the verdict will be. Once all 12 of you agree on what that verdict will be, then you will each sign on one of the lines that are provided, and there is a place for 12 signatures, and I will also ask that you print your name after your signature so we can decipher
- You will be allowed to take breaks. If

 you need to take a break, a smoking break, that's

 fine. I think you can step out in the hall.

 Smoking areas are designated if you need to take

 a smoking break.

the signatures.

I should caution you that you should not be discussing the case except when all 12 jurors are in the jury room together; otherwise, you should not be discussing the case except when all 12 of you are together. The jury room is a non-smoking area. If some of you wish to smoke, you may separate to do so; however, you may not discuss the case until all 12 jurors are present. Thus if you separate briefly to smoke or if you

- decide to take a break for dinner, you must not
 discuss the case at that time, only in the jury
 noom. And you may do this without the permission
- 4 of the Court. Now, you basically run your own
- 5 show on that.
- We do have the alternate, Ms. Meyer. You
- 7 had been very attentive throughout these
- 8 proceedings, and I want to express to you my deep
- 9 appreciation for your willingness to participate
- 10 as an alternate. In my case about a third of the
- 11 cases the alternate has to take the place on the
- jury, but in this case it did not happen, so at
- this time you will be released and you are free
- 14 to go. You are certainly welcome to stay in the
- 15 courtroom and watch these proceedings if you
- 16 wish, but you are not required to stay. I would
- only ask that you not discuss this case with
- anyone until the verdict is announced in open
- 19 court.
- MS. MEYER: Okay.
- THE COURT: See Counsel up here.
- 22 (WHEREUPON THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION WAS
- HELD AT THE BENCH.)

```
1
                 THE COURT:
                                Other than objections we
         already dealt with, any other objections?
 2
                 MR. LOISEL:
                                The only thing that
 3
 4
         wasn't, and I didn't realize it before, is in
 5
         most instructions there's something that says
 6
         that the foreperson does not have any -- their
 7
         input isn't greater --
                                It is in there.
 8
                 THE COURT:
                 MR. LOISEL:
 9
                                I didn't hear it.
10
                 MR. WINGATE: He read it.
11
                 MR. LOISEL:
                                Okay.
12
                 MR. MCELROY:
                                It outlines the duties of
13
         the foreperson and says other than those things,
14
         it is the same as --
15
                                That's the only thing I
                 MR. LOISEL:
16
         had. Nothing else from the State.
17
                 THE COURT:
                                Okav.
                 MR. WINGATE: For us we would like to
18
19
         renew our motion to have that Exhibit B submitted
20
         to the jury and renew our motion for directed
         verdict, judgment of acquittal after all the
21
22
         evidence --
```

MR. MCELROY: And seal the record.

- 1 THE COURT: Do you want B to go in or
- 2 not? It is double hearsay.
- MR. WINGATE: We ask that it be marked
- and made a part of the Court's record.
- 5 MR. MCELROY: Seal the file.
- 6 MR. WINGATE: The only other thing was
- 7 did the Court want the outstanding or held in
- 8 abeyance motion to have the complete copy of the
- 9 Prosecutor's file made and made a part of the
- 10 record.
- 11 THE COURT: How much trouble is that
- going to be for you?
- 13 MR. LOISEL: Judge, I think, like I
- said, we already submitted our response to that
- motion, we would just ask that you deny it. I
- mean, I don't know how much trouble putting it
- together is. The question I just think for the
- 18 reasons set forth in the State's previous motion
- 19 that it is not entitled to have this file sealed.
- 20 MR. MCELROY: And I think, Judge, that
- 21 the argument isn't that trial counsel is entitled
- 22 to it. The argument is that the Defendant, in
- 23 order for him -- assuming that it may be an

```
1
        appeal --
 2
                THE COURT: Let's do it this way: If
        there is a verdict of guilty, I'll issue it at
 3
 4
        that time.
 5
                MR. LOISEL: Very well.
 6
                THE COURT: At this time then the jury
 7
        will retire and commence with their
        deliberations.
 8
          (JURY COMMENCED DELIBERATIONS AT 1:50 P.M. AND
 9
10
                 RETURNED A VERDICT AT 7:46 P.M.)
11
                THE COURT: Do we have a foreman or
12
        forewoman?
13
                MR. DROUILLARD: (Indicating.)
14
                THE COURT: Mr. Foreman, have you
15
        arrived at a verdict?
16
                MR. DROUILLARD: Yes, we have.
17
                THE COURT: Would you please hand the
18
        verdict form to the Bailiff. The Bailiff will
19
        publish the verdict. The Defendant will please
20
        rise.
                MS. JOHNSON: State of Ohio versus
21
        Robert Wilson, case number 2006-3339. "We, the
22
```

jury, empaneled in the above entitled action,

- 1 having been sworn, well and truly to try and true
- deliverance to make between the State of Ohio and
- 3 the Defendant Robert Wilson, and having been
- 4 fully advised of the premises for verdict find
- 5 and say that we find the Defendant guilty of
- 6 murder, causing the death of Brenda Navarre in
- 7 violation of RC 2903.02(A) and 2929.02 as charged
- 8 in the indictment." And signed by all 12 jurors.
- 9 THE COURT: Do either Counsel wish to
- 10 poll the jury?
- MR. WINGATE: We would, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: All right.
- 13 Adele Karwacki, is that your verdict?
- MS. KARWACKI: Yes.
- 15 THE COURT: Is it John Paul
- 16 Valiquette? Is this your verdict?
- MR. VALIOUETTE: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Joe Tomaselli, is this
- 19 your verdict?
- MR. TOMASELLI: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Casey Drouillard, is
- this your verdict?
- 23 MR. DROUILLARD: It is Drouillard.

THE COURT: Is this your verdict? 1 2 MR. DROUILLARD: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Abernathy, is this 3 4 your verdict? 5 MS. ABERNATHY: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Fults, is this your 6 7 verdict? MS. FULTS: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Hiser, is this your 9 10 verdict? 11 MR. HISER: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Vasquez, is this your 13 verdict? 14 MR. VASQUEZ: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Or Vasquez, this your verdict? Ms. Zajac, is this your verdict? 16 17 MS. ZAJAC: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Kinnebrew, is this 19 your verdict? 20 MR. KINNEBREW: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Montague, is this your 21 22 verdict?

MR. MONTAGUE: Yes.

23

- 1 THE COURT: And Ms. Shumer, is this
- 2 your verdict?
- MS. SHUMER: Yes, sir.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. The verdict is
- 5 signed by all 12 jurors, all 12 jurors
- 6 concurring. The Court accepts the verdict form,
- 7 orders it to be filed in the case.

8 Members of the jury, after you are

9 discharged, you may discuss your verdict and your

deliberations with others, but you are not

required to do so. You must decide for yourself

12 whether or not you will discuss such matters. In

any event, you should be careful of what you say.

14 You should make no statement verbal or in writing

unless you are sure that it is complete and

16 correct. You should make no statement that you

17 would not be willing to make under oath in the

presence of the Court, the other jurors,

19 litigants, and their respective counsel. If your

statements are to the press, remember that your

21 name and your statement may appear in print, in

22 which event you should be doubly cautious to be

23 sure that your statement is absolutely correct in

- 1 whole and in part because the press will only use
- 2 that part which the reporter considers
- 3 sufficiently newsworthy or challenging.
- 4 As jurors you have served as public
- officers of this court. When you are discharged
- 6 and I leave the bench, your services are
- 7 completed and you have all the rights to privacy
- 8 of private citizens. It is the lawyers duty to
- 9 protect those rights and your desire not to talk
- 10 about the case, if that is your decision. It is
- improper and unethical for a lawyer or anyone
- 12 else to harass, entice or exert improper
- influence on you for the purpose of getting you
- 14 to talk about the case. If you retire to the
- jury room for just one further moment, then I
- 16 will let you go.
- 17 (WHEREUPON THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION WAS
- 18 HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.)
- 19 THE COURT: I would propose to proceed
- 20 to sentencing in two weeks. Do you have the
- 21 time?
- MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: I'll let you go ahead and

- 1 give us a time right now.
- 2 MS. JOHNSON: We could do it on the
- 3 19th.
- 4 THE COURT: 19th of September? What
- 5 time? 9:00 o'clock on the 17th?
- 6 MS. JOHNSON: 19th.
- 7 MR. WINGATE: Your Honor, I'm not
- 8 available on the 19th, however, Mr. Wilson is
- 9 aware of the fact that there's only one sentence
- 10 that this Court can impose upon him, which would
- 11 be 15 to life, and he would rather proceed to
- 12 sentencing at this point.
- THE COURT: Well, I'm not prepared
- really to sentence at this point. I understand
- that if there is a PSI, I would like to review
- 16 that unless you're willing to proceed.
- 17 MR. LOISEL: Judge, as Mr. Wingate
- indicated, as statute would indicate back in 1993
- the punishment for this crime is 15 to life.
- 20 There is no -- this Court has no availability for
- 21 any other sentence. The State is willing to
- 22 proceed at this time if the Court is comfortable.
- 23 If the Court is not comfortable, we understand.

- 1 We would like to go forward at this time as well.
- THE COURT: All right. If both sides
- 3 agree to sentencing at this time, I'm going to
- 4 take two minutes and then we'll proceed to
- 5 sentencing. I will be granting your motion for
- 6 the sealing of the record, so you will need to
- 7 have a record available under seal. And take two
- 8 minutes and then we'll proceed to sentencing.
- 9 MR. WINGATE: Judge, if we -- I'm not
- 10 available on the 19th. If the Court wishes to do
- 11 the following week I would be, however, the
- 12 question is would the Court have Mr. Wilson sent
- 13 back to the Toledo Correctional Institution? He
- 14 would rather go back and wait the time to be
- brought back for sentencing.
- 16 THE COURT: All right.
- 17 MR. WINGATE: Then that's what we would
- 18 like to do.
- 19 THE COURT: We'll set time to three
- weeks then.
- MR. WINGATE: Then Mr. Wilson is ordered
- 22 returned to the Toledo Correctional Institute?
- THE COURT: Correct.

1	MR. WINGATE:	All right.
2	MR. LOISEL:	What specific date; do we
3	know?	
4	THE COURT:	I'll have to get you the
5	date. I have to check	my calendar as well. So
6	you can return the Def	endant.
7	(WHEREUPON THE	TRIAL OF THE CASE
8	CONCLUDED ON SEPTEMBER	5, 2008, AT 8:00 P.M.)
9	_	· - -
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	
6	
7	I, THE UNDERSIGNED, HEREBY CERTIFY
8	THAT THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
9	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE
10	TRIAL OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Stacey L. McDevitt, RPR
18	Official Court
19	Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	

\$	2	6'1 [2] - 63:8, 63:13	5:19, 84:18	amount [1] - 47:23
Ψ		6'2 [2] - 63:9, 63:14	additional [3] -	AND [6] - 20:5, 54:3,
			10:16, 12:23, 15:5	85:3, 108:9, 116:8
\$100 [2] - 37:16,	2 [6] - 2:5, 8:13,	7	address [4] - 11:10,	announced [3] -
69:5	11:14, 11:18, 14:19,		22:2, 22:3, 54:11	101:21, 102:16,
\$5,000 [5] - 28:11,	18:13	700 [1] - 1:21	addresses [1] - 17:7	105:18
28:19, 41:20, 42:2,	2002 [3] - 64:23,	7:46 [1] - 1.21	adduced [1] - 70:10	another's [1] - 97:10
70:3	65:1, 65:15	7.40[1] - 100.10	Adele [2] - 7:2,	answer [5] - 7:3,
	2003 [14] - 47:3,	8	109:13	33:1, 69:15, 93:3,
	47:5, 61:15, 62:2, — 64:10, 64:22, 65:11	O	adequate [1] - 28:2	101:1
	- 64:19, 64:23, 65:11, 65:20, 67:10, 67:11,		admission [1] - 26:6	answered [3] - 7:11,
'03 [1] - 69:23		8:00 [1] - 115:8	admit [3] - 39:7,	93:2, 93:6
	67:19, 68:3, 69:2, — 86:22		45:6, 46:9	answers [1] - 92:20
1	2004 [5] - 61:14,	9	admitted [8] - 26:7,	anticipating [2] -
-	61:15, 61:16, 68:19,		40:5, 52:9, 53:8, 87:2,	56:8, 58:22
4 0:4 44:45	69:2	0.00 (4) 112.5	91:15, 91:22, 95:6	anus [1] - 45:9
1 [2] - 2:4, 11:15	2005 [4] - 42:13,	9:00 [1] - 113:5	adopt [1] - 42:4	apologize [2] - 43:1,
10 [4] - 19:2, 28:12,	42:20, 68:22, 69:3	9:21 [1] - 3:3	advances [1] - 58:18	54:21
41:14, 54:15	2006 [6] - 36:9,	Α	advise [5] - 7:5,	appeal [1] - 108:1
100 [1] - 25:2	37:11, 38:15, 64:4,	Α	7:12, 21:11, 89:13,	appear [3] - 37:2,
11 [1] - 18:18	69:3, 69:10		103:1	41:10, 111:21
110 [1] - 22:16	2006-3339 [1] -	A.M [1] - 3:3	advised [4] - 17:21,	appearance [2] -
11th [1] - 68:13	108:22	Abernathy [1] -	20:19, 103:14, 109:4	8:21, 93:23 APPEARANCES [1]
12 [19] - 6:22, 6:23,	2007 [1] - 69:18	110:3	advocate [1] - 54:18	• •
11:16, 18:12, 19:1,	2008 [6] - 1:9, 3:1,	ABERNATHY [1] -	affairs [5] - 75:2,	- 1:13
28:12, 102:22,	45:13, 68:13, 86:22,	110:5	75:6, 86:4, 91:7, 91:13	appeared [4] - 5:17,
103:19, 103:23,	115:8	abeyance [1] - 107:8		23:21, 69:5, 93:12
104:3, 104:4, 104:7, 104:16, 104:19,	213-4477 [1] - 1:21	abide [1] - 54:20	affidavit [10] - 38:22, 40:6, 40:8, 40:14,	appearing [1] - 3:18
104:10, 104:19,	24 [1] - 45:16	ability [3] - 4:4,	41:1, 52:8, 77:15,	applicable [3] - 15:17, 20:21, 89:14
13th [1] - 69:10	25 [1] - 45:17	30:17, 46:16	83:8, 83:12, 83:13	applied [1] - 71:16
15 [7] - 28:11, 47:18,	2903.02(A [2] -	ABOVE [2] - 116:8,	aforementioned [1]	applies [1] - 9:19
48:2, 51:17, 51:23,	103:21, 109:7	116:10	- 1:9	applies [1] - 9.19 apply [6] - 86:6,
113:11, 113:19	2929.02 [2] - 103:22,	ABOVE-ENTITLED	afraid [5] - 68:6,	89:19, 89:21, 93:21,
17th [1] - 113:5	109:7	[1] - 116:10	68:7, 68:10, 68:16,	93:22, 100:4
19 [1] - 37:11	2945.42 [1] - 16:18	absence [1] - 98:13	68:18	Applying [1] - 94:8
1991 [1] - 59:23	2nd [2] - 24:18,	absolutely [3] -	ago [2] - 37:10, 39:1	appreciate [1] - 22:6
1993 [44] - 23:10,	50:21	45:18, 77:18, 111:23	agree [6] - 13:18,	appreciates [1] -
24:6, 24:11, 24:18,		accept [1] - 89:18	13:20, 102:22, 104:3,	22:6
29:21, 30:21, 34:19,	3	accepts [1] - 111:6	104:4, 114:3	appreciation [1] -
34:21, 35:4, 37:23,		accidentally [1] -	agreeable [1] - 17:23	105:9
38:13, 45:8, 46:7,	9 m 0.E 0.0 17.10	98:3	agreed [1] - 3:22	apprises [1] - 72:13
46:11, 46:14, 46:21,	3 [3] - 2:5, 3:2, 17:13	accordance [1] -	agreement [1] -	approach [2] - 52:2,
46:23, 47:2, 47:16,	3rd [11] - 34:19,	96:1	101:12	84:15
50:1, 50:14, 51:11,	35:3, 50:1, 50:14, 50:19, 51:11, 84:2,	according [1] - 92:4	ahead [1] - 112:23	appropriate [2] -
55:16, 59:19, 60:22,		accordingly [1] -	Alfonzo [26] - 22:17,	12:14, 93:16
61:5, 61:8, 61:14,	86:22, 96:22, 97:12, 98:21	100:6	25:5, 25:16, 25:17,	approved [1] - 42:6
61:16, 62:2, 64:3,	JU. <u>~</u> I	accuracy [2] - 83:12,	25:23, 26:8, 27:20,	area [1] - 104:20
64:19, 65:1, 65:11,	4	94:5	31:2, 31:8, 31:14,	areas [1] - 104:13
84:1, 85:15, 87:13,		acknowledged [1] -	35:16, 35:17, 37:14,	argue [1] - 4:10
96:22, 96:23, 97:12,		52:19	38:6, 38:11, 47:10,	arguing [2] - 33:18,
98:21, 113:18	4 [3] - 1:6, 2:6	acquittal [1] - 106:21	73:5, 73:20, 75:4,	34:6
1995 [7] - 25:12,	419 [1] - 1:21	acquitted [1] - 90:18	78:14, 78:20, 80:7,	argument [8] - 5:23,
31:14, 36:7, 74:7,	43624 [1] - 1:21	act [9] - 75:1, 75:3,	80:13, 80:14, 80:21,	33:23, 77:13, 77:22,
74:10, 80:14, 80:15	45 [1] - 51:3	75:4, 91:12, 98:1,	81:14	82:23, 87:21, 107:21,
19th [5] - 113:3,		98:2, 98:5, 98:9,	allegation [1] - 72:15	107:22
113:4, 113:6, 113:8,	5	98:17	Allison [1] - 6:6	ARGUMENT [3] -
114:10		acted [1] - 96:1	allow [3] - 51:19,	2:7, 2:8, 2:8
1:15 [2] - 89:1, 89:6	5 m _ 1.0 2.1 5.11	action [2] - 103:10,	72:2, 89:3	arguments [6] -
1:50 [1] - 108:9	5 [5] - 1:9, 3:1, 5:11, 5:15, 115:8	108:23	allowed [5] - 15:4,	12:16, 21:15, 51:18,
1st [17] - 24:6, 24:10,	5:15, 115:8	acts [4] - 32:8,	21:5, 57:17, 93:9,	89:11, 92:16, 92:18
34:18, 34:21, 35:3,	50 [3] - 37:16, 54:15,	95:19, 96:4, 97:17	104:10	arose [1] - 21:8
37:23, 38:13, 50:1,	69:5	Adams [1] - 1:21	alone [1] - 95:9	aroused [1] - 101:8
50:14, 51:10, 55:16,	<u> </u>	add [3] - 5:16, 5:17,	alternate [4] - 10:8,	arrest [6] - 42:4,
84:1, 87:13, 96:21,	6	10:16	105:6, 105:10, 105:11	42:9, 68:12, 82:15,
97:11, 98:20		adding [1] - 12:23	Amazingly [1] -	83:4, 87:12
	6 [2] - 3:18, 5:4	addition [3] - 5:8,	27:12	arrested [1] - 69:18

86:14, 89:2, 89:3,

arrive [2] - 95:7, 100:8 arrived [2] - 8:21, 108:15 arriving [2] - 11:21, 21:20 aside [2] - 15:16, 79.19 assailant [1] - 63:17 assault [3] - 44:7, 58:9, 58:14 assaulting [1] - 63:8 assessment [1] - 9:3 assign [1] - 94:9 assist [1] - 92:18 assistance [1] - 95:6 **Assistant** [1] - 1:14 assume [5] - 12:1, 12:19, 12:21, 13:21, 13:22 **Assumes** [1] - 67:13 assuming [3] -12:12, 48:7, 107:23 assumption [1] -67:7 assumptions [1] -71:2 asterisk [1] - 103:16 AT [8] - 52:5, 54:2, 84:17, 85:2, 105:23, 108:9, 108:10, 115:8 attained [1] - 15:7 attempted [1] -40:20 attention [3] - 4:6, 35:11, 56:23 attentive [1] - 105:7 attorney [6] - 22:2, 40:9, 40:13, 40:14, 83.14 Attorney [12] -38:20, 38:21, 39:2, 39:18. 39:22. 40:20. 43:4, 48:20, 55:22, 81:23, 86:1, 87:15 attorneys [5] - 3:12, 3:14, 21:16, 27:7, 101:1 August [3] - 30:22, 59:19, 68:13 authority [1] -102:14 autopsy [3] - 50:19, 58:10, 58:13 availability [1] -113:20 available [3] - 113:8, 114:7, 114:10 aware [5] - 6:2, 8:17, 9:22, 15:1, 113:9 В

> bad [2] - 57:13 bag [1] - 8:21 bags [4] - 24:13,

24:17, 38:1, 38:5 bailiff [1] - 7:23 Bailiff [9] - 6:20, 7:5, 7:10, 7:12, 7:14, 100:23, 103:1, 108:18 bar [2] - 39:8, 42:14 **BARBER** [1] - 1:5 Barber [1] - 1:10 barely [1] - 33:15 Barnett [3] - 43:8, 50:17, 78:16 Bart [1] - 28:16 based [6] - 39:5, 55:13, 56:7, 70:9, 76:4, 91:5 basis [3] - 53:19, 53:21, 55:21 BE [1] - 1:8 bear [3] - 65:22, 67:1, 67:9 bearing [1] - 98:14 Beavers [16] - 28:17, 41:22, 43:23, 44:5, 44:13, 45:6, 46:19, 46:22, 58:16, 61:10, 61:13, 62:1, 63:4, 64:17, 65:4, 87:10 became [1] - 59:22 become [2] - 65:22, 67:1 began [1] - 77:12 beginning [1] - 4:18 begins [2] - 89:23, 90:6 behalf [6] - 1:14, 1:17, 17:17, 54:21, 77:4. 77:5 behind [1] - 85:17 belabor [1] - 15:14 belief [2] - 94:15, **believes** [1] - 59:10 bells [1] - 25:20 bench [1] - 112:6 BENCH [5] - 52:6, 54:3, 84:17, 85:3, 105:23 **Benda** [2] - 57:6 **best** [1] - 46:15 better [2] - 53:16, 72:21 between [12] -30:20, 33:23, 49:23, 50:13, 51:10, 72:22, 84:1, 96:21, 97:11, 98:20, 103:12, 109:2 beyond [40] - 27:1, 45:4, 49:15, 49:21, 51:13, 55:9, 56:15, 57:9. 60:19. 70:13. 71:3, 71:6, 72:3,

72:18, 73:3, 73:23,

74:3, 74:13, 74:20,

74:21, 74:22, 76:7,

76:13, 77:17, 78:2,

78:22, 83:19, 84:12,

85:6, 85:23, 88:12,

88:19, 90:17, 90:19, 91:9, 96:20, 97:4, 97:8, 99:9, 99:14 bias [6] - 25:10, 94:6, 100:10 big [1] - 82:7 78:15, 79:17 29:17, 31:3, 31:4, 80:19, 81:12 64:4 42:6 box [1] - 8:15 104:14, 105:1 23:6, 23:8, 23:15, 26:5, 29:14, 30:3, 43:1, 43:3, 43:11, 65:3, 65:11, 67:3, 83:23, 87:1, 88:7, 103:20, 109:6

35:19, 56:7, 83:16, Bill [5] - 30:19, 31:4, bills [2] - 37:17, 69:5 bitch [9] - 26:19, 31:9, 36:16, 80:17, bitches [1] - 26:1 black [7] - 33:18, 33:19, 33:23, 34:5, 34:9, 60:14, 60:15 blank [1] - 40:18 **blood** [5] - 24:7, 33:13, 35:8, 45:10, blue [1] - 25:15 blunt [1] - 43:12 **Blunt** [1] - 24:19 board [2] - 41:18, **body** [1] - 58:18 bottom [1] - 73:17 bought [1] - 79:20 boulder [1] - 31:17 break [4] - 104:11, breaks [1] - 104:10 Brenda [63] - 5:16, 22:8, 22:12, 22:20, 23:19, 24:6, 24:18, 30:20, 31:4, 31:10, 31:20, 32:13, 33:6, 33:20, 33:22, 35:8, 37:20, 38:3, 42:18, 50:20, 51:12, 55:17, 58:2, 59:17, 59:20, 59:23, 60:10, 60:21, 61:6, 62:12, 63:22, 73:10, 79:11, 79:18, 80:4, 80:19, 80:21, 88:8, 88:13, 88:16, 88:17, 88:20, 93:11, 97:2, 97:10, 97:22, Brewski's [2] - 69:4, brick [13] - 26:2, 26:12, 26:15, 29:18,

31:14, 31:17, 36:17,

65:7, 65:13, 73:11,

80:20, 81:4, 81:12

bring [1] - 52:3

Bring [1] - 54:1

briefly [1] - 104:23

bringing [1] - 4:5 **brings** [3] - 8:8, 8:9, 37:19 brother [2] - 25:18, 25:19 brought [5] - 6:3, 6:7, 15:21, 56:23, 114.15 brutally [2] - 38:3, 83.23 **built** [1] - 55:3 burden [17] - 22:1, 56:12, 56:16, 57:8, 57:11, 60:18, 65:21, 66:23, 67:8, 68:20, 69:2, 77:1, 83:17, 83:18, 84:20, 84:23, 90:15 Burden [1] - 75:21 business [3] - 60:7, 60:12, 69:4 buys [2] - 23:9, 30:19 BY [3] - 2:7, 2:8, 2:8

C

calendar [1] - 115:5

candid [3] - 35:18,

candor [1] - 41:9

14:15, 19:1, 21:3,

cannot [15] - 8:17,

39:14, 41:12

56:6, 71:7, 71:18, 71:19, 71:22, 72:2, 74:18, 75:19, 78:2, 91:3 capable [1] - 83:9 caption [1] - 103:7 car [1] - 31:12 care [3] - 18:9, 32:5, careful [1] - 111:13 carefully [2] - 91:2, 100:3 case [97] - 9:20, 10:1, 10:13, 10:17, 12:14, 13:3, 14:17, 14:20, 15:18, 15:21, 18:21, 19:10, 20:12, 21:11, 21:17, 22:1, 22:7, 22:22, 23:2, 26:21, 32:1, 42:10, 44:2, 44:7, 46:17, 46:18, 47:6, 47:18, 48:1, 48:10, 48:22, 51:9, 51:19, 51:20, 51:21, 51:22, 54:23, 56:1, 56:10, 57:9, 57:20, 59:14, 61:20, 63:7, 70:9, 71:9, 71:16, 71:20, 73:5, 76:16, 77:13, 77:14, 77:15, 77:18, 77:20, 77:22, 78:4, 78:12, 79:19, 83:22, 84:13, 85:6, 85:21, 86:7,

89:5, 89:14, 89:22, 90:6, 90:15, 94:17, 94:22, 95:13, 95:17, 96:18, 97:19, 100:12, 101:14, 101:16, 101:22, 102:1, 102:13, 104:16, 104:18, 104:22, 105:2, 105:10, 105:12, 105:17, 108:22, 111:7, 112:10, 112:14 CASE [2] - 1:3, 115:7 Case [1] - 103:8 cases [5] - 47:22, 59:21, 60:4, 79:18, 105:11 Casey [1] - 109:21 cast [1] - 102:13 **causation** [2] - 50:5, 98:15 CAUSE [1] - 116:10 caused [7] - 9:11, 50:2, 50:7, 51:12, 97:1, 97:10 causing [2] - 103:20, 109:6 **caution** [1] - 104:15 cautious [1] - 111:22 center [1] - 41:3 certain [6] - 39:4, 62:9, 93:7, 95:18, 97:18, 101:7 certainly [4] - 14:20, 29:7, 53:18, 105:14 **CERTIFY** [1] - 116:7 cetera [2] - 4:4 Chad [1] - 58:4 chair [1] - 30:15 challenging [1] -112:3 chance [5] - 3:22, 12:5, 31:15, 46:4, 86:21 change [5] - 5:15, 83:4, 89:20, 101:9, 101:17 changed [9] - 31:7, 43:4, 43:5, 86:22, 87:18, 87:23, 88:5, 88:15 character [3] -91:10, 95:23, 96:2 Charge [1] - 39:16

charge [10] - 46:23, 47:19, 47:23, 55:10, 72:13, 89:7, 90:11, 91:4, 96:20, 97:15 CHARGE.....89 [1] - 2:9 charged [16] - 39:14, 39:16, 47:14, 55:4,

69:21, 90:8, 90:13,

90:21, 95:20, 96:8,

96:18, 98:19, 98:23,

99:5, 103:22, 109:7
charges [2] - 70:2,
79:21
check [1] - 115:5
chest [1] - 41:16
choice [1] - 102:4
choose [1] - 56:19
chooses [1] - 75:18
circumstances [6] -
43:17, 78:8, 92:1,
94:7, 98:11, 98:14
circumstantial [2] -
91:19, 92:12
Circumstantial [1] -
91:23
citizens [1] - 112:8
claimed [1] - 99:3
clear [4] - 18:10,
19:16, 24:15, 30:16
clearly [2] - 14:17,
58:13
client [5] - 27:8,
29:11, 40:10, 40:11,
83:15
client's [2] - 3:7,
29:5
close [6] - 11:6,
12:16, 58:12, 78:17,
82:8, 83:9
CLOSING [3] - 2:7,
2:8, 2:8
closing [3] - 52:19,
92:15, 92:17
clothes [2] - 44:2,
44:17
Code [2] - 16:17,
103:21
coincidence [4] - 24:14, 26:3, 38:2
cold [1] - 46:18
collected [2] - 45:9,
58:4
collective [3] - 41:7,
44:11, 63:3
comfort [1] - 68:22
comfortable [2] -
113:22, 113:23
commence [3] -
51:17, 89:7, 108:7
COMMENCED [1] -
108:9
comment [1] - 21:16
commission [1] -
95:18
commit [1] - 96:8
committed [3] -
96:5, 98:23, 99:7
common [4] - 61:2,
70:17, 91:5, 92:5
COMMON [1] - 1:1
Common [2] - 1:11,
1:20
community [1] -
65:14
compare [2] - 45:13,
compare [2] - 45:13, 63:21

45:14, 91:2

45:10

87:16

72:16

116.9

111:15

112:7

66:14

14:19

89:21

94:4

115:8

111:6

101:4

8:22. 10:2

20:11, 70:19

comparison [1] -

compelling [4] -

complaint [1] -

COMPLETE [1] -

completed [1] -

completely [1] -

completion [1] -

conception [1] -

concern [3] - 8:10,

concerned [2] -

concerning [1] -

CONCLUDED [4] -

20:5, 54:3, 85:3,

conclusion [2] -

concurring [1] -

conduct [2] - 98:7,

conducted [2] -

confidential [8] -

23:6, 32:18, 32:21,

33:2, 33:5, 59:18,

congenial [1] -

conjunction [4] -

3:23, 31:1, 43:18,

consider [35] -

11:20, 12:3, 13:4,

14:12, 15:4, 18:20,

32:10, 32:12, 37:9,

40:7, 43:20, 49:11,

56:4, 59:13, 78:18,

78:20, 78:21, 79:3,

79:13, 80:1, 80:2,

80:5, 80:6, 87:17,

93:20, 95:22, 96:5,

50:18, 59:6

60:1, 60:6

4:12

80:3

101:19

71:10, 92:7

30:22, 41:14, 43:13,

96:12, 99:17, 100:15 Consider [1] - 100:8 consideration [5] -47:9, 48:16, 95:12, 100:2, 101:15 considered [7] - 4:8, 5:18, 46:20, 90:10, 91:2, 93:13, 94:23 considering [2] -3:15, 18:16 complete [2] - 107:8, considers [1] -112:2 consistent [8] -24:21, 25:2, 43:3, 43:15, 46:5, 71:21, 76:22, 87:11 constitutional [2] -75:17, 94:20 contact [2] - 64:8, 64:13 contained [1] -72:16 contention [1] - 81:7 continuing [1] -47:11 control [1] - 10:20 concerns [1] - 61:7 conversation [7] -7:15, 7:18, 25:14, 26:17, 36:4, 36:6, 37:13 convict [3] - 27:1, 75:10, 76:20 concocted [1] - 82:9 convictions [1] -101:19 convince [3] - 57:9, 66:5, 83:10 condition [1] - 96:13 convinced [7] - 55:8, 73:2, 73:23, 74:3, 74:20, 91:3, 101:17 convinces [2] - 71:5, 90:19 convincing [2] -72:10, 78:22 cooperate [7] confined [1] - 99:18 22:13, 39:15, 39:19, 40:3, 65:22, 66:23, Confirms [1] - 33:13 conformity [1] - 96:1 69:20 cooperates [1] confusing [2] - 4:7, cooperating [1] cooperation [1] -23:12 copies [2] - 3:15, connected [1] - 92:3 conscious [1] - 98:1 **copy** [8] - 6:9, 6:16, 6:17, 8:3, 8:4, 90:2, 90:4, 107:8 cornerstone [1] -55:6 19:1, 23:1, 23:4, 30:9, cornerstones [1] -55:2 Coroner [1] - 50:17 coroner [1] - 24:19

correct [12] - 3:18,

12:20, 20:1, 77:8,

4:20, 5:15, 9:2, 12:19,

Correct [2] - 52:15, 114:23 Correctional [2] -114:13, 114:22 correctly [2] - 53:13, 58:23 corroborating [1] -23:10 cosmic [1] - 38:2 counsel [2] - 107:21, 111:19 Counsel [15] - 4:9, 4:15, 7:11, 12:16, 21:15, 21:23, 48:6, 84:9, 89:12, 91:17, 92:16, 92:18, 102:2, 105:21, 109:9 **COUNTY** [1] - 1:1 County [15] - 1:11, 1:14, 1:20, 34:14, 34:18, 35:2, 50:2, 50:21, 51:1, 51:11, 84:3, 96:23, 97:13, 99:5, 99:8 couple [5] - 38:5, 60:1, 79:2, 82:1, 82:2 course [15] - 7:8, 17:9, 21:8, 32:2, 39:19, 40:3, 44:15, 47:16, 54:8, 66:2, 78:10, 82:13, 82:18, 83:14, 100:13 court [8] - 20:17, 32:22, 69:19, 101:22, 102:16, 103:4, 105:19, 112:5 COURT [90] - 1:1, 3:6, 3:10, 4:17, 5:2, 5:5, 5:7, 5:13, 5:22, 6:5, 6:10, 6:19, 6:23, 7:17, 8:5, 8:8, 11:13, 11:17, 12:10, 12:17, 13:21, 17:1, 17:5, 17:23, 18:3, 18:5, 19:7, 19:19, 20:2, 20:7, 36:22, 37:5, 41:6, 44:10, 51:16, 52:11, 52:17, 52:22, 53:13, 53:21, 54:1, 54:5, 55:21, 56:2, 63:2, 67:14, 67:16, 67:20, 67:23, 77:6, 84:12, 85:1, 87:21, 88:22, 89:10, 105:21, 106:1, 106:8, 106:17, 107:1, 107:11, 108:2, 108:6, 108:11, 108:14, 108:17, 109:9, 109:12, 109:15, 109:18, 109:21, 110:1, 110:3, 110:6, 110:9, 110:12, 110:15, 110:18, 110:21, 111:1, 111:4, 112:19, 112:23, 113:4, 113:13, 114:2,

77:18, 79:2, 111:16,

111:23

114:16, 114:19, 114:23, 115:4 Court [63] - 1:11, 1:20, 3:20, 4:12, 7:3, 7:11, 9:2, 9:9, 9:20, 10:6, 10:7, 10:9, 11:21, 12:4, 12:22, 13:5, 14:14, 14:21, 14:23, 15:15, 16:3, 17:21, 18:20, 19:18, 20:11, 20:12, 20:15, 20:19, 21:13, 53:9, 53:12, 56:5, 71:14, 72:11, 75:15, 75:16, 89:13, 89:15, 89:17, 89:20, 92:21, 93:1, 93:7, 93:10, 97:14, 99:6, 99:23, 100:5, 100:14, 100:23, 102:4, 105:4, 107:7, 111:6, 111:18, 113:10, 113:20, 113:22, 113:23, 114:10, 114:12, 116:18 Court's [7] - 4:6, 11:14, 11:18, 17:12, 18:13, 100:15, 107:4 **COURT'S** [1] - 2:3 Courthouse [1] -1:20 COURTROOM [1] courtroom [4] -61:4, 102:10, 103:3, 105:15 CR-2006-3339 [1] -103:8 CR06-3339 [1] - 1:3 craft [1] - 17:6 Craig [2] - 33:9 crazy [1] - 48:20 create [1] - 72:10 credibility [8] -30:12, 36:23, 37:6, 61:21, 87:6, 93:18, 93:20, 95:14 crime [7] - 45:5, 47:1, 55:5, 59:11, 83:21, 96:18, 113:19 Crime [11] - 41:22, 42:8, 61:17, 62:3, 62:18, 64:20, 64:22, 65:1, 82:6, 82:14, 82:22 crimes [2] - 95:18, 96.4 criminal [4] - 55:2, 71:23, 89:22, 90:6 crisp [2] - 37:16, 69:5 Crissy [1] - 69:12 crossing [1] - 11:7 crucial [2] - 70:7, crying [4] - 23:22, 31:21, 60:22, 80:12

Culpert [3] - 44:6, 58:4 **curative** [1] - 19:5 D daily [1] - 93:22 dangerous [3] -33:5, 60:6, 60:11 date [5] - 35:2, 99:2, 99:3, 115:2, 115:5 Davis [20] - 22:17, 25:5, 26:8, 27:20, 31:2, 31:14, 35:17, 36:12, 36:14, 36:16, 37:14, 73:5, 75:4, 78:14, 78:20, 80:7, 80:13, 80:14, 80:21, 81:14 Davis's [2] - 38:6, 38:11 **Days** [1] - 31:22 days [7] - 23:14, 23:22, 23:23, 31:20, 80:4, 80:11, 84:1 dead [3] - 23:23, 31:22, 33:11 deal [3] - 10:23, 47:18, 82:7 dealer [1] - 60:16 dealing [1] - 80:4 dealt [1] - 106:2 death [14] - 24:4, 43:11, 50:2, 50:7, 50:11, 51:12, 58:1, 97:1, 97:10, 97:22, 98:17, 103:20, 109:6 deceased [1] - 30:20 December [29] -24:6, 24:10, 24:18, 34:18, 34:19, 34:21, 35:3, 37:23, 38:13, 50:1, 50:14, 50:19, 50:20, 51:10, 51:11, 55:16, 83:23, 84:1, 84:2, 87:13, 96:22, 97:11, 97:12, 98:20, decide [9] - 35:19, 70:9, 89:16, 100:4, 101:10, 101:14, 102:1, 105:1, 111:11 decided [1] - 28:13 deciding [1] - 96:6 decipher [1] - 104:8 decision [5] - 11:22, 56:6, 94:21, 97:23, 112:10 deduction [1] - 92:7 deem [2] - 93:15, 94:10 deep [1] - 105:8

defend [1] - 40:10

DEFENDANT [1] -

Defendant [49] -

1:17, 3:11, 23:16,

30:21, 31:1, 31:8, designate [1] - 11:17 38:9, 40:2, 45:1, designated [1] -49:22, 51:15, 80:6, 104:13 80:13, 80:16, 80:22, designed [1] - 92:18 81:10, 81:15, 81:20, desire [1] - 112:9 81:21, 86:9, 87:12, despite [2] - 56:22, 87:19, 88:1, 90:8, 57:12 90:15, 90:18, 94:17, destroy [1] - 8:3 94:18, 94:22, 95:20, destroyed [6] - 44:1, 95:23, 96:5, 96:18, 44:4, 44:13, 45:7, 96:19, 96:23, 97:6, 58:6, 58:15 97:9, 97:21, 99:6, details [1] - 66:4 99:20, 99:21, 100:12, detective [6] - 23:20, 103:13, 103:15, 28:15, 61:22, 61:23, 107:22, 108:19, 62:21, 63:19 109:3, 109:5, 115:6 **Detective** [39] - 5:16, Defendant's [10] -23:5, 23:8, 23:13, 17:16, 25:5, 29:1, 24:4, 30:19, 31:19, 38:4, 52:13, 52:15, 31:23, 32:7, 32:16, 52:23, 83:14, 87:14, 41:22, 42:11, 43:23, 44:5, 44:6, 44:13, defense [2] - 27:7, 45:6, 46:1, 46:19, 94.19 46:22, 58:4, 58:16, Defense [15] - 4:9, 59:15, 59:19, 60:20, 4:15, 5:11, 5:12, 16:3, 61:5, 61:10, 61:13, 19:22, 39:2, 44:21, 62:1, 63:4, 64:17, 48:6, 48:20, 81:23, 65:4, 78:15, 80:11, 84:9, 84:21, 86:1, 87:9, 87:10, 93:11 87:15 detectives [3] define [1] - 97:14 47:21, 60:3, 84:8 defined [1] - 90:23 determination [2] definition [3] - 86:2, 99:19, 101:6 86:4, 86:8 determine [3] definitions [3] -94:15, 96:15, 99:21 11:1, 50:4, 86:6 determined [1] degree [1] - 69:21 98:9 deliberate [2] determining [2] -18:23. 48:16 95:10, 95:15 Deliberate [1] develop [1] - 47:7 101:11 developed [1] - 46:9 deliberating [1] developments [1] -7:22 20.12 deliberation [2] -**Diane** [1] - 78:16 17:22, 74:18 dictate [1] - 14:15 **DELIBERATIONS** dictates [1] - 30:2 111 - 108:9 die [1] - 22:20 deliberations [11] died [6] - 24:18, 7:9, 20:20, 21:8, 31:10, 31:12, 35:14, 21:20, 89:8, 100:18, 43:11, 43:21 102:11, 102:18, different [2] - 17:8, 102:21, 108:8, 111:10 46:12 deliver [1] - 100:23 diligence [1] - 85:15 deliverance [2] dinner [1] - 105:1 103:12, 109:2 dire [9] - 10:16, delivered [1] -30:11, 54:14, 54:18, 100:22 56:18, 57:22, 74:15, demeanor [1] -75:13, 76:14 30:14 direct [7] - 23:2, denial [1] - 90:11 25:4, 29:23, 30:19,

denied [1] - 87:5

Department [2] -

depressed [1] - 25:1

Deputy [1] - 50:17

describe [1] - 63:8

deny [2] - 11:9,

107:15

46:14. 82:10

91:19, 92:2, 92:10

Direct [3] - 24:5,

directed [1] - 106:20

directly [3] - 51:5,

disadvantaged [1] -

91:19, 92:12

78:11, 98:17

59:3

disagree [1] - 16:22 disbelieve [1] -94:13 discharged [3] -102:3, 111:9, 112:5 13:2, 13:3, 13:12, 51:18, 51:20, 89:1, 89:3, 96:17, 99:17, 101:22, 102:1, 102:13, 103:5, 104:22, 105:2, discussed [10] -3:20, 9:10, 9:16, discussing [2] -104:16, 104:18 112:17 discussion [4] -101:15 11:7, 12:6, 70:2 dispositions [1] -14:16 100:4 disregard [2] -92:22, 100:17 disturbing [1] -101:12 **DNA**[19] - 44:17, 45:7, 45:8, 45:12, 45:14, 45:17, 45:18, 45:20, 45:21, 63:20, 64:1, 64:3, 64:10, 64:14, 75:7, 79:4, 79:6, 79:10 8:6, 53:16 dollar [2] - 37:17, 69.5 28:19, 41:21, 42:2, 70:3 **done** [7] - 40:16, 46:7, 63:22, 73:18, 98:10, 100:14 door [2] - 7:23, doubt [48] - 27:1, 45:5, 49:16, 49:21, 51:14, 55:9, 56:15, 57:10, 60:19, 70:13, 71:4, 71:6, 72:4, 72:18, 73:3, 74:1, 74:4, 74:13, 74:20, 74:22, 76:8, 76:13,

77:17, 78:3, 78:22,

disclose [1] - 102:17 discuss [20] - 12:12, 105:17, 111:9, 111:12 10:10, 12:21, 13:11, 14:3, 14:4, 27:3, 27:5 DISCUSSION [8] -3:4, 20:4, 52:4, 54:2, 84:16, 85:2, 105:22, 81:12 13:23, 90:14, 101:1, dismiss [4] - 10:15, disputed [2] - 89:16, document [3] - 6:21, dollars [5] - 28:11, 33:11, 35:9 double [1] - 107:2 doubly [1] - 111:22

83:20, 85:7, 86:1, 86:8, 88:12, 88:19, 90:17, 90:20, 90:22, 90:23, 91:1, 91:4, 91:5, 91:6, 91:9, 91:10, 96:21, 97:5, 97:9, 99:10, 99:14 down [7] - 15:8, 41:17, 54:12, 75:11, 75:22, 76:2, 77:9 **Dr** [1] - 43:8 draft [2] - 3:13, 17:2 drastic [1] - 14:13 draw [2] - 12:5, 93:4 **drop** [2] - 50:10, 50:12 dropped [16] -22:16, 23:15, 24:21, 25:2, 26:12, 31:15, 36:17, 39:17, 43:16, 43:21, 65:7, 65:13, 73:10, 79:14, 80:20, Dropped [2] - 26:2, 26:15 dropping [1] - 29:17 DROUILLARD [4] -108:13, 108:16, 109:23. 110:2 Drouillard [2] -109:21, 109:23 drug [6] - 47:9, 59:16, 59:21, 60:16, 79:21, 80:4 **Drug** [1] - 59:22 drugs [2] - 60:9, 79:20 due [2] - 15:7, 85:15 during [11] - 7:4, 7:8, 17:8, 21:8, 52:19, 57:17, 60:10, 91:15, 91:23, 100:13, 100:18 duties [1] - 106:12 duty [11] - 49:22, 51:14, 77:2, 86:5, 89:18, 95:9, 99:18, 99:21, 100:3, 100:7, 112:8 dying [3] - 24:7,

Е

education [1] - 95:4 efforts [1] - 100:7 eight [1] - 46:12 either [8] - 9:11, 19:23, 27:21, 91:18, 103:17, 104:2, 109:9 **elaborate** [1] - 28:5 element [6] - 49:16, 55:10, 83:20, 90:20, 97:16, 98:16 **elements** [9] - 34:15, 34:17, 34:23, 49:21, 49:23, 90:12, 97:3, 99:10, 99:15

elephant [1] - 16:9 eliminates [1] - 34:8 emotional [1] -41:18 empaneled [2] -103:10, 108:23 emphasize [1] -11.20 end [4] - 22:14, 38:6, 42:22, 60:21 ends [2] - 23:23, 31:22 entering [1] - 101:4 entice [1] - 112:12 entire [5] - 16:20, 24:23, 66:12, 74:17, entirely [1] - 92:11 entirety [1] - 49:11 ENTITLED [1] -116:10 entitled [4] - 103:10, 107:19, 107:21, 108:23 equal [1] - 92:13 error [1] - 93:14 especially [1] -30:23 Esquire [3] - 1:15, 1:17, 1:18 essential [6] - 90:12, 90:20, 97:16, 98:15, 99:10, 99:15 establish [1] - 70:13 established [3] -90:17, 92:10, 97:19 et [2] - 4:4 event [3] - 99:20, 111:13, 111:22 Evidence [2] - 91:14, evidence [115] -10:23. 14:20. 18:17. 21:9, 21:17, 21:23, 23:2, 23:18, 24:5, 24:15, 25:4, 26:22, 27:15, 27:16, 28:21, 29:13, 29:23, 30:2, 32:8, 38:9, 43:20, 44:1, 44:3, 44:14, 44:16, 44:23, 45:7, 45.9 47.3 47.5 47:13, 47:20, 47:23, 48:3, 48:15, 51:4, 57:20, 57:23, 58:2, 58:3, 58:5, 58:12, 58:14, 58:17, 58:19, 58:21, 59:7, 59:8, 59:9. 63:1. 63:20. 63:21, 64:14, 67:13, 67:14, 67:18, 69:10, 70:10, 71:5, 72:18, 75:7, 76:5, 76:6, 76:20, 77:16, 77:17, 77:20, 78:3, 78:6, 78:8, 78:18, 78:21, 78:22, 79:1, 80:2,

80:3, 84:13, 84:19, 85:8, 85:9, 86:18, 87:8, 87:17, 87:23, 88:4, 88:11, 88:14, 89:11, 90:19, 91:3, 91:8, 91:13, 91:20, 91:23, 92:1, 92:2, 92:10, 92:12, 92:14, 92:19, 92:22, 93:9, 93:19, 95:17, 95:21, 96:3, 96:6, 96:16, 98:11, 100:3, 100:9, 106:22 evidentiary [3] -20:14, 45:19, 79:9 evoked [1] - 41:18 exact [3] - 11:4, 31:13, 98:23 exactly [6] - 7:19, 26:5, 26:7, 32:12, 32:20, 42:9 example [1] - 22:11 exceeded [1] - 54:16 except [2] - 104:16, 104:18 **exceptions** [1] - 93:9 excise [1] - 63:12 exercise [1] - 75:18 exert [1] - 112:12 Exhibit [9] - 11:14, 11:18, 17:13, 18:13, 29:1, 45:16, 52:14, 52:16, 106:19 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 2:3 exhibits [6] - 91:15, 91:22, 96:10, 96:12, 96:15, 102:5 exist [1] - 69:13 exists [1] - 69:14 exonerate [2] - 45:1, 59:2 exonerates [1] -29.11 experience [2] -95:5, 95:12 experiences [1] -92:5

expert [1] - 95:10

explanation [1] -

express [7] - 19:9,

expresses [1] - 98:6

51:21. 89:4. 95:1.

95:3. 101:6. 105:8

expressing [1] -

extort [1] - 82:9

extraneous [1] -

eyewitness [2] -

eyewitnesses [2] -

Eyewitnesses [1] -

15:18

21:2

63:6

3:17, 4:2

63:6, 63:13

30:7, 43:14

explained [3] - 7:19,

face [1] - 24:23 faces [1] - 29:12 fact [23] - 6:2, 9:4, 15:8, 29:14, 29:15, 29:16, 30:1, 31:6, 31:8, 38:14, 55:8, 58:9, 59:20, 60:2, 80:6, 80:18, 81:4, 85:18, 90:9, 92:8, 94:21, 100:4, 113:9 factor [3] - 68:5, 68:6, 68:17 factors [1] - 4:5 facts [21] - 55:23, 61:20, 66:16, 67:13, 71:7, 71:19, 71:20, 72:9, 72:17, 86:7, 89:16, 91:16, 91:21, 92:1, 92:3, 92:9, 93:17, 94:7, 95:13, 98:11, 100:16 fade [1] - 56:21 faded [1] - 57:4 failed [1] - 99:13 failing [1] - 69:4 fair [2] - 13:18, 14:10 fairly [1] - 100:12 false [7] - 40:7, 52:21, 53:7, 66:12, 66:13, 72:9 familiarity [1] -95:13 **family** [1] - 55:18 far [1] - 59:6 fashion [1] - 75:20 fast [1] - 35:10 fault [2] - 27:22, 28:2 favor [1] - 83:1 favorable [1] - 83:15 favorite [1] - 25:9 fear [3] - 68:5, 68:6, 68:17 feasible [1] - 28:10 feelings [1] - 48:20 fellow [1] - 101:16 felonious [3] - 44:7, 58:9, 58:14 felony [2] - 40:4, female [5] - 33:11, 33:19, 33:20, 34:1, 34:6 few [1] - 17:1 fiber [1] - 58:3 fibers [1] - 58:19 file [4] - 37:19, 107:5, 107:9, 107:19 filed [2] - 90:9, 111:7 filing [2] - 89:23, 90:6 fill [3] - 71:8, 71:19,

final [3] - 21:15,

51:18, 76:18

17:19 114:11

112:17

FOLLOWING [8] -

3:4, 20:6, 52:4, 54:3,

84:16, 85:3, 105:22,

follows [4] - 90:23,

95:2, 97:7, 103:9

fooled [1] - 57:2

force [2] - 24:19,

FOREGOING [1] -

forelady [1] - 102:6

foreman [3] - 102:6,

Foreman [1] -

102:20, 108:11

foreperson [7] -

100:19, 102:10,

102:14, 103:16,

102:20, 108:12

104:1, 106:6, 106:13

forewoman [2] -

form [10] - 19:9,

51:20, 75:19, 89:4,

102:5, 102:23, 103:5,

103:6, 108:18, 111:6

formed [1] - 19:13

forth [1] - 107:18

31:6, 37:10, 46:19,

forward [13] - 19:5,

41:15, 87:9

Forrester [3] - 28:16,

108:14

F

Finally [4] - 25:4, 47:4, 61:16, 63:12, 41:13, 43:23, 49:14 65:16, 65:17, 69:1, finally [7] - 19:10, 69:23, 85:22, 114:1 Four [1] - 50:3 32:15, 40:5, 41:20, 47:13, 51:22, 85:23 four [3] - 39:22, 97:13, 99:4 financial [2] - 39:9, fourth [1] - 4:18 financially [1] - 69:3 fracture [2] - 24:22, findings [2] - 100:5, 100.9 fractures [1] - 43:15 fine [3] - 18:1, 54:22, frame [11] - 11:19, 104.12 15:5, 28:6, 28:11, finish [2] - 15:14, 28:19, 35:3, 60:10, 48:5 60:11, 82:10, 82:13, **FINN** [7] - 6:8, 6:11, 83:5 6:16, 6:22, 7:16, 7:19, frankness [1] - 94:5 frantic [4] - 23:14, 8:7 **firmly** [1] - 91:3 23:22, 31:20, 60:22 FIRST [1] - 2:7 fraught [1] - 10:17 first [9] - 6:20, 11:13, free [2] - 102:3, 22:2, 36:2, 55:5, 105:13 56:23, 78:17, 82:8, French [1] - 7:14 97:15 fresh [1] - 57:3 five [4] - 39:22, friends [1] - 64:20 59:21, 62:5, 69:22 front [3] - 29:3, 42:6, fluids [1] - 58:18 69:8 focus [4] - 29:19, fulfilling [1] - 100:7 29:22, 30:1, 82:1 full [1] - 53:14 focused [1] - 70:18 fully [2] - 103:14, follow [3] - 21:12, 109:4 71:15, 92:4 **Fults** [1] - 110:6 followed [4] - 62:14, **FULTS** [1] - 110:8 62:16, 62:20, 63:20 functions [1] - 89:16 following [3] - 1:11,

G

gaps [2] - 71:8, 76:9 gauge [1] - 46:2 Generally [1] - 95:1 generated [1] - 7:8 gentlemen [20] -20:8, 26:22, 28:3, 31:17, 35:21, 36:19, 39:3, 46:13, 48:3, 49:14, 50:8, 54:7, 66:15, 70:23, 73:13, 73:23, 78:4, 82:12, 88:11, 88:18 giant [1] - 33:12 Giant [1] - 24:8 gig [1] - 24:2 **girl** [1] - 65:2 gist [2] - 39:5, 39:6 given [21] - 6:21, 10:2, 11:21, 12:3, 13:5, 18:20, 18:22, 21:13, 44:23, 46:11, 49:4, 58:12, 61:21, 71:22, 74:13, 75:9, 82:14, 89:19, 91:20, 95:10, 95:15 grand [10] - 29:6, 38:16, 39:6, 40:13, 48:19, 67:10, 67:11, 67:19, 68:3, 69:6 grandson [1] - 42:15

Granted [1] - 34:1 granting [1] - 114:5 great [6] - 47:17, 58:19, 67:1, 67:8, 68:21, 69:2 greater [1] - 106:7 Guess [1] - 70:1 guess [2] - 25:7, 60:16 guilt [5] - 32:11, 45:2, 78:23, 90:16, 99:19 guilty [26] - 5:3, 27:9, 45:5, 49:19, 49:22, 51:15, 72:15, 72:23, 76:22, 76:23, 77:4, 86:9, 88:18, 88:20, 90:11, 96:20, 97:6, 99:12, 99:16, 99:21, 103:18, 104:2, 108:3, 109:5 gunshot [1] - 31:11 gut [2] - 76:9, 76:10 guy [3] - 25:8, 25:9, 25:10 Н

habit [1] - 56:8

HAD [1] - 116:9 hall [1] - 104:12 hand [1] - 108:17 hands [1] - 23:23 handwritten [2] -5:9, 17:6 Handwritten [1] harass [1] - 112:12 hard [2] - 22:21, 33:6 hate [2] - 18:11, 25:9 head [23] - 22:16, 23:15, 24:7, 24:20, 25:3, 26:2, 26:12, 26:15, 29:18, 31:15, 33:12, 36:17, 43:12, 43:16, 43:21, 50:10, 50:13, 65:8, 65:13, 73:11, 79:15, 80:21, 81:12 hear [8] - 30:12, 32:6, 33:15, 63:19, 75:14, 89:7, 94:3, 106:9 heard [27] - 22:17, 25:7, 25:21, 26:11, 30:6, 33:18, 33:23, 35:9, 36:18, 38:19, 38:20, 39:21, 40:19, 42:11, 44:5, 57:18, 59:19, 60:14, 65:23, 66:4, 67:2, 77:20, 78:5, 89:11, 91:21, 92:23 hearing [3] - 21:14, 54:8, 82:4 hearsay [5] - 53:8,

53:15, 53:22, 93:8,

107:2 heavy [2] - 65:22, 68:20 held [2] - 1:12, 107:7 HELD [8] - 3:5, 20:6, 52:5, 54:4, 84:17, 85:4, 105:23, 112:18 help [2] - 58:19, 95:7 helpfulness [1] -62:6 HEREBY [1] - 116:7 herself [2] - 68:19, 98:6 hesitate [2] - 101:8, 101:16 hidden [1] - 32:23 hide [1] - 85:17 hiding [1] - 38:10 high [2] - 62:5, 62:18 himself [1] - 98:6 hinge [1] - 24:22 Hiser [1] - 110:9 HISER [1] - 110:11 hit [1] - 31:11 Hold [2] - 54:21, 88:18 hold [1] - 75:19 home [2] - 18:15, 38:10 homicide [2] - 43:12, 62:12 honest [3] - 39:11, 41:10, 101:18 Honor [10] - 6:1, 13:8, 14:9, 17:15, 55:20, 62:23, 67:12, 84:14, 109:11, 113:7 Honorable [1] - 1:10 hour [1] - 82:4 house [6] - 8:22, 24:12, 37:23, 38:6, 38:11, 87:14 human [1] - 91:7

hundred [3] - 31:18, 50:9, 50:12

hurting [1] - 68:22 husband [10] - 8:18, 15:23, 16:7, 16:13, 28:12, 28:20, 39:7, 82:11, 83:5, 88:4

hypothesis [1] -

hysterical [1] - 80:12

ı

idea [1] - 35:6 Ideally [1] - 47:14 identifies [1] - 34:7 identify [1] - 4:11 identifying [2] - 4:3, identity [4] - 32:23, 33:3, 83:22, 84:6 ignores [2] - 29:13, 29:18

109:8

individual [6] - 55:4,

individuals [1] - 62:9

55:10, 58:10, 62:19,

65:6, 101:13

imaginary [1] - 91:9 immediately [1] -101:6 immunity [1] - 13:23 impartial [1] - 14:11 impartiality [1] -100:10 impartially [1] -100:13 implicating [1] -47:12 importance [4] -43:10, 46:1, 46:3, 101:5 important [20] -30:8, 32:15, 33:16, 33:21, 34:23, 48:9, 64:1, 64:2, 64:3, 64:12, 64:17, 70:16, 75:2, 75:5, 77:11, 86:3, 86:15, 91:12 importantly 131 -64:16, 73:14, 87:17 impose [1] - 113:10 improper [3] - 84:23, 112:11, 112:12 IN [2] - 1:1, 116:9 inasmuch [1] - 12:14 incarcerated [1] -29:2 incident [1] - 64:15 include [4] - 3:21, 17:19, 92:14, 93:23 included [3] - 5:11, 60.17 93.5 includes [1] - 91:22 including [2] -86:18, 102:9 inconsistent [1] -61:20 incriminate [2] -58:22, 59:1 indicate [7] - 6:20, 13:4, 57:23, 58:13, 61:4, 79:6, 113:18 indicated [5] - 3:20, 6:23, 14:17, 79:4, 113:18 indicates [1] - 98:7 Indicating [1] -108:13 indicating [6] - 9:19, 14:5, 38:16, 65:2, 79:17, 100:21 indication [1] -100:15 indicted [2] - 72:11, 72:20 indictment [11] -72:12, 75:9, 79:21, 89:23, 90:7, 90:21, 92:15, 99:1, 103:22,

infer [2] - 92:3, 92:6 inference [3] - 92:6, 92:11, 93:4 inferences [3] -23:17, 31:22 inflection [1] - 30:17 influence [1] -112.13 influenced [1] -100.1 informant [6] - 23:6, 33:5, 59:18, 60:1, 60:6, 88:3 informants [3] -32:18, 32:21, 33:3 Information [1] -37:10 information [11] -19:14, 23:1, 43:18, 47:2, 47:15, 49:3, 62:7, 66:3, 82:14, 85:20, 87:11 informs [1] - 90:7 initial [3] - 7:6, 27:23, 101:4 injuries [1] - 43:14 injury [2] - 24:8, 43:12 ink [1] - 103:1 innocence [10] -29:5, 32:11, 55:7, 57:11, 59:4, 59:5, 73:1, 74:16, 75:20, 99:19 innocent [2] - 55:5, 90:16 innuendo [1] - 71:2 input [1] - 106:7 inquire [2] - 10:9, 19:4 **inquiring** [2] - 9:5, 13:10 inquiry [2] - 9:15, 11:23 insert [2] - 103:17, 104:1 inside [1] - 16:9 insignificant [5] -64:10, 64:11, 79:5, 79:6. 79:7 Insignificant [1] -64:11 insist [1] - 101:7 instinct [1] - 76:10 Institute [1] - 114:22 Institution [1] -114:13 instruct [3] - 15:2, 23:16, 93:10 instructed [9] - 7:4, 7:12, 9:1, 9:6, 15:19, 32:9, 56:3, 92:21, 100:16 instructing [3] -17:19, 20:17, 21:4

Instruction [1] - 2:5

instruction [19] -

4:2, 5:9, 9:18, 10:13, 11:19, 12:5, 12:23, 15:6, 15:9, 15:10, 17:2, 17:7, 17:10, 18:9, 18:19, 18:22, 19:5, 20:10, 32:6 Instructions [1] - 2:6 instructions [15] -3:13, 4:1, 7:7, 10:16, 10:22, 14:22, 19:8, 21:12, 71:15, 89:17, 89:18, 90:2, 90:4, 100:5, 106:5 intelligence [2] -94:6, 100:9 intent [1] - 98:4 intention [2] - 97:18, 97:21 intentionally [1] -98:3 intents [1] - 54:11 interest [1] - 94:6 interpretation [1] -9.21 interrelated [1] -55:12 introduced [4] -8:23, 20:23, 21:10, 96:11 intuition~[2]-76:9,76:11 investigate [1] - 46:7 investigated [3] -46:15, 51:8, 85:15 investigation [2] -27:23, 47:10 investigations [1] -85:20 investigator [1] -58:20 involved [9] - 25:18, 59:21, 59:22, 60:4, 62:11, 63:7, 64:15, 69:14, 80:1 involving [1] - 59:16 irrelevance [1] -21:1 IS [1] - 116:8 islands [1] - 80:9 Issue [1] - 37:5 issue [8] - 10:11, 11:11, 16:8, 18:8, 72:15, 90:12, 96:7, 108:3 issues [2] - 10:17, 96:17 **IT** [1] - 1:8 item [1] - 82:3 itself [1] - 19:18 J

> jail [2] - 39:21, 68:14 James [1] - 1:10 Janet [57] - 22:21, 24:11, 27:18, 28:5, 28:10, 29:6, 29:19,

37:20, 38:4, 40:12, 40:15, 40:18, 42:12, 42:16, 43:2, 46:4, 46:18, 47:4, 47:11, 47:16, 48:8, 48:9, 48:18, 49:4, 50:20, 61:15, 62:3, 63:11, 63:12, 64:19, 65:16, 65:20, 69:5, 70:12, 71:11, 72:5, 73:6, 73:18, 73:19, 75:3, 75:8, 77:23, 78:1, 78:9, 78:13, 78:19, 81:7, 82:9, 83:9, 85:22, 86:15, 86:16, 86:18, 88:2, 88:14 job [4] - 28:2, 40:10, 46:14, 69:18 Joe [1] - 109:18 John [1] - 109:15 JOHNSON [5] - 8:14, 108:21, 112:22, 113:2, 113:6 **JUDGE** [1] - 1:5 Judge [24] - 3:19, 4:21, 5:20, 10:14, 12:18, 13:14, 14:23, 18:1, 18:7, 22:4, 23:16, 32:9, 50:3, 52:2, 52:7, 53:9, 67:17, 77:7, 84:18 86:5, 107:13, 107:20, 113:17, 114:9 judge [3] - 36:23, 41:8, 87:6 judges [3] - 27:10, 30:11, 93:17 judgment [2] -101:13, 106:21 juncture [3] - 9:13, 12:13, 14:3 June [3] - 30:21, 42:19, 59:18 juror [14] - 6:21, 10:5, 12:7, 13:11, 14:9, 14:11, 15:8, 15:18, 20:13, 20:16, 57:7, 76:15, 102:12, 102:15 **Juror** [4] - 8:13, 11:14, 14:18, 18:12 jurors [19] - 4:7, 9:10, 9:13, 10:18, 10:21, 12:15, 14:16, 18:18, 19:8, 101:16, 101:21, 102:22, 104:16, 104:22, 109:8, 111:5, 111:18, 112:4 Jury [3] - 2:6, 41:6, 63:2 jury [49] - 4:1, 7:7, 8:2, 8:15, 9:12, 11:19, 14:4, 16:20, 17:20, 20:8, 20:18, 20:23, 21:3, 29:6, 37:7, 38:16, 39:7, 40:13,

44:10, 48:19, 52:3,

53:4, 53:16, 54:1, 55:8, 56:2, 61:3, 67:10, 67:11, 67:19, 68:3, 69:6, 74:18, 89:10, 89:15, 90:4, 101:5, 103:7, 103:9, 104:17, 104:19, 105:2, 105:12, 106:20, 108:6, 108:23, 109:10, 111:8, 112:15 **JURY** [6] - 2:9, 3:5, 20:5, 52:5, 108:9, 112:18 justice [5] - 39:16, 39:23, 55:3, 71:23, 76:22 justification [1] -11:6

K Karwacki [5] - 7:2, 7:12, 11:11, 11:16, 109:13 KARWACKI[1] -109.14 keep [13] - 22:10, 32:22, 48:10, 55:13, 59:5, 59:8, 61:14, 62:2, 64:21, 66:2, 70:3, 73:6, 73:13 **Keep** [3] - 29:9, 35:8 30:18, 43:5 kept [1] - 34:2 Kill [1] - 31:3 kill [6] - 22:11, 25:23, 26:18, 32:13, 36:15, 81:11 killed [10] - 22:9, 29:17, 31:9, 31:20, 33:23, 73:10, 80:11, 80:17, 80:20, 88:13 killer [2] - 47:2, 47:14 Kind [1] - 36:7 kind [2] - 10:6, 25:6 kinds [1] - 91:18 Kinnebrew [1] -110:18 KINNEBREW [1] -110:20 knock [1] - 7:23 **knocking** [1] - 103:2 knowledge [5] -10:22, 16:21, 16:22, 95:5, 95:12 Knowledge [1] -65:19 **known** [2] - 14:6, 98:5 knows [4] - 16:6,

16:18, 40:11, 83:6

L

lack [1] - 94:5

69:11

86:6, 86:7

Listen [1] - 86:4

litter [1] - 57:5

literally [1] - 6:12

litigants [1] - 111:19

live [8] - 17:3, 41:16,

65:17, 65:21, 69:6,

69:17, 70:1, 70:6

lives [1] - 93:22

logical [2] - 23:17,

logically [1] - 92:4

LOISEL [43] - 3:7,

3:19, 4:21, 5:4, 5:6,

12:18, 13:14, 13:20,

14:23, 16:5, 16:16,

18:1, 18:7, 19:15,

19:20, 22:4, 36:23,

44:12, 52:13, 53:9,

55:20, 55:22, 62:23,

67:12, 67:17, 77:7,

106:3, 106:9, 106:11,

108:5, 113:17, 115:2

LOISEL.....22 [1] -

LOISEL......77 [1] -

look [15] - 3:23,

12:6, 27:4, 30:14,

31:16, 43:9, 45:16,

48:17, 50:6, 69:9,

Look [6] - 43:16,

43:17, 69:7, 69:11,

looked [1] - 34:4

Lou [1] - 66:5

love [3] - 35:20,

loved [2] - 58:23,

loves [1] - 35:18

111:8

looking [1] - 56:13

70:7, 72:6, 73:8,

83:17, 85:9

70:14, 78:8

58:17, 74:8

75:14

38:21, 39:2, 67:7,

Loisel [6] - 1:15,

3:18, 22:3, 65:18,

77:6, 77:19

81:3, 85:5, 87:22,

106:15, 107:13,

37:8. 41:1. 41:8.

5:8, 5:20, 10:14,

lock [1] - 74:6

31:21

Ladies [3] - 28:3, 50:8, 88:11 ladies [17] - 20:8, 26:22, 31:17, 35:21, 36:19, 39:3, 46:13, 48:2, 49:14, 54:6, 66:15, 70:23, 73:13, 73:22, 78:3, 82:12, 88:18 language [1] - 17:18 last [7] - 22:3, 48:5, 51:3, 54:9, 54:10, 86:10, 89:1 Lastly [1] - 99:4 laughed [1] - 25:6 law [34] - 8:20, 9:1, 9:19, 9:22, 10:22, 11:1, 11:20, 12:3, 13:5, 14:4, 14:14, 14:16, 15:3, 15:17, 15:19, 16:11, 16:17, 17:20, 17:21, 20:15, 20:18, 20:19, 20:21, 71:16, 71:18, 89:13, 89:17, 89:19, 89:20, 89:22, 93:9, 99:22 lawyer [1] - 112:11 lawyers [1] - 112:8 laying [2] - 24:8, layman's [1] - 50:6 lead [1] - 58:20 leads [3] - 42:8, 82:15, 83:3 learned [5] - 22:20, 32:14, 81:3, 81:17, 81:18 least [4] - 6:3, 9:15, 10:8, 13:10 leave [4] - 53:10, 53:12, 61:3, 112:6 leaves [1] - 51:2 leaving [1] - 69:19 led [1] - 87:11 left [1] - 57:7 legally [1] - 21:9 legitimate [1] - 46:16 less [2] - 63:11, 75:9 letter [35] - 10:3, 29:1, 29:4, 29:5, 29:8, 29:10, 29:20, 38:15, 38:19, 38:20, 38:22, 39:2, 39:3, 39:5, 40:11, 43:5, 43:7, 48:11, 48:13, 48:14, 48:17, 48:18, 48:19, 48:22, 49:1, 49:2, 49:12, 68:9, 69:7, 69:9, 69:12, 69:16, 86:11, 86:20 level [3] - 11:8, 57:14, 76:7 lie [6] - 25:11, 25:13,

LUCAS [1] - 1:1 lied [10] - 27:19, Lucas [15] - 1:11, 29:6, 35:21, 38:16, 1:14, 1:20, 34:14, 39:6, 39:13, 40:12, 34:17, 35:2, 50:2, 48:19, 87:3, 87:4 50:21, 50:23, 51:11, life [4] - 33:4, 38:12, 84:3, 96:23, 97:13, 113:11, 113:19 99:5, 99:8 light [2] - 47:5, 96:16 lunch [1] - 19:2 likelihood [1] - 9:6 **LUNCH** [1] - 89:9 limited [1] - 95:21 lying [2] - 27:21, line [5] - 4:18, 35:17, 87:5 40:17, 95:3, 103:16 lines [1] - 104:6 M listed [1] - 46:21 listen [3] - 61:11,

majority [1] - 77:21 male [5] - 33:18, 33:19, 34:1, 34:6, 60.15 males [1] - 34:9 Malone [2] - 34:10, 34:21 man [11] - 23:9, 25:14, 25:20, 27:2, 32:11, 37:22, 39:21, 40:4, 43:22, 75:10, 88:17 man's [1] - 78:23 manipulate [1] -66:16 mankind [1] - 92:5 Manner [1] - 43:11 manner [3] - 31:13, 94:1. 98:9 marijuana [1] - 74:5 marked [4] - 11:14, 17:12, 18:14, 107:3 match [1] - 45:17 matter [18] - 5:19, 8:23, 9:4, 9:10, 13:12, 19:13, 20:14, 27:8, 57:2, 57:3, 57:4, 57:7, 57:8. 81:20. 85:5. 93:14, 101:5, 102:3 matters [4] - 21:1, 21:2, 45:21, 111:12 McDevitt [2] - 1:20, 116:17 MCELROY [15] -4:23, 11:16, 19:17, 20:1, 52:7, 52:12, 52:15, 52:18, 52:23, 53:19, 53:23, 106:12, 106:23, 107:5, 107:20 McElroy [3] - 1:18, 72:22, 77:4 mean [9] - 4:5, 14:10, 15:4, 16:20, 36:14, 39:13, 87:3, 98:4, 107:16 means [3] - 14:13, 50:5, 98:10 meant [1] - 36:15 medical [1] - 35:11 meet [1] - 57:16 meeting [1] - 56:11 Members [2] - 89:10,

0

members [4] - 9:17,
10:11, 12:13, 13:13
memorable [1] -
38:7
memorialized [1] -
66:10
memories [5] -
41:19, 56:20, 57:3,
57:4, 57:13
memory [4] - 41:7,
44:11, 63:3, 94:5
mention [1] - 79:16
mentioned [7] -
21:5, 38:1, 52:18,
61:17, 69:12, 83:8,
85:16
mentioning [1] -
62:19
mere [2] - 26:3, 91:6
message [1] - 74:12
messenger [1] -
74:11
met [2] - 39:1, 77:1
MEYER [1] - 105:20
Meyer [1] - 105:6
Michael [1] - 1:15
middle [1] - 23:9
might [1] - 93:3
Mike [4] - 15:13,
16:15, 65:18, 77:19
mind [23] - 9:7, 11:7,
14:5, 18:15, 22:10,
29:9, 30:18, 43:6,
48:10, 55:14, 59:5,
40.10, 00.14, 00.0,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] -
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8, 74:10, 81:1
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8, 74:10, 81:1
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8, 74:10, 81:1 moment [3] - 22:23, 23:4, 112:15
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8, 74:10, 81:1 moment [3] - 22:23, 23:4, 112:15 money [13] - 37:16,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8, 74:10, 81:1 moment [3] - 22:23, 23:4, 112:15 money [13] - 37:16, 39:9, 39:11, 39:12,
59:9, 61:15, 62:2, 64:21, 66:3, 70:23, 72:8, 73:6, 73:13, 86:9, 97:21, 97:23 mindset [1] - 11:4 minimum [2] - 9:17, 10:6 minor [2] - 5:8, 5:15 minute [2] - 12:4, 51:17 minutes [5] - 17:2, 51:3, 51:23, 114:4, 114:8 missing [2] - 15:13, 15:15 misstating [1] - 63:1 mistake [1] - 58:8 mistrial [1] - 14:13 misunderstood [1] - 7:5 mom [6] - 25:10, 35:18, 73:21, 74:8, 74:10, 81:1 moment [3] - 22:23, 23:4, 112:15 money [13] - 37:16,

MONTAGUE [1] -

Montague [3] - 8:13,

110:23

14:18, 110:21 111:3, 112:22, 113:2, moral [1] - 91:8 113:6 morning [3] - 20:8, multiple [1] - 62:10 murder [16] - 27:2, 22:5, 54:6 41:18, 42:17, 43:2, most [8] - 43:13, 75:2, 75:4, 75:5, 49:17, 65:19, 67:3, 77:11, 86:3, 91:12, 87:1, 96:19, 97:4, 106:5 97:17, 98:16, 99:11, mother [6] - 26:11, 99:15, 103:19, 109:6 35:20, 68:22, 81:4, murdered [5] - 30:2, 81:18, 81:19 38:3, 65:3, 65:12, 83:23 motion [8] - 3:16, murdering [1] -28:15, 106:19, 88:20 106:20, 107:8, 107:15, 107:18, 114:5 must [33] - 14:6, 17:20, 20:18, 21:11, motive [9] - 32:12, 32:13, 59:10, 60:8, 29:10, 54:20, 55:8, 79:16, 82:13, 96:8, 55:13, 57:9, 71:6, 98:12, 98:13 72:6, 72:20, 72:23, Motive [1] - 60:8 77:12, 86:2, 90:18, 92:22, 92:23, 93:4, mouth [2] - 61:1, 93:19, 94:22, 95:11, 61:6 MR [115] - 2:7, 2:8, 96:20, 97:4, 97:8, 97:19, 99:11, 99:16, 2:8, 3:7, 3:9, 3:19, 100:1, 100:7, 101:13, 4:16, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 5:4, 5:6, 5:8, 5:20, 105:1, 111:11 5:21, 6:1, 6:15, 8:9, mysteriously [1] -31:6 8:15, 10:14, 11:16, 12:8, 12:11, 12:18, mystery [1] - 29:20 13:8, 13:14, 13:17, 13:20, 14:2, 14:23, 15:13, 16:5, 16:14, 16:16, 17:15, 18:1,

18:4, 18:7, 19:15,

19:17, 19:20, 20:1,

22:4, 36:21, 36:23,

37:4, 37:8, 40:22,

44:12, 52:2, 52:7,

52:12, 52:13, 52:15,

52:18, 52:23, 53:9,

53:19, 53:23, 54:6,

55:20, 55:22, 56:5,

62:23, 63:4, 67:12,

67:15, 67:17, 67:22,

84:11, 84:14, 84:18,

106:3, 106:9, 106:10,

106:23, 107:3, 107:5,

85:5, 87:20, 87:22,

106:11, 106:12,

106:15, 106:18,

107:6, 107:13,

107:20, 108:5,

108:13, 108:16,

109:11, 109:17,

109:20, 109:23,

110:2, 110:11,

110:14, 110:20,

110:23, 113:7,

113:17, 114:9,

115:1, 115:2

114:17, 114:21,

MS [18] - 6:8, 6:11,

6:16, 6:22, 7:16, 7:19,

110:5, 110:8, 110:17,

8:7, 8:14, 105:20,

108:21, 109:14,

68:2, 77:7, 81:2, 81:3,

41:1, 41:5, 41:8, 44:8,

Ν

name [9] - 46:20, 47:4, 61:18, 62:11, 62:13, 63:18, 76:15, 104:8, 111:21 named [1] - 65:7 names [3] - 46:12, 51:7, 62:8 naturally [1] - 92:4 nature [5] - 7:17, 19:11, 57:12, 74:23, 102.18 Navarre [59] - 5:16, 22:8, 22:12, 22:20, 23:6, 23:8, 23:19, 24:6, 24:18, 26:5, 29:14, 30:3, 30:20, 31:4, 31:10, 32:13, 33:6, 33:20, 33:22, 35:8, 37:20, 38:3, 42:18, 43:1, 43:3, 43:11, 50:20, 51:12, 55:17, 58:2, 59:17, 59:20, 59:23, 60:10, 60:21, 61:6, 62:12, 63:22, 65:3, 65:11, 67:3, 73:10, 79:18, 80:4, 80:19, 80:21, 83:23, 87:1, 88:8, 88:13, 88:16, 88:17, 88:20, 93:11, 97:2, 97:10, 97:22, 103:20, 109:6 Navarre's [3] -23:15, 79:11, 88:7

near [2] - 60:21, 99:3

necessary [4] -17:22, 20:20, 94:18, 98:22 necessity [2] -13:15, 13:18 need [11] - 11:10, 18:11, 20:10, 25:17, 27:3, 27:4, 37:9, 39:11, 104:11, 104:13, 114:6 needed [3] - 39:9, 39:12, 47:13 $\textbf{Neil}\, {\tiny [2]} - 1{:}18,\, 72{:}22$ never [8] - 10:12, 38:7, 43:4, 47:4, 56:17, 62:17, 75:21, 92:23 newsworthy [1] -112:3 next [6] - 24:8, 33:12, 35:9, 35:17, 47:18, 65:15 nice [1] - 47:15 Niemiec [4] - 34:10, 34:22, 35:13 night [11] - 24:13, 24:15, 24:16, 26:8, 33:14, 33:22, 38:2, 38:6, 38:10, 38:12, 87:13 nights [1] - 37:21 **NO** [1] - 1:3 non [1] - 104:20 non-smoking [1] -104:20 none [2] - 16:7, 72:7

noon [1] - 88:23 note [4] - 11:12, 11:13, 20:13, 101:2 Note [1] - 12:8 note-taking [1] noted [1] - 85:1 notes [11] - 7:6, 7:7, 11:15, 17:8, 18:14, 30:6, 57:17, 66:9, 70:15, 90:3, 102:8 nothing [10] - 14:8, 15:20, 51:8, 54:12, 55:23, 58:3, 64:14, 69:3, 72:12, 79:13 Nothing [1] - 106:16 Notice [2] - 40:17, notion [1] - 15:16 notions [1] - 15:2 November [3] -60:22, 61:5, 69:10 Number [6] - 8:13, 11:14, 11:16, 14:19, 17:13, 18:12 number [12] - 6:21, 7:1, 20:15, 21:2, 42:13, 42:21, 46:4, 46:11, 84:8, 96:10, 103:8, 108:22 numerous [1] -

11:12

87.15

o'clock [1] - 113:5 oath [4] - 65:4, 72:7, 94:12, 111:17 object [7] - 36:21, 37:4, 40:22, 44:8, 54:20, 84:11, 87:20 objected [1] - 44:9 objection [8] - 4:14, 5:10, 5:20, 5:21, 12:8, 17:16, 18:2, 93:2 Objection [6] - 41:5, 55:20, 62:23, 67:12, 81:2. 85:1 objections [4] -17:14, 54:15, 106:1, 106:2 **objective** [2] - 98:1, 101:11 objects [1] - 96:13 obstructing [2] -39:16, 39:23 Obviously [1] -10:18 obviously [3] -15:10, 26:20, 41:18 occasion [1] - 62:20 occasions [5] -40:21, 42:14, 42:18, 46:5, 62:10 occur [1] - 14:15 occurred [2] - 55:16, 98:18 occurring [1] - 59:18 Odett [2] - 33:8, 33:15 **OF** [11] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:6, 3:5, 20:5, 52:5, 112:18, 115:7, 116:9, 116:10 offense [17] - 68:12, 90:9, 90:13, 90:21, 95:19, 96:8, 97:3, 97:6, 97:16, 98:16, 98:19, 98:23, 99:2, 99:4, 99:7, 99:11, 99:15 offer [2] - 42:1, 42:7 offered [3] - 37:16, 53:1, 53:20 office [1] - 41:2 Officer [3] - 34:10, 34:21, 34:22 officers [3] - 34:11, 96:14, 112:5 Official [2] - 1:20, 116:18 official [1] - 42:19

Ohio [46] - 1:21,

16:17, 17:20, 19:21,

20:15, 20:18, 34:14,

34:16, 34:18, 35:2,

39:15, 44:20, 46:10,

49:15, 50:2, 50:21,

50:23, 51:1, 51:11, 51:13, 56:11, 57:16, 57:21, 69:20, 71:1, 77:16, 78:5, 79:4, 79:5, 79:8, 82:17, 83:2, 83:18, 84:3, 85:10, 86:13, 86:17, 96:23, 97:13, 99:5, 100:11, 103:7, 103:12, 108:21, 109:2 OHIO [2] - 1:1, 1:2 Ohio's [1] - 83:18 **ON** [1] - 115:8 once [1] - 40:23 Once [1] - 104:4 One [2] - 35:12, 48:5 one [36] - 4:8, 9:7, 9:14, 11:23, 18:8, 32:15, 34:16, 35:1, 37:8, 38:11, 39:22, 46:16, 49:18, 51:5, 55:2, 61:12, 61:17, 62:6, 62:17, 62:19, 62:20, 76:4, 76:15, 78:6, 80:5, 85:11, 85:13, 86:21, 88:2, 95:2, 97:9, 98:13, 99:14, 104:5, 112:15, 113:9 open [7] - 7:4, 20:17, 91:8, 101:22, 102:16, 103:4, 105:18 opening [3] - 49:17, 92:15, 92:17 opinion [7] - 19:9, 19:13, 51:21, 89:4, 95:2, 95:4, 101:17 opinions [1] -101:20 opportunity [12] -21:16, 30:13, 37:12, 54:9, 54:10, 57:1, 67:10, 68:2, 77:8, 77:10, 94:3, 102:12 oral [2] - 5:23, 17:10 order [5] - 55:6, 57:10, 95:23, 101:19, ordered [1] - 114:21 orderly [1] - 102:12 orders [1] - 111:7 ordinary [2] - 74:23, 91:11 original [1] - 6:14 originally [1] - 96:13 originated [1] - 29:9 otherwise [2] -102:14, 104:17 outlines [1] - 106:12 **OUTSIDE** [4] - 3:5, 20:5, 52:5, 112:18 outside [3] - 10:21, 53:8, 84:19 outstanding [1] -107:7 Overruled [1] -67:16

overruled [1] - 68:1 own [10] - 9:21, 75:2, 75:5, 86:3, 89:21, 91:13, 94:19, 101:13, 102:3, 105:4 Ρ P.M [3] - 108:9, 108:10, 115:8 package [1] - 21:19 Page [4] - 3:18, 5:4, 5:11, 5:15 panel [5] - 9:17, 10:11, 12:13, 13:13, 14.9 paper [1] - 72:13 paperwork [3] -58:5, 58:9, 73:16 paragraph [1] -17:18 pardon [1] - 32:19 parenthetical [1] part [12] - 18:19, 23:9, 43:13, 48:15, 49:1, 81:17, 86:2, 94:13, 107:4, 107:9, 112:1, 112:2 partially [2] - 40:6 participate [1] -105:9 particular [1] - 44:2 parties [1] - 12:2 parts [3] - 26:17, 39:4, 81:6 party [1] - 27:12 passage [1] - 56:21 passed [4] - 7:10, 50:20, 61:1, 61:5 patience [1] - 22:6 **Paul** [1] - 109:15 Paxton [3] - 34:13, 34:20, 50:22 penalty [1] - 39:23 pending [2] - 9:8, 10:5 people [5] - 12:21, 16:18, 79:22, 79:23, 85:16 percipient [2] -59:13. 73:4 performed [1] -58.11 perhaps [1] - 14:12 permission [1] -105:3 permitted [1] - 89:20 perpetrated [1] perpetrator [1] person [13] - 28:1, 42:2, 42:14, 63:8, 74.9 74.23 78.6

91:11, 97:17, 98:5,

98:8, 100:19, 102:20 petite [1] - 33:11 Phone [1] - 5:15 phone [4] - 23:14, 31:21, 42:14, 93:10 phrased [2] - 8:16, 8:17 phraseology [1] -61:12 physical [9] - 44:3, 44:22, 57:23, 58:17, 58:21, 59:8, 59:9, 64:14, 75:7 pick [4] - 24:11, 50:9, 56:19, 57:5 picked [3] - 24:15, 24:16, 87:14 picking [1] - 37:22 picks [1] - 38:5 pictures [3] - 31:16, 43:9. 58:11 piece [1] - 72:12 **pieces** [1] - 6:13 **pillars** [1] - 55:12 pink [1] - 16:9 place [10] - 34:17, 36:2, 50:15, 98:20, 99:2, 99:5, 102:4, 103:23, 104:6, 105:11 placed [3] - 9:12, 99:22, 101:2 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 1:3 Plaintiff [1] - 1:14 planning [1] - 12:22 played [3] - 68:4, 68:6, 68:17 plea [2] - 72:14, 90:10 PLEAS [1] - 1:1 Pleas [2] - 1:11, 1:20 pleasant [1] - 36:2 point [23] - 4:11, 13:7, 14:1, 14:11, 15:14, 18:18, 27:8, 27:10, 27:11, 30:5, 42:16, 44:11, 49:9, 53:5, 53:12, 53:17, 62:15, 74:15, 78:11, 85:11, 113:12, 113:14 pointed [2] - 43:19, 73:17 points [10] - 27:5, 27:12, 48:4, 49:6, 49:8, 51:4, 51:5, 51:9, 85:10, 85:12 Police [13] - 23:20, 27:22, 29:21, 33:2, 41:17, 46:13, 47:12, 50:16, 80:5, 82:10, 83:10, 84:8, 85:14 police [17] - 22:13, 23:11, 36:9, 37:9, 37:11, 63:5, 64:20, 65:23, 66:23, 67:4, 68:5, 68:7, 68:17, 73:7, 85:19, 88:3, 96:14

policeman [1] - 42:1 111:21 prison [2] - 39:20, policemen [1] -47:21 69:22 privacy [1] - 112:7 poll [1] - 109:10 position [3] - 53:1, private [1] - 112:8 76:17, 101:9 privilege [5] - 9:3, 10:12, 10:18, 16:2, possession [2] -102:4, 102:7 21.1 probative [1] - 92:13 possible [3] - 35:11, 91:6, 91:9 problem [1] - 29:12 pound [4] - 22:16, problems [1] - 15:11 25:2, 50:9, 50:13 proceed [7] - 54:5, pounds [1] - 31:18 112:19, 113:11, pre [2] - 14:16, 70:20 113:16, 113:22, 114:4, 114:8 pre-dispositions [1] - 14:16 proceedings [5] -1:12, 20:22, 88:23, pre-seasoned [1] -105:8, 105:15 70:20 PRECEDING [3] -PROCEEDINGS [4] -20:6, 54:3, 85:3, 20:4, 54:2, 85:2 116:9 preconceived [2] -15:2, 15:16 process [2] - 15:1, 28:14 **preface** [1] - 37:6 produces [2] prejudice [4] - 56:4, 90:19, 98:17 56:7, 100:2, 100:11 producing [1] - 98:1 premises [2] -103:14, 109:4 profession [1] - 95:2 promise [1] - 69:4 prepared [6] - 18:15, proof [19] - 22:1, 40:8, 40:9, 41:1, 27:1, 56:12, 57:15, 83:14, 113:13 prepares [1] - 40:14 71:3, 72:3, 74:13, 74:21, 74:22, 76:7, **PRESENCE** [4] - 3:5, 20:5, 52:5, 112:18 76:13, 77:2, 83:17, 88:19, 90:15, 91:10, presence [7] - 3:8, 92:1, 96:7, 99:7 3:11, 11:23, 51:20, **Proof** [4] - 56:15, 89:4, 98:13, 111:18 74:22. 91:9. 98:12 present [7] - 3:11, proper [2] - 94:10, 3:12, 19:1, 21:5, 91:1, 99:22 97:20, 104:22 proposal [1] - 3:14 presented [6] - 11:3, 12:15, 21:10, 57:21, **propose** [2] - 4:18, 76:5, 85:10 112:19 proposed [3] - 3:13, preside [1] - 102:21 3:17, 17:10 press [3] - 102:2, Prosecutor [20] -111:20, 112:1 1:14, 8:19, 54:14, presumed [2] - 55:5, 56:8, 56:12, 56:18, 90:16 presumption [5] -56:23, 58:7, 58:16, 55:7, 57:11, 59:4, 60:13, 63:23, 66:1, 68:8, 68:15, 68:23, 73:1. 74:16 69:13, 73:7, 74:6, pretending [1] - 35:6 75:22, 76:6 pretrial [1] - 20:22 Prosecutor's [3] -Pretty [1] - 30:22 69:15, 71:20, 107:9 prevents [1] - 14:10 protect [3] - 33:3, previous [1] -33:4, 112:9 107:18 prove [12] - 34:16, previously [1] -45:2, 49:15, 49:18, 18:14 53:1, 59:5, 75:20, pride [1] - 101:8 83:19, 95:23, 98:22, principal [1] - 8:23 99:1, 99:14 principle [9] - 9:5, proved [4] - 77:16, 14:4, 15:19, 16:1, 97:4, 97:8, 99:9 16:11, 16:14, 16:16, proven [4] - 49:20, 20:14, 55:4 51:13, 62:17, 85:6 principles [2] - 9:22, proves [3] - 29:4, 55:11 45:4, 96:7 **print** [2] - 104:7,

provide [1] - 95:6 106:10 redundant [1] - 30:8 61:17, 61:19, 63:10, 112:9 Read [2] - 69:11, refer [1] - 53:11 63:15, 65:7, 73:7, ripped [4] - 6:5, provided [2] - 9:18, 69:15 reference [4] - 52:7, 73:17, 81:9 6:10, 6:11, 18:14 10 readers [1] - 72:8 reported [1] - 62:9 rise [1] - 108:20 provides [4] - 17:20, 53:4, 53:5, 53:15 20:18, 89:17, 96:7 ready [1] - 5:23 referenced [1] - 7:6 reporter [1] - 112:2 rises [2] - 11:8, 76:7 Ready [1] - 54:5 Reporter [2] - 1:20, road [1] - 41:23 province [2] - 71:5, referring [1] - 15:12 robbed [1] - 42:15 94:14 116.18 reaffirm [1] - 53:17 refresh [3] - 36:10, PSI [1] - 113:15 reports 191 - 62:4. real [2] - 47:2, 47:14 **ROBERT** [1] - 1:5 36:11, 81:9 62:8. 62:19. 63:5. public [1] - 112:4 realize [1] - 106:4 refreshed [1] - 73:8 Robert [91] - 1:17, 63:12, 64:21, 64:22, **publish** [1] - 108:19 realized [2] - 88:6, refused [2] - 69:19, 13:19, 22:8, 22:19, puddle [1] - 35:8 88:15 65:2 65:5 24:1, 24:2, 24:12, pulls [2] - 29:22, really [5] - 4:7, 36:1, regarding [1] - 93:8 request [3] - 7:21, 25:11, 26:14, 27:19, 11:9, 17:17 27:22, 28:6, 29:2, 36:7, 45:8, 113:14 Regardless [1] requested [1] punishment [3] reason [8] - 10:15, 44:12 29:15, 29:16, 30:2, 25:10, 25:13, 36:19, 100:22 31:13, 32:2, 32:3, 99:18, 99:22, 113:19 regulations [1] required [7] - 21:12, 33:7, 33:19, 34:8, purpose [8] - 90:10, 49:5, 61:2, 70:18, 54:19 92:8, 94:11, 98:12, 36:3, 36:5, 36:6, 94:23, 95:21, 96:6, 91:5 rehab [2] - 40:23, 101:23, 105:16, 37:18, 38:15, 40:8, 98:4, 98:8, 98:14, reasonable [41] -41:3 111:11 40:9, 40:16, 45:15, 112:13 27:1, 45:4, 49:16, rehearsed [1] -45:18, 45:20, 46:20, 49:21, 51:14, 55:9, respect [16] - 3:17, **Purpose** [3] - 97:16, 41:11 4:2, 5:9, 10:17, 11:11, 47:8, 47:12, 48:12, 56:15, 57:10, 60:19, 97:23, 98:3 relate [1] - 20:23 16:23, 23:18, 30:12, 70:13, 71:4, 71:6, 48:18, 49:6, 49:8, purposely [11] related [1] - 92:3 32:3, 32:7, 32:10, 49:10, 49:23, 50:6, 72:3, 72:18, 73:3, 50:2, 50:5, 50:7, relates [4] - 9:23, 74:1, 74:4, 74:13, 32:16, 41:22, 50:4, 51:2. 51:5. 51:9. 50:10, 51:12, 97:1, 20:14, 66:21, 66:22 59:11, 59:17, 60:8, 85:23, 97:15 74:20, 74:21, 74:22, 97:9, 97:15, 97:17, relating [2] - 91:7, respective [1] -61:7, 61:18, 62:13, 98:2, 103:20 76:7, 76:13, 77:17, 96:11 111:19 63:18, 64:5, 65:6, 78:3, 78:22, 83:20, purposes [2] relative [1] - 9:12 respond [3] - 34:20, 65:9, 68:11, 69:14, 85:7, 86:1, 88:12, 45:11, 54:12 relatively [1] - 11:6 77:10, 81:22 70:14, 70:22, 72:3, 88:19, 90:17, 90:20, put [10] - 11:22, released [1] - 105:13 responded [3] -72:11, 73:9, 73:18, 40:2, 47:22, 60:23, 90:22, 91:10, 92:7, relevance [1] - 64:10 73:22, 74:1, 74:3, 61:12, 64:7, 80:8, 96:21, 97:5, 97:8, 34:12, 35:7, 50:17 relieve [1] - 68:19 74:8, 74:15, 75:13, 80:18, 83:13, 100:20 99:10, 99:14 responding [1] reluctant [1] - 36:3 75:17, 77:23, 78:1, puts [2] - 72:15, Reasonable [4] -34:11 rely [7] - 41:6, 44:11, 78:5, 78:7, 78:12, 90:22, 91:1, 91:4, response [1] -90.11 75:1, 75:3, 75:4, 86:3, 78:19, 78:20, 79:10, 91:6 107:14 putting [4] - 21:18, 91:11 79:20, 84:6, 85:11, reasonableness [1] 83:9, 107:16 responses [1] remains [1] - 74:16 85:13, 86:16, 86:19, - 94:2 41:11 remedies [1] - 15:11 88:9, 88:12, 103:8, reasonably [2] responsibility [3] -Q remedy [1] - 13:1 103:13, 108:22, 109:3 92:2, 99:2 54:18, 77:3, 89:12 remember [11] rock [17] - 22:16, reasons [2] - 21:2, rest [1] - 3:23 11:4, 26:13, 29:8, **qualify** [1] - 63:9 23:15, 24:8, 24:21, 107:18 rests [2] - 92:11, 36:5, 42:22, 53:13, questions [16] - 6:3, 25:2, 33:12, 35:9, receive [4] - 11:23, 95:9 77:12, 78:14, 80:23, 6:4, 6:6, 7:1, 7:3, 7:9, 43:15, 43:21, 44:2, 20:12, 46:2, 96:16 result [3] - 23:11, 81:8, 111:20 7:21, 19:12, 19:18, 44:17, 50:9, 50:13, received [10] - 17:8, 97:19, 98:2 Remember [7] -19:23, 20:16, 21:6, 65:7, 65:13, 73:11, 18:17, 20:15, 21:17, resurfaced [1] -30:10, 32:23, 33:17, 21:7, 70:22, 100:4 79:14 23:14, 81:13, 91:14, 62:11 34:14, 34:19, 37:1, quite [1] - 22:7 Roger [2] - 33:9 95:17, 95:21, 95:22 retain [1] - 102:7 49:17 quota [1] - 54:17 **Ron** [1] - 77:19 recess [4] - 51:17, retire [4] - 18:23, REMEMBERED [1] **quote** [1] - 32:19 Ronnie [4] - 1:17, 51:22, 88:23, 89:8 102:19, 108:7, 112:14 52:9, 77:14, 86:13 **RECESS** [3] - 17:4, return [3] - 77:3, remembers [1] -R room [13] - 8:2, 9:12, 52:1, 89:9 102:10, 115:6 16:5, 16:10, 61:3, recognized [1] -RETURNED [1] remind [2] - 21:22, 74:18, 90:5, 101:5, 63:16 108:10 70:11 raised [2] - 8:22, 9:7 103:7, 104:17, recollect [1] - 4:4 returned [4] - 101:3, rang [1] - 25:20 remove [2] - 55:7, 104:19, 105:3, 112:15 recollection [5] -102:9, 103:2, 114:22 57:10 rated [3] - 62:4, 62:5, Ross [1] - 87:9 36:10, 36:11, 67:21, reveal [1] - 59:7 removed [3] - 4:13, 62:18 RPR [2] - 1:20, 73:9, 81:9 review [3] - 19:23, rather [2] - 113:11, 9:14, 74:19 116:17 Reconvene [1] -57:19, 113:15 render [1] - 100:6 114:14 ruled [1] - 53:14 reviewed [7] - 19:18, rendered [1] - 10:1 ratings [1] - 62:5 rules [2] - 54:19, record [15] - 3:6, 62:3, 63:7, 64:20, RC [1] - 109:7 rendition [1] - 11:5 95:14 3:10, 6:19, 11:22, 64:21, 65:1, 65:5 renew [2] - 106:19, reach [2] - 92:7, ruling [2] - 53:10, 12:9, 13:7, 17:6, Revised [2] - 16:17, 101:19 93:14 18:10, 19:20, 67:23, 103:21 reopened [1] - 47:6 reaching [1] **rulings** [1] - 93:7 106:23, 107:4, revive [1] - 46:18 repeat [2] - 78:16, 101:11 run [1] - 105:4 107:10, 114:6, 114:7 revolves [1] - 84:5 102.22 read [8] - 10:3, rushing [1] - 24:7 records [1] - 102:7 rid [1] - 10:7 repeating [1] - 73:16 20:10, 48:12, 48:14, reduced [1] - 7:10 rights [2] - 112:7, 53:14, 86:21, 103:4, report [10] - 60:23,

S	3:1, 115:8 - September [3] - 1:9,	significant [1] - 66:19	14:8, 71:2, 71:13, 71:18	status [1] - 102:17 statute [2] - 90:23,
	86:22, 113:4	simple [3] - 22:7,	spend [2] - 38:6,	113:18
safety [1] - 61:7	Sergeant [6] - 28:16,	22:10, 27:2	77:21	stay [2] - 105:14,
Saint [1] - 57:5	34:10, 34:22, 35:13,	simply [1] - 94:12	spent [3] - 38:12,	105:16
saint [1] - 57:6	41:15		•	
sale [1] - 60:16		sister [1] - 57:6	51:3, 70:4	stemmed [1] - 32:13
• •	serious [1] - 24:8	Sister [1] - 57:6	spin [1] - 21:18	step [1] - 104:12
sales [1] - 59:16	served [1] - 112:4	sister's [3] - 24:12,	spousal [5] - 9:3,	stepfather [1] - 25:7
sample [1] - 64:4	services [1] - 112:6	37:23, 87:14	10:12, 10:18, 13:23,	stepson [3] - 8:20,
sat [2] - 30:15, 63:23	session [1] - 7:4	sit [3] - 54:12, 75:11,	16:1	25:6, 29:17
saved [1] - 53:3	set [5] - 15:16,	75:22	spouses [1] - 16:19	still [6] - 6:15, 19:14,
saw [5] - 34:2, 36:18,	34:12, 39:7, 107:18,	siting [1] - 72:21	Stacey [2] - 1:20,	44:6, 44:15, 44:16,
37:1, 41:17, 57:18	114:19	sitting [1] - 8:2	116:17	45:9
Scala [1] - 50:17	seven [1] - 46:12	situation [1] - 39:9	stand [17] - 23:3,	stipulated [1] -
Scala-Barnett [1] -	several [2] - 52:18,		30:13, 41:9, 61:14,	91:16
50:17		six [3] - 4:5, 4:19,		
	97:3	46:11	64:18, 66:8, 66:17,	stock [1] - 83:13
scale [1] - 62:6	Seymour [20] - 5:16,	skill [1] - 95:12	70:11, 70:13, 71:7,	stood [1] - 68:8
scared [3] - 24:3,	23:5, 23:8, 23:13,	skull [1] - 25:1	71:14, 72:7, 72:18,	stopped [1] - 65:15
38:17, 49:13	24:4, 30:19, 31:5,	smoke [2] - 104:20,	77:21, 87:5, 94:1,	Stopper [11] - 41:23,
scene [6] - 33:8,	31:19, 31:23, 32:7,	104:23	94:19	42:8, 61:17, 62:4,
33:10, 34:12, 44:3,	32:16, 59:15, 59:19,	smoking [4] - 74:4,	standard [4] - 57:8,	62:18, 64:20, 64:22,
44:18, 64:7	60:20, 61:5, 78:15,	104:11, 104:14,	57:14, 71:3, 88:19	65:2, 82:6, 82:14,
scheme [2] - 28:5,	79:17, 80:11, 93:11	104:20	standards [1] -	82:22
82:8	share [1] - 8:1		76:12	
scope [2] - 84:12,	shared [1] - 12:1	Smoking [1] -	standing [1] - 58:10	story [8] - 42:4, 43:3,
• • • •		104:13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	43:5, 86:22, 87:18,
84:19	Shared [1] - 17:9	snitch [17] - 22:12,	standpoint [1] -	88:1, 88:5, 88:15
Scott [2] - 33:8,	shelf [1] - 24:23	23:7, 23:10, 25:19,	17:16	strangle [1] - 22:15
33:15	shift [1] - 56:17	25:21, 26:1, 26:19,	start [3] - 28:14,	strangulation [1] -
seal [2] - 106:23,	shifting [2] - 84:20,	29:15, 29:17, 31:3,	55:1, 56:13	31:11
114:7	84:22	31:4, 31:9, 32:4,	started [2] - 20:9,	street [2] - 65:10,
Seal [1] - 107:5	shifts [1] - 75:21	36:16, 80:17, 80:19,	28:17	73:15
sealed [1] - 107:19	shoes [1] - 88:7	81:12	State [52] - 3:12,	Street [4] - 1:21,
sealing [1] - 114:6	shoot [1] - 22:15	snitched [7] - 22:9,	3:22, 5:12, 13:17,	34:13, 34:20, 50:22
seasoned [1] - 70:20	shortcomings [1] -	29:15, 31:7, 32:14,	16:2, 19:21, 21:23,	streets [3] - 66:4,
	•		34:15, 39:15, 44:20,	• • • • •
seated [2] - 20:7,	56:22	79:19, 80:10, 88:9	46:10, 49:15, 49:20,	67:3, 73:14
76:16	show [7] - 43:8,	snitches [2] - 25:22,		stress [2] - 5:18,
second [4] - 11:18,	53:3, 64:9, 64:14,	36:8	51:13, 52:20, 54:16,	93:12
17:18, 84:15	83:19, 96:1, 105:5	snitching [3] - 65:4,	56:11, 57:9, 57:15,	stressed [1] - 69:3
SECOND [1] - 2:8	showed [5] - 36:8,	65:6, 65:12	57:21, 59:9, 61:12,	stricken [2] - 11:10,
Section [1] - 103:21	36:10, 41:2, 80:23,	sold [1] - 60:9	62:15, 69:20, 71:1,	92:20
See [1] - 105:21	81:8	sole [2] - 27:9, 93:17	74:2, 75:9, 77:1,	strike [4] - 5:7,
see [16] - 6:4, 17:2,	shown [4] - 27:15,	solely [2] - 78:13,	77:16, 78:5, 79:4,	39:10, 43:1, 45:11
34:1, 34:3, 34:4, 34:5,	27:16, 57:20, 73:20	101:20	79:5, 79:8, 82:17,	striking [1] - 4:18
35:5, 36:1, 42:2, 42:3,	shows [1] - 64:12	someone [3] - 41:19,	82:19, 83:2, 83:18,	strong [1] - 35:20
68:8, 70:21, 73:8,	Shredded [1] - 2:5	42:5, 48:1	85:10, 86:13, 86:17,	•
			90:18, 98:22, 99:9,	stuff [5] - 49:5, 49:7,
76:8, 94:3, 102:11	shredded [4] - 6:12,	somewhat [1] -	99:13, 100:11, 103:7,	49:9, 70:21, 81:8
seeking [1] - 19:14	8:5, 11:15	20:11	103:12, 106:16,	subject [1] - 99:18
seem [2] - 41:11,	shreds [1] - 6:12	somewhere [1] -	108:21, 109:2, 113:21	submit [11] - 19:21,
41:12	Shumer [1] - 111:1	19:2		23:19, 26:6, 26:21,
select [4] - 100:20,	SHUMER [1] - 111:3	son [2] - 8:20, 73:5	STATE [1] - 1:2	28:3, 38:8, 45:3,
102:7, 102:19, 103:17	side [1] - 84:20	son-in-law [1] - 8:20	State's [4] - 22:2,	46:12, 50:8, 87:7,
sense [10] - 10:19,	sides [1] - 114:2	sorry [4] - 35:2,	45:16, 71:8, 107:18	87:22
28:20, 32:2, 43:20,	sidewalk [4] - 24:7,	37:20, 42:16, 84:4	statement [20] -	submits [2] - 46:10,
46:23, 53:5, 61:2,	24:9, 33:12, 33:13	sort [3] - 21:19, 53:4,	36:8, 42:19, 46:5,	79:8
70:17, 91:5, 101:8			47:11, 52:8, 52:9,	
	sign [9] - 40:15,	56:13	66:1, 66:9, 66:10,	submitted [7] -
sent [2] - 102:8,	40:18, 40:20, 41:2,	sought [1] - 59:10	66:13, 66:22, 72:10,	10:10, 14:18, 19:10,
114:12	41:4, 66:7, 83:12,	space [1] - 27:11	74:6, 74:7, 80:23,	51:22, 89:5, 106:19,
sentence [3] - 113:9,	102:23, 104:5	special [2] - 20:9,	81:6, 111:14, 111:16,	107:14
	signature [2] -	95:3		submitting [2] - 9:9,
113:14, 113:21	40:17, 104:8	specific [6] - 7:21,	111:21, 111:23	13:12
113:14, 113:21 sentencing [6] -	10.11, 101.0	•	Statements [1] -	sufficient [2] -
		18:22, 97:18, 97:21.		
sentencing [6] -	signatures [3] -	18:22, 97:18, 97:21, 98:2, 115:2	92:20	47:23, 99:1
sentencing [6] - 112:20, 113:12, 114:3, 114:5, 114:8,	signatures [3] - 104:1, 104:7, 104:9	98:2, 115:2	92:20 statements [6] -	47:23, 99:1
sentencing [6] - 112:20, 113:12, 114:3, 114:5, 114:8, 114:15	signatures [3] - 104:1, 104:7, 104:9 signed [3] - 58:5,	98:2, 115:2 specifically [2] -		sufficiently [2] -
sentencing [6] - 112:20, 113:12, 114:3, 114:5, 114:8, 114:15 separate [5] - 40:21,	signatures [3] - 104:1, 104:7, 104:9 signed [3] - 58:5, 109:8, 111:5	98:2, 115:2 specifically [2] - 54:10, 100:21	statements [6] - 21:22, 47:10, 53:2,	sufficiently [2] - 76:2, 112:3
sentencing [6] - 112:20, 113:12, 114:3, 114:5, 114:8, 114:15 separate [5] - 40:21, 80:8, 89:15, 104:21,	signatures [3] - 104:1, 104:7, 104:9 signed [3] - 58:5, 109:8, 111:5 significance [5] -	98:2, 115:2 specifically [2] - 54:10, 100:21 speculate [2] - 93:1,	statements [6] - 21:22, 47:10, 53:2, 92:15, 92:17, 111:20	sufficiently [2] - 76:2, 112:3 suggest [1] - 18:12
sentencing [6] - 112:20, 113:12, 114:3, 114:5, 114:8, 114:15 separate [5] - 40:21,	signatures [3] - 104:1, 104:7, 104:9 signed [3] - 58:5, 109:8, 111:5	98:2, 115:2 specifically [2] - 54:10, 100:21	statements [6] - 21:22, 47:10, 53:2,	sufficiently [2] - 76:2, 112:3

suggests [2] - 38:9, 87:23 supplied [1] - 53:3 **supply** [2] - 71:7, 71:20 support [1] - 81:7 **suppose** [1] - 36:10 surfaced [4] - 46:16, 47:6, 61:15, 62:3 surrender [1] -101:18 surrounding [1] -94:8 suspect [8] - 42:9, 46:17, 47:7, 60:17, 61:8, 62:10, 83:4, suspects [4] - 29:20, 29:21, 46:8, 84:7 Sustained [2] -36:22, 37:7 sustained [2] - 44:9, 93:1 **swab** [1] - 79:12 **sway** [1] - 56:13 sworn [4] - 86:5, 89:18, 103:11, 109:1 sympathy [7] -55:18, 55:23, 56:3, 56:4, 56:7, 100:2, 100:10 synopsis [1] - 23:5 system [2] - 55:3, 71:23 Т taint [3] - 9:11, 13:1, 15:7 tainted [2] - 9:11, 16:20 taints [1] - 14:9 **TAKEN** [3] - 17:4,

52:1. 89:9 tall [1] - 63:14 tear [1] - 77:9 technology [1] -58:18 ten [2] - 59:21, 62:6 terms [3] - 28:7, 50:6, 97:14 test [2] - 59:6, 64:5 testified [21] - 5:17, 40:21, 44:9, 50:23, 52:20, 59:16, 60:20, 61:22, 62:1, 63:5, 64:18, 65:16, 70:5, 70:12, 82:17, 82:22, 84:9, 86:23, 93:12, 94.4 testifies [1] - 91:22 testify [19] - 8:18, 14:7, 15:22, 16:6, 16:19, 32:22, 36:2, 37:1, 44:1, 59:20, 69:19, 70:2, 75:14, 75:15, 75:17, 83:1,

94:18, 94:20, 94:22 testifying [4] -10:19, 16:12, 32:10, 94:1 testimony [29] -3:17, 4:2, 4:3, 23:21, 25:8, 26:21, 33:17, 35:10, 35:22, 42:23, 43:10, 43:13, 45:23, 53:5, 81:13, 81:14, 91:14, 91:20, 93:8, 94:2, 94:8, 94:9, 94:11, 94:14, 94:15, 94:16, 95:5, 95:16, 96:10 testing [1] - 95:14 tests [3] - 93:21, 93:22, 94:8 **THAT** [1] - 116:8 **THE** [121] - 1:1, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:10, 4:17, 5:2, 5:5, 5:7, 5:13, 5:22, 6:5, 6:10, 6:19, 6:23, 7:17, 8:5, 8:8, 11:13, 11:17, 12:10, 12:17, 13:21, 17:1, 17:5, 17:23, 18:3,

18:5, 19:7, 19:19, 20:2, 20:4, 20:5, 20:6, 20:7, 36:22, 37:5, 41:6, 44:10, 51:16, 52:4, 52:5, 52:11, 52:17, 52:22, 53:13, 53:21, 54:1, 54:2, 54:3, 54:5, 55:21, 56:2, 63:2, 67:14, 67:16, 67:20, 67:23, 77:6, 84:12, 84:16, 84:17, 85:1, 85:2, 85:3, 87:21, 88:22, 89:10, 105:21, 105:22, 105:23, 106:1, 106:8, 106:17, 107:1, 107:11, 108:2, 108:6, 108:11, 108:14, 108:17, 109:9, 109:12, 109:15, 109:18, 109:21, 110:1, 110:3, 110:6, 110:9, 110:12, 110:15, 110:18, 110:21, 111:1, 111:4, 112:17, 112:18, 112:19, 112:23, 113:4, 113:13, 114:2, 114:16, 114:19, 114:23, 115:4, 115:7, 116:7, 116:8, 116:9, 116:10 themselves [1] -19:12

theory [1] - 71:21 Therefore [1] - 46:17 therefore [5] - 4:13, 16:7, 53:7, 78:2, 82:18 thick [1] - 37:19 thinking [1] - 10:4

third [3] - 27:12, 69:21, 105:10 thorough [1] - 46:7 thoughts [1] - 48:20 thousand [1] - 6:13 three [10] - 9:17, 23:9, 23:23, 30:19, 39:22, 49:19, 59:16, 81:15, 97:11, 114:19 Three [1] - 98:19 throughout [2] -74:17, 105:7 tie [1] - 64:6 tip [1] - 45:23 tips [7] - 46:1, 46:2, 46:11, 46:15, 46:21, 51:7, 85:17 tired [2] - 54:7, 82:4 to-wit [1] - 1:12 today [3] - 45:13, 55:15, 69:23 together [12] - 19:3, 37:13, 37:14, 47:22, 80:10, 80:18, 83:10, 85:9, 94:7, 104:17, 104:19, 107:17 Toledo [19] - 1:21, 23:20, 27:22, 29:21, 34:13, 35:1, 41:17, 46:13, 47:12, 50:16, 50:23, 80:5, 82:10, 83:10, 84:3, 84:7, 85:14, 114:13, 114:22 Tomaselli [1] -109:18 TOMASELLI [1] -109:20 took [8] - 32:5, 34:17, 50:15, 66:9, 73:16, 98:20, 99:2, 99:5 torn [1] - 76:2 totality [2] - 43:17,

touch [1] - 59:12 tough [2] - 39:8, **TPD** [1] - 31:7

trace [3] - 44:16, 58:2, 58:19

tragic [2] - 55:16, 55:17

TRANSCRIPT [1] -116:9

trauma [1] - 24:20 treated [1] - 92:22 **TRIAL** [2] - 115:7, 116:10

trial [16] - 1:8, 13:18, 17:9, 20:22, 33:3, 57:18, 74:14, 74:17, 76:6, 83:3, 91:16, 91:23, 95:20, 96:9,

100:14, 107:21 tried [2] - 63:9, 100:13

trier [1] - 72:17

triers [1] - 55:8 trouble [5] - 22:14, 23:11, 82:7, 107:11, 107:16 TRUE [1] - 116:8

true [24] - 28:21, 28:22, 38:23, 39:6, 40:6, 40:15, 40:16, 40:19, 47:7, 48:22, 49:4, 49:5, 52:20, 53:6, 66:2, 66:11, 66:12, 66:14, 67:6, 79:23, 83:11, 96:4, 103:11, 109:1 truly [3] - 66:13, 103:11, 109:1 trust [2] - 74:11,

74:12 truth [19] - 5:19, 27:19, 27:21, 30:18, 36:19, 36:20, 37:3, 37:5, 39:5, 53:2, 53:20, 66:6, 66:17, 66:20, 72:9, 87:2, 91:4, 93:5, 93:13 truthful [3] - 38:19,

39:4, 49:2 truthfulness [2] -

41:9, 93:21 try [8] - 28:4, 32:22, 33:2, 35:11, 75:10, 99:6, 103:11, 109:1 trying [4] - 34:2, 34:7, 50:11, 83:5

turning [1] - 88:6

two [24] - 9:14, 17:7, 19:2, 20:11, 23:22, 24:13, 24:16, 26:17, 33:8, 34:11, 34:23, 35:2, 38:1, 39:22,

40:21, 41:4, 55:11, 81:5, 91:18, 97:9, 112:20, 114:4, 114:7

Two [1] - 98:15 type [4] - 9:18, 68:21, 76:15, 76:19

typewritten [1] -66:10

typical [1] - 4:1 typically [1] - 32:18

U

ultimately [1] - 38:5 under [7] - 5:18, 65:4, 72:7, 93:12, 94:12, 111:17, 114:7 underneath [1] -4:23 UNDERSIGNED [1] -116:7 unethical [1] -112:11 unfortunate [2] -44:19, 55:15 Unfortunately [1] -

48:1

Unit [1] - 59:22 unless [8] - 18:21, 19:1, 74:19, 90:16, 90:18, 98:6, 111:15, unsigned [1] - 83:7 unsolved [1] - 41:17 up [46] - 6:5, 6:10, 6:11, 7:1, 8:8, 8:10, 10:12, 12:5, 15:15, 15:21, 16:2, 18:14, 18:18, 22:14, 23:23, 24:2, 24:11, 24:15, 24:16, 31:22, 37:22, 38:5, 38:6, 39:7, 48:5, 50:9, 53:12, 62:14, 62:16, 62:20, 63:20, 64:23, 66:4, 66:22, 67:4, 68:4, 68:6, 68:12, 68:17, 69:21, 71:12, 85:7, 87:4, 87:14, 105:21 usual [1] - 95:14 utilize [1] - 71:1

V

vacillate [1] - 66:16 vagina [5] - 45:10, 45:15, 45:17, 45:20, 79:11 Valiquette [1] -109:16 VALIQUETTE [1] -109:17 value [3] - 45:19, 79:9, 92:13 various [1] - 93:8 Vasquez [6] - 28:16, 42:11, 66:5, 87:10, 110:12, 110:15 VASQUEZ [1] -110:14 vehemently [1] venue [3] - 35:1, 99:4 veracity [1] - 95:13

verbal [1] - 111:14 verbatim [1] - 17:11 verdict [51] - 9:23, 21:21, 55:13, 76:21, 76:23, 77:3, 95:7, 99:11, 99:16, 100:6, 100:8, 101:7, 101:20, 101:21, 102:5, 102:9, 102:16, 102:18, 102:23, 103:1, 103:3, 103:5, 103:14, 103:19, 104:3, 104:4, 105:18, 106:21, 108:3, 108:15, 108:18, 108:19, 109:4, 109:13,

109:16, 109:19,

110:7, 110:10,

109:22, 110:1, 110:4,

110:13, 110:16, 110:19, 110:22, 111:2, 111:4, 111:6, 111:9 VERDICT [1] -108:10 VERDICT.....108 [1] -2:9 verge [1] - 12:15 verifying [1] - 83:12 versus [12] - 46:10, 77:23, 78:1, 78:5, 78:6, 78:19, 78:20, 86:13, 86:16, 86:18, 103:8, 108:21 viable [3] - 46:8, 84:7, 85:21 Vice [1] - 59:22 view [1] - 100:16 violated [1] - 54:20 violation [2] -103:21, 109:7 visit [1] - 68:14 vocalize [1] - 10:4 voice [6] - 30:17, 60:14, 60:15, 63:16, 63:17, 63:18 voices [1] - 33:18 void [2] - 71:8, 71:19 voir [9] - 10:15, 30:10, 54:14, 54:17, 56:18, 57:22, 74:14, 75:13, 76:14 **VOLUME** [1] - 1:6 vote [1] - 102:13 W

wait [4] - 51:6, 114.14 waited [1] - 28:12 waive [3] - 3:7, 29:3, 29:4 waived [1] - 3:11 wants [13] - 28:7, 28:8, 58:7, 61:12, 68:15, 69:1, 69:22, 74:2, 74:6, 82:6, 82:16, 82:19, 86:13 **WAS** [5] - 3:4, 52:4, 84:16, 105:22, 112:17 watch [1] - 105:15 watched [1] - 23:8 watching [1] - 58:11 wave [2] - 48:11, 69:8 **ways** [1] - 49:10 weapons [1] - 98:10 week [2] - 68:14, 114:11 weeks [2] - 112:20, 114:20 weigh [2] - 93:19, 100:3 weight [9] - 68:20,

92:13, 93:15, 93:18, 94:10, 95:9, 95:11, 95:15, 96:15

welcome [1] - 105:14

WERE [3] - 20:6, 54:4, 85:4

whatsoever [1] -

15:20 Wherein [1] - 6:23 wherein [3] - 5:17, 62:8, 93:11

WHEREUPON [9] - 3:4, 20:4, 52:4, 54:2, 84:16, 85:2, 105:22, 112:17, 115:7 white [6] - 33:11, 33:19, 33:20, 34:1, 34:6, 60:15

whole [3] - 28:14,

86:8, 112:1 wife [5] - 8:17, 15:22, 16:6, 16:12,

38:4 willing [6] - 46:9, 75:1, 91:11, 111:17, 113:16, 113:21 willingness [1] -

105:9

WILSON [1] - 1:5 **Wilson** [121] - 1:17, 10:19, 13:19, 22:8, 22:19, 22:22, 24:1, 24:11, 24:12, 25:11, 27:18, 28:5, 28:6,

28:10, 29:2, 29:6, 29:16, 30:2, 31:13, 32:2, 32:3, 33:7, 33:19, 34:8, 36:3,

36:5, 36:6, 36:12, 37:18, 37:20, 38:4, 38:15, 40:12, 40:16, 40:18, 42:12, 42:16, 43:2, 45:15, 46:4,

46:18, 47:4, 47:8, 47:12, 47:16, 48:8, 48:9, 48:12, 48:18,

49:4, 49:6, 49:8, 49:10, 49:23, 50:6, 51:2, 51:5, 51:9,

54:22, 58:1, 59:11, 59:17, 60:8, 61:7, 61:18, 62:3, 62:13,

61:18, 62:3, 62:13, 63:18, 64:5, 64:13, 64:15, 65:6, 65:9,

68:11, 69:5, 70:12, 70:14, 72:3, 73:6,

73:18, 75:3, 75:8, 75:13, 76:17, 77:5, 77:23, 78:1, 78:5,

78:7, 78:9, 78:12, 78:13, 78:19, 78:20, 79:20, 81:7, 82:9,

83:9, 84:6, 85:11, 85:13, 85:22, 86:15, 86:16, 86:17, 86:18,

86:19, 88:2, 88:9, 88:12, 103:8, 103:13, 108:22, 109:3, 113:8, 114:12, 114:21

Wilson's [13] - 17:17, 29:19, 40:8, 40:9, 45:18, 45:20, 46:20, 47:11, 52:8, 57:10, 74:15, 79:10, 88:14

Wingate [36] - 1:17, 12:19, 12:20, 15:12, 22:5, 26:9, 27:15, 29:12, 32:17, 38:20, 38:21, 39:18, 39:22, 40:20, 43:4, 48:21, 54:15, 56:14, 75:23, 76:1, 77:8, 77:14, 77:19, 79:3, 79:16, 80:22, 81:4, 81:16, 82:16, 83:8, 84:22, 86:12, 86:13, 113:17 WINGATE [44] - 3:9,

4:16, 4:22, 5:21, 6:1, 6:15, 8:9, 8:15, 12:8, 12:11, 13:8, 13:17, 14:2, 15:13, 16:14, 17:15, 18:4, 36:21, 37:4, 40:22, 41:5, 44:8, 52:2, 54:6, 56:5, 63:4, 67:15, 67:22, 68:2, 81:2, 84:11, 84:14, 84:18, 87:20

84:14, 84:18, 87:20, 106:10, 106:18, 107:3, 107:6, 109:11, 113:7, 114:9, 114:17, 114:21, 115:1

Wingate's [2] - 11:5, 55:22

WINGATE.....

54 [1] - 2:8 **wise** [1] - 101:6 **wish** [4] - 90:3, 104:20, 105:16, 109:9 **wishes** [1] - 114:10 **wit** [3] - 1:12, 97:1, 97:10

withholding [1] -

82:23
witness [30] - 4:8,
4:9, 23:3, 30:7, 30:9,
30:13, 30:14, 30:15,
48:9, 61:13, 63:16,
64:18, 66:8, 66:16,
70:10, 70:12, 71:7,
71:14, 72:7, 72:17,
73:4, 91:20, 93:23,
94:1, 94:9, 94:11,
94:14, 94:19, 95:1,
95:10

witnesses [11] - 4:3, 33:8, 56:19, 57:5, 57:12, 57:18, 59:13, 91:15, 93:18, 93:20, 95:8

woman [3] - 63:8, 69:12, 69:17 woman's [1] - 76:11 word [10] - 30:3, 47:8, 61:1, 61:6, 65:10, 65:14, 73:14, 73:15, 75:8, 103:17 wording [1] - 11:5 words [3] - 17:19, 103:18, 104:2 works [1] - 71:23 worry [1] - 29:7 worst [1] - 13:21 worth [1] - 13:16 worthy [2] - 94:15, 94:16 wound [1] - 31:11 writes [1] - 49:2 writing [4] - 7:10, 7:21, 100:21, 111:14 written [5] - 7:1, 17:11, 21:6, 43:7, 90:2 wrongs [2] - 95:18, 96:4 wrote [10] - 11:2, 15:8, 29:2, 38:15,

Υ

48:11, 49:12, 52:9,

68:9, 69:7, 69:9

year [1] - 65:15 years [11] - 28:11, 28:12, 37:10, 37:21, 39:1, 39:23, 41:14, 47:19, 48:2, 60:1, 69:22 yesterday [4] - 3:20, 8:11, 8:12, 52:10 yourself [2] -101:14, 111:11 yourselves [3] -51:19, 87:6, 89:2

Ζ

Zajac [1] - 110:16 **ZAJAC** [1] - 110:17